arXiv:1409.6702v1 [math.AG] 23 Sep 2014

Enumeration of curves with two singular points

Somnath Basu and Ritwik Mukherjee

Abstract

In this paper we obtain an explicit formula for the number of curves in $\mathbb{P}^2$, of degree d, passing through $(d(d+3)/2-(k+1))$ generic points and having one node and one codimension k singularity, where k is at most 6. Our main tool is a classical fact from differential topology: the number of zeros of a generic smooth section of a vector bundle V over M, counted with a sign, is the Euler class of V evaluated on the fundamental class of M.

Contents

1 Introduction 1

2 Overview 3

3 Algorithm 8

4 Review of definitions and notations for one singular point 9

5 Transversality 14

6 Closure and Euler class contribution 15

7 Euler class computation 50

A Low degree checks 55

B Standard facts about Chern classes and projectivized bundle 55

1 Introduction

Enumeration of singular curves in $\mathbb{P}^2$ (complex projective space) is a classical problem in algebraic geometry. In our first paper [1], we used purely topological methods to answer the following question:

Question 1.1. *How many degree d-curves are there in $\mathbb{P}^2$, passing through $(d(d+3)/2-k)$ generic points and having one singularity of codimension k, where k is at most 7?*

In this paper, we extend the methods applied in [1] to enumerate curves with two singular points. More precisely, we obtain an explicit answer for the following question:

Question 1.2. *How many degree d-curves are there in $\mathbb{P}^2$, passing through $(d(d+3)/2-(k+1))$ generic points, having one node and one singularity of codimension k, where k is at most 6?*

Let us denote the space of curves of degree d in $\mathbb{P}^2$ by $\mathcal{D}$. It follows that $\mathcal{D} \cong \mathbb{P}^{\delta_d}$, where $\delta_d = d(d+3)/2$. Let $\gamma_{\mathbb{P}^2} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ be the tautological line bundle. A homogeneous polynomial f, of degree d and in 3 variables, induces a holomorphic section of the line bundle $\gamma_{\mathbb{P}^2}^{*d} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$. If f is non-zero, then we will denote its *equivalence class* in $\mathcal{D}$ by $\tilde{f}$. Similarly, if p is a non-zero vector in $\mathbb{C}^3$, we will denote its equivalence class in $\mathbb{P}^2$ by $\tilde{p}$ [1].

Definition 1.3. *Let $\tilde{f} \in \mathcal{D}$ and $\tilde{p} \in \mathbb{P}^2$. A point $\tilde{p} \in f^{-1}(0)$ is of singularity type $\mathcal{A}_k$, $\mathcal{D}_k$, $\mathcal{E}_6$, $\mathcal{E}_7$, $\mathcal{E}_8$ or $\mathcal{X}_8$ if there exists a coordinate system $(x,y) : (\mathcal{U}, \tilde{p}) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$ such that $f^{-1}(0) \cap \mathcal{U}$ is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_k &: y^2 + x^{k+1} = 0 \qquad k \geq 0, \qquad \mathcal{D}_k : y^2x + x^{k-1} = 0 \qquad k \geq 4, \\ \mathcal{E}_6 &: y^3 + x^4 = 0, \qquad \mathcal{E}_7 : y^3 + yx^3 = 0, \qquad \mathcal{E}_8 : y^3 + x^5 = 0, \\ \mathcal{X}_8 &: x^4 + y^4 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

In more common terminology, $\tilde{p}$ is a *smooth* point of $f^{-1}(0)$ if it is a singularity of type $\mathcal{A}_0$; a *simple node* if its singularity type is $\mathcal{A}_1$; a *cusp* if its type is $\mathcal{A}_2$; a *tacnode* if its type is $\mathcal{A}_3$; a *triple point* if its type is $\mathcal{D}_4$; and a *quadruple point* if its type is $\mathcal{X}_8$.

We have several results (cf. Theorem 3.2 - 3.13, section 3) which can be summarized collectively as our main result. Although (3.2)-(3.13) may appear as equalities, the content of each of these equations is a theorem.

MAIN THEOREM. *Let $\mathfrak{X}_k$ be a singularity of type $\mathcal{A}_k$, $\mathcal{D}_k$ or $\mathcal{E}_k$. Denote $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1\mathfrak{X}_k, n)$ to be the number of degree d curves in $\mathbb{P}^2$ that pass through $\delta_d - (k+1+n)$ generic points having one $\mathcal{A}_1$ node and one singularity of type $\mathfrak{X}_k$ at the intersection of n generic lines.*
(i) *There is a formula for $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1\mathfrak{X}_k, n)$ if $k+1 \leq 7$, provided $d \geq \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{A}_1\mathfrak{X}_k}$ where*

$$\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{A}_1\mathcal{A}_k} = k+3, \quad \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{A}_1\mathcal{D}_k} = k+1, \quad \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{A}_1\mathcal{E}_6} = 6.$$

(ii) *There is an algorithm to explicitly compute these numbers.*

Remark 1.4. *Note that $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1\mathfrak{X}_k, n)$ is zero if $n > 2$, since three or more generic lines do not intersect at a common point. Moreover, $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1\mathfrak{X}_k, 2)$ is the the number of curves, of degree d, that pass through $\delta_d - (k+3)$ generic points having one $\mathcal{A}_1$-node and one singularity of type $\mathfrak{X}_k$ lying at a given fixed point (since the intersection of two generic lines is a point).*

In [1], we obtained an explicit formula for $\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{X}_k, n)$, the number of degree d curves in $\mathbb{P}^2$ that pass through $\delta_d - (k+n)$ generic points having one singularity of type $\mathfrak{X}_k$ at the intersection of n generic lines. We extend the methods applied in [1] to obtain an explicit formula for $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1\mathfrak{X}_k, n)$.

The numbers $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1\mathfrak{X}_k, 0)$ till $k+1 \leq 7$ have also been computed by Maxim Kazarian [4] using different methods. Our results for $n = 0$ agree with his. The bound $d \geq \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{A}_1\mathfrak{X}_k}$ is imposed to ensure that the relevant bundle sections are transverse.[2] The formulas for $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1\mathcal{A}_1, n)$ and $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1\mathcal{A}_2, n)$ also appear in [6]. We extend the methods applied by the author to obtain the remaining formulas.

[1] In this paper we will use the symbol $\tilde{A}$ to denote the equivalence class of A instead of the standard $[A]$. This will make some of the calculations in section 6 easier to read.

[2] However, this bound is not the optimal bound.

2 Overview

Our main tool will be the following well known fact from topology (cf. [3], Proposition 12.8).

Theorem 2.1. *Let $V \longrightarrow X$ be a vector bundle over a manifold X. Then the following are true:*
(1) *A generic smooth section $s : X \longrightarrow V$ is transverse to the zero set.*
(2) *Furthermore, if V and X are oriented with X compact then the zero set of such a section defines an integer homology class in X, whose Poincaré dual is the Euler class of V. In particular, if the rank of V is same as the dimension of X, then the signed cardinality of $s^{-1}(0)$ is the Euler class of V, evaluated on the fundamental class of X, i.e.,*

$$|\pm s^{-1}(0)| = \langle e(V), [X]\rangle.$$

Remark 2.2. *Let X be a compact, complex manifold, V a holomorphic vector bundle and s a holomorphic section that is transverse to the zero set. If the rank of V is same as the dimension of X, then the signed cardinality of $s^{-1}(0)$ is same as its actual cardinality (provided X and V have their natural orientations).*

However, for our purposes, the requirement that X is a smooth manifold is too strong. We will typically be dealing with spaces that are smooth but have non-smooth closure. The following result is a stronger version of Theorem 2.1, that applies to singular spaces, provided the set of singular points is of real codimension two or more.

Theorem 2.3. *Let $M \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ be a smooth, compact algebraic variety and $X \subset M$ a smooth subvariety, not necessarily closed. Let $V \longrightarrow M$ be an oriented vector bundle, such that the rank of V is same as the dimension of X. Then the following are true:*
(1) *The closure of X is an algebraic variety and defines a homology class.*
(2) *The zero set of a generic smooth section $s : M \longrightarrow V$ intersects X transversely and does not intersect $\overline{X} - X$ anywhere.*
(3) *The number of zeros of such a section inside X, counted with signs, is the Euler class of V evaluated on the homology class $[\overline{X}]$, i.e.,*

$$|\pm s^{-1}(0) \cap \overline{X}| = |\pm s^{-1}(0) \cap X| = \left\langle e(V),\ [\overline{X}]\right\rangle.$$

Remark 2.4. *All the subsequent statements we make are true provided d is sufficiently large. The precise bound on d is given in section 5. Although the results of this paper are an extension of [1], our aim has been to keep this paper self-contained. Ideally, a reader not familar with [1] should have no difficulty following this paper.*

We will now explain our strategy to compute $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1\mathfrak{X}_k, n)$. The strategy is very similar to that of computing $\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{X}_k, n)$, which was the content of [1]. Let X_1 and X_2 be two subsets of $\mathcal{D} \times \mathbb{P}^2$. Then we define

$$\mathrm{X}_1 \circ \mathrm{X}_2 := \{(\tilde{f}, \tilde{p}_1, \tilde{p}_2) \in \mathcal{D} \times \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2 : (\tilde{f}, \tilde{p}_1) \in \mathrm{X}_1,\quad (\tilde{f}, \tilde{p}_2) \in \mathrm{X}_2,\quad \tilde{p}_1 \neq \tilde{p}_2\}.$$

Next, given a subset X of $\mathcal{D} \times \mathbb{P}^2$ we define

$$\Delta\mathrm{X} := \{(\tilde{f}, \tilde{p}, \tilde{p}) \in \mathcal{D} \times \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2 : (\tilde{f}, \tilde{p}) \in \mathrm{X}\}.$$

Similarly, let X_1 and X_2 be two subsets of $\mathcal{D} \times \mathbb{P}^2$ and $\mathcal{D} \times \mathbb{P}T\mathbb{P}^2$ respectively. Then we define

$$\mathrm{X}_1 \circ \mathrm{X}_2 := \{(\tilde{f}, \tilde{p}_1, l_{\tilde{p}_2}) \in \mathcal{D} \times \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}T\mathbb{P}^2 : (\tilde{f}, \tilde{p}_1) \in \mathrm{X}_1,\quad (\tilde{f}, l_{\tilde{p}_2}) \in \mathrm{X}_2,\quad \tilde{p}_1 \neq \tilde{p}_2\}.$$

Finally, given a subset X of $\mathcal{D} \times \mathbb{P}T\mathbb{P}^2$ we define

$$\Delta \mathrm{X} := \{(\tilde{f}, \tilde{p}, l_{\tilde{p}}) \in \mathcal{D} \times \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}T\mathbb{P}^2 : (\tilde{f}, l_{\tilde{p}}) \in \mathrm{X}\}.$$

The following result is clear from the definition of closure.

Lemma 2.5. *We have the following equality of sets*

$$\overline{\mathrm{X}_1 \circ \mathrm{X}_2} = \overline{\overline{\mathrm{X}}_1 \circ \mathrm{X}_2} = \overline{\mathrm{X}_1 \circ \overline{\mathrm{X}}_2} = \overline{\overline{\mathrm{X}}_1 \circ \overline{\mathrm{X}}_2}.$$

Given a singularity $\mathfrak{X}_k$, we also denote by $\mathfrak{X}_k$, the *space* of curves of degree d with a marked point $\tilde{p}$ such that the curve has a singularity of type $\mathfrak{X}_k$ at $\tilde{p}$. Similarly, $\mathcal{A}_1 \circ \mathfrak{X}_k$ is the the *space* of degree d curves with two distinct marked points $\tilde{p}_1$ and $\tilde{p}_2$ such that the curve has a node at $\tilde{p}_1$ and a singularity of type $\mathfrak{X}_k$ at $\tilde{p}_2$. Note that except when $\mathfrak{X}_k = \mathcal{A}_1$, the space $\mathcal{A}_1 \circ \mathfrak{X}_k$ *is* the fibre product $\mathcal{A}_1 \times_{\mathcal{D}} \mathfrak{X}_k$.

Let $\tilde{p}_1, \tilde{p}_2, \ldots, \tilde{p}_{\delta_d-(k+1+n)}$ be $\delta_d - (k+1+n)$ generic points in $\mathbb{P}^2$ and $\mathrm{L}_1, \mathrm{L}_2, \ldots, \mathrm{L}_n$ be n generic lines in $\mathbb{P}^2$. Define the following sets

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathrm{H}_i := \{\tilde{f} \in \mathcal{D} : f(p_i) = 0\}, \qquad \mathrm{H}_i^* := \{\tilde{f} \in \mathcal{D} : f(p_i) = 0, \nabla f|_{p_i} \neq 0\}, \\ &\hat{\mathrm{H}}_i := \mathrm{H}_i \times \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2, \qquad \hat{\mathrm{H}}_i^* := \mathrm{H}_i^* \times \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \qquad \text{and} \qquad \hat{\mathrm{L}}_i := \mathcal{D} \times \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathrm{L}_i. \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

By definition, our desired number $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1\mathfrak{X}_k, n)$ is the cardinality of the set

$$\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1\mathfrak{X}_k, n) := |\mathcal{A}_1 \circ \mathfrak{X}_k \cap \hat{\mathrm{H}}_1 \cap \ldots \cap \hat{\mathrm{H}}_{\delta_d-(n+1+k)} \cap \hat{\mathrm{L}}_1 \cap \ldots \cap \hat{\mathrm{L}}_n|. \tag{2.2}$$

Let us now clarify an important point to avoid confusion: as per our notation, the number $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1\mathcal{A}_1, 0)$ is the number of degree d curves through $\delta_d - 2$ generic points having two *ordered* nodes. To find the the corresponding number of curves where the nodes are *unordered*, we have to divide by 2.

We will now describe the various steps involved to obtain an explicit formula for $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1\mathfrak{X}_k, n)$.

Step 1. Our first observation is that if d is sufficiently large then $\mathcal{A}_1 \circ \mathfrak{X}_k$ is a smooth algebraic variety and its closure defines a homology class.

Lemma 2.6. (cf. section 5) *The space $\mathcal{A}_1 \circ \mathfrak{X}_k$ is a smooth subvariety of $\mathcal{D} \times \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ of dimension $\delta_d - k$.*

Step 2. Next we observe that if the points and lines are chosen generically, then the corresponding hyperplanes and lines defined in (2.1) will intersect our space $\mathcal{A}_1 \circ \mathfrak{X}_k$ transversely. Moreover, they would not intersect any extra points in the closure.

Lemma 2.7. *Let $\tilde{p}_1, \tilde{p}_2, \ldots, \tilde{p}_{\delta_d-(k+1+n)}$ be $\delta_d - (k+1+n)$ generic points in $\mathbb{P}^2$ and $\mathrm{L}_1, \mathrm{L}_2, \ldots, \mathrm{L}_n$ be n generic lines in $\mathbb{P}^2$. Let $\hat{\mathrm{H}}_i$, $\hat{\mathrm{H}}_i^*$ and $\hat{\mathrm{L}}_i$ be as defined in (2.1). Then*

$$\overline{\mathcal{A}_1 \circ \mathfrak{X}}_k \cap \hat{\mathrm{H}}_1 \cap \ldots \cap \hat{\mathrm{H}}_{\delta_d-(k+n+1)} \cap \hat{\mathrm{L}}_1 \cap \ldots \cap \hat{\mathrm{L}}_n = \mathcal{A}_1 \circ \mathfrak{X}_k \cap \hat{\mathrm{H}}_1^* \cap \ldots \cap \hat{\mathrm{H}}_{\delta_d-(k+n+1)}^* \cap \hat{\mathrm{L}}_1 \cap \ldots \cap \hat{\mathrm{L}}_n$$

and every intersection is transverse.

We omit the details of the proof; it follows from an application of the families transversality theorem and Bertini's theorem. The details of this proof can be found in [2].

Notation 2.8. *Let $\gamma_{\mathcal{D}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}$ and $\gamma_{_{\mathbb{P}^2}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ denote the tautological line bundles. If $c_1(V)$ denotes the first Chern class of a vector bundle then we set*

$$y := c_1(\gamma_{\mathcal{D}}^*) \in H^2(\mathcal{D};\mathbb{Z}), \qquad a := c_1(\gamma_{_{\mathbb{P}^2}}^*) \in H^2(\mathbb{P}^2;\mathbb{Z}).$$

As a consequence of Lemma 2.7 we obtain the following fact:

Lemma 2.9. *The number $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1\mathfrak{X}_k, n)$ is given by*

$$\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1\mathfrak{X}_k, n) = \left\langle (\pi_{\mathcal{D}}^* y)^{\delta_d-(n+k+1)} (\pi_2^* a)^n,\ [\overline{\mathcal{A}_1 \circ \mathfrak{X}_k}] \right\rangle$$

where $\pi_{\mathcal{D}}, \pi_1, \pi_2 : \mathcal{D} \times \mathbb{P}^2_1 \times \mathbb{P}^2_2 \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}, \mathbb{P}^2_1, \mathbb{P}^2_2$ are the projection maps.

Proof: This follows from Theorem 2.3 and Lemma 2.7. □

As explained in [1], the space $\mathfrak{X}_k$ is not easy to describe directly and hence computing $\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{X}_k, n)$ *directly* is not easy. As a result we define another space $\mathcal{P}\mathfrak{X}_k \subset \mathcal{D} \times \mathbb{P}T\mathbb{P}^2$. This is the space of curves $\tilde{f}$, of degree d, with a marked point $\tilde{p} \in \mathbb{P}^2$ and a marked direction $l_{\tilde{p}} \in \mathbb{P}T_{\tilde{p}}\mathbb{P}^2$, such that the curve f has a singularity of type $\mathfrak{X}_k$ at $\tilde{p}$ and certain directional derivatives *vanish along* $l_{\tilde{p}}$, and certain other derivatives *do not vanish*. To take a simple example, $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{A}_2$ is the space of curves $\tilde{f}$ with a marked point $\tilde{p}$ and a marked direction $l_{\tilde{p}}$ such that f has an $\mathcal{A}_2$-node at $\tilde{p}$ and the Hessian is degenerate along $l_{\tilde{p}}$, but the third derivative along $l_{\tilde{p}}$ is non-zero. It turns out that this space is much easier to describe. We have defined $\mathcal{P}\mathfrak{X}_k$ in section 4.3. Similarly, instead of dealing with the space $\mathcal{A}_1 \circ \mathfrak{X}_k$, we deal with the space $\mathcal{A}_1 \circ \mathcal{P}\mathfrak{X}_k$.

Step 3. Next we observe that since $\mathcal{A}_1 \circ \mathcal{P}\mathfrak{X}_k$ is described locally as the vanishing of certain sections that are transverse to the zero set, these are smooth algebraic varieties.

Lemma 2.10. (cf. section 5) *The space $\mathcal{A}_1 \circ \mathcal{P}\mathfrak{X}_k$ is a smooth subvariety of $\mathcal{D} \times \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}T\mathbb{P}^2$ of dimension $\delta_d - (k+1)$.*

Notation 2.11. *Let $\tilde{\gamma} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}T\mathbb{P}^2$ be the tautological line bundle. The first Chern class of the dual will be denoted by $\lambda := c_1(\tilde{\gamma}^*) \in H^2(\mathbb{P}T\mathbb{P}^2;\mathbb{Z})$.*

Lemma 2.10 now motivates the following definition:

Definition 2.12. *We define the number $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1 \circ \mathcal{P}\mathfrak{X}_k, n, m)$ as*

$$\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1\mathcal{P}\mathfrak{X}_k, n, m) := \left\langle \pi_{\mathcal{D}}^* y^{\delta_d-(k+n+m+1)} \pi_2^* a^n \pi_2^* \lambda^m,\ [\overline{\mathcal{A}_1 \circ \mathcal{P}\mathfrak{X}_k}] \right\rangle. \tag{2.3}$$

where $\pi_{\mathcal{D}}, \pi_1, \pi_2 : \mathcal{D} \times \mathbb{P}^2_1 \times \mathbb{P}T\mathbb{P}^2_2 \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}, \mathbb{P}^2_1, \mathbb{P}T\mathbb{P}^2_2$ are the projection maps.

The next Lemma relates the numbers $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1\mathcal{P}\mathfrak{X}_k, n, 0)$ and $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1\mathfrak{X}_k, n)$.

Lemma 2.13. *The projection map $\pi : \mathcal{A}_1 \circ \mathcal{P}\mathfrak{X}_k \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}_1 \circ \mathfrak{X}_k$ is one to one if $\mathfrak{X}_k = \mathcal{A}_k, \mathcal{D}_k, \mathcal{E}_6, \mathcal{E}_7$ or $\mathcal{E}_8$ except for $\mathfrak{X}_k = \mathcal{D}_4$ when it is three to one. In particular,*

$$\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1\mathfrak{X}_k, n) = \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1\mathcal{P}\mathfrak{X}_k, n, 0) \qquad \text{if} \quad \mathfrak{X}_k \neq \mathcal{D}_4 \qquad \text{and} \qquad \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1\mathcal{D}_4, n) = \frac{\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1\mathcal{P}\mathcal{D}_4, n, 0)}{3}. \tag{2.4}$$

Proof: This is identical to the proof of the corresponding lemma in [1]. □

To summarize, the *definition* of $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1\mathfrak{X}_k, n)$ is (2.2). Lemma 2.9 equates this number to a *topological* computation. We then introduce another number $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1\mathcal{P}\mathfrak{X}_k, n, m)$ in Definition 2.12 and relate it to $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1\mathfrak{X}_k, n)$ in Lemma 2.13. In other words, we do not compute $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1\mathfrak{X}_k, n)$ *directly*; we compute it *indirectly* by first computing $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1\mathcal{P}\mathfrak{X}_k, n, m)$ and then using Lemma 2.13.

We now give a brief idea of how to compute these numbers. Suppose we want to compute $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1\mathcal{P}\mathfrak{X}_k, n, m)$. We first find some singularity $\mathfrak{X}_l$ for which $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1\mathcal{P}\mathfrak{X}_l, n, m)$ has been calculated and which contains $\mathfrak{X}_k$ in its closure, i.e., we want $\mathcal{P}\mathfrak{X}_k$ to be a subset of $\overline{\mathcal{P}\mathfrak{X}}_l$. Usually, $l = k-1$ but it is not necessary. Our next task is to describe the closure of $\mathcal{P}\mathfrak{X}_l$ and $\mathcal{A}_1 \circ \mathcal{P}\mathfrak{X}_l$ explicitly as

$$\overline{\mathcal{P}\mathfrak{X}}_l = \mathcal{P}\mathfrak{X}_l \sqcup \overline{\mathcal{P}\mathfrak{X}}_k \cup \mathcal{B}_1 \qquad \text{and} \tag{2.5}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}_1 \circ \mathcal{P}\mathfrak{X}}_l} &= \overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \circ \mathcal{P}\mathfrak{X}_l \sqcup \overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \circ (\overline{\mathcal{P}\mathfrak{X}}_l - \mathcal{P}\mathfrak{X}_l) \sqcup \big(\Delta\mathcal{B}_2\big) \\ &= \overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \circ \mathcal{P}\mathfrak{X}_l \sqcup \overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \circ (\overline{\mathcal{P}\mathfrak{X}}_k \cup \mathcal{B}_1) \sqcup \big(\Delta\mathcal{B}_2\big), \qquad \text{where} \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

$$\Delta\mathcal{B}_2 := \{(\tilde{f}, \tilde{p}_1, l_{\tilde{p}_2}) \in \overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}_1 \circ \mathcal{P}\mathfrak{X}}_l} : \tilde{p}_1 = \tilde{p}_2\}.$$

Note that $\overline{\mathcal{A}_1 \circ \overline{\mathcal{P}\mathfrak{X}}_l} = \overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}_1 \circ \mathcal{P}\mathfrak{X}}_l}$. The main content of [1] was to express $\overline{\mathcal{P}\mathfrak{X}}_l$ as in (2.5). The main content of this paper is to compute $\Delta\mathcal{B}_2$, i.e expressing $\overline{\mathcal{A}_1 \circ \overline{\mathcal{P}\mathfrak{X}}_l}$ as in (2.6). Concretely, computing $\Delta\mathcal{B}_2$ means figuring out what happens to a $\mathfrak{X}_k$ singularity, when it collides with an $\mathcal{A}_1$-node. As a simple example, when two nodes collide, we get an



Figure 1: Two nodes colliding into a tacnode

$\mathcal{A}_3$-node (which is basically the content of Lemma 6.3, statement 2). By definition 2.12 and Theorem 2.3

$$\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1\mathcal{P}\mathfrak{X}_k, n, m) := \big\langle e(\mathbb{W}^1_{n,m,k}),\ [\overline{\mathcal{A}_1 \circ \mathcal{P}\mathfrak{X}}_k]\big\rangle = \big|\pm\mathcal{Q}^{-1}(0) \cap \mathcal{A}_1 \circ \mathcal{P}\mathfrak{X}_k\big|,$$

where

$$\mathcal{Q} : \mathcal{D} \times \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}T\mathbb{P}^2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{W}^\delta_{n,m,k} := \left(\bigoplus\nolimits_{i=1}^{\delta_d - (n+m+k+\delta)} \pi^*_{\mathcal{D}}\gamma^*_{\mathcal{D}}\right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus\nolimits_{i=1}^{n} \pi_1^*\gamma^*_{\mathbb{P}^2}\right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus\nolimits_{i=1}^{m} \pi_2^*\tilde{\gamma}^*\right) \tag{2.7}$$

is a generic smooth section. In [1], we constructed a section $\Psi_{\mathcal{P}\mathfrak{X}_k}$ of an appropriate vector bundle

$$\mathbb{V}_{\mathcal{P}\mathfrak{X}_k} \longrightarrow \overline{\mathcal{P}\mathfrak{X}}_l = \mathcal{P}\mathfrak{X}_l \cup \overline{\mathcal{P}\mathfrak{X}}_k \cup \mathcal{B}_1$$

with the following properties: the section $\Psi_{\mathcal{P}\mathfrak{X}_k} : \overline{\mathcal{P}\mathfrak{X}}_k \longrightarrow \mathbb{V}_{\mathcal{P}\mathfrak{X}_k}$ does not vanish on $\mathcal{P}\mathfrak{X}_l$ and it vanishes *transversely* on $\mathcal{P}\mathfrak{X}_k$. With a similar reasoning one can show that the induced section

$$\pi_2^*\Psi_{\mathcal{P}\mathfrak{X}_k} : \overline{\mathcal{A}_1 \circ \mathcal{P}\mathfrak{X}}_l \longrightarrow \pi_2^*\mathbb{V}_{\mathcal{P}\mathfrak{X}_k}$$

vanishes *transversely* on $\mathcal{A}_1 \circ \mathcal{P}\mathfrak{X}_k$.[3] Here π_2 is the following projection map

$$\pi_2 : \mathcal{D} \times \mathbb{P}^2_1 \times \mathbb{P}T\mathbb{P}^2_2 \longrightarrow \mathcal{D} \times \mathbb{P}T\mathbb{P}^2_2.$$

[3]However the bound on d for which transversality is achieved increases.

Since $\Psi_{\mathcal{P}\mathfrak{X}_k}$ does not vanish on $\mathcal{P}\mathfrak{X}_l$, the section $\pi_2^*\Psi_{\mathcal{P}\mathfrak{X}_k}$ does not vanish on $\mathcal{A}_1 \circ \mathcal{P}\mathfrak{X}_l$. Therefore,

$$\left\langle e(\pi_2^*\mathbb{V}_{\mathcal{P}\mathfrak{X}_k} \oplus \mathbb{W}^1_{n,m,k}),\ [\overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}_1 \circ \mathcal{P}\mathfrak{X}_l}}] \right\rangle = \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1\mathcal{P}\mathfrak{X}_k, n, m) + \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{A}_1\circ\mathcal{B}_1}(\pi_2^*\Psi_{\mathcal{P}\mathfrak{X}_k} \oplus \mathcal{Q}) + \mathcal{C}_{\Delta\mathcal{B}_2}(\pi_2^*\Psi_{\mathcal{P}\mathfrak{X}_k} \oplus \mathcal{Q}) \tag{2.8}$$

where $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{A}_1\circ\mathcal{B}_1}(\pi_2^*\Psi_{\mathcal{P}\mathfrak{X}_k} \oplus \mathcal{Q})$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\Delta\mathcal{B}_2}(\pi_2^*\Psi_{\mathcal{P}\mathfrak{X}_k} \oplus \mathcal{Q})$ are the contributions of the section $\pi_2^*\Psi_{\mathcal{P}\mathfrak{X}_k} \oplus \mathcal{Q}$ to the Euler class from the points of $\mathcal{A}_1 \circ \mathcal{B}_1$ and $\Delta\mathcal{B}_2$ respectively. The number $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{A}_1\circ\mathcal{B}_1}(\pi_2^*\Psi_{\mathcal{P}\mathfrak{X}_k} \oplus \mathcal{Q})$ was computed in [1]. The main content of this paper is to compute $\mathcal{C}_{\Delta\mathcal{B}_2}(\pi_2^*\Psi_{\mathcal{P}\mathfrak{X}_k} \oplus \mathcal{Q})$. Once we have computed these numbers, we observe that the left hand side of (2.8) is computable via splitting principle and the fact that $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1\mathcal{P}\mathfrak{X}_l, n, m)$ is known. Therefore, we get a recursive formula for $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1\mathcal{P}\mathfrak{X}_k, n, m)$ in terms of $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1\mathcal{P}\mathfrak{X}_l, n', m')$ and $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{P}\mathfrak{X}_{k+1}, n, m)$. The main result of [1] was to find an explicit formula for $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{P}\mathfrak{X}_{k+1}, n, m)$. Using this and iterations, we get an explicit formula for $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1\mathcal{P}\mathfrak{X}_k, n, m)$. Finally, using Lemma 2.4, we get our desired numbers $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1\mathfrak{X}_k, n)$.

Example 2.14. *Suppose we wish to compute $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1\mathcal{A}_5, n)$. This can be deduced from the knowledge of $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1\mathcal{P}\mathcal{A}_5, n, m)$. The obvious singularities which have $\mathcal{A}_5$-nodes in its closure are $\mathcal{A}_4$-nodes. In order to analyze the space $\overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}_1 \circ \mathcal{P}\mathcal{A}_4}}$, we infer (cf. Lemma 6.3, statement 6) that*

$$\overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}_1 \circ \mathcal{P}\mathcal{A}_4}} = \overline{\mathcal{A}_1 \circ \mathcal{P}\mathcal{A}_4} \sqcup \overline{\mathcal{A}_1 \circ (\overline{\mathcal{P}\mathcal{A}_4} - \mathcal{P}\mathcal{A}_4)} \sqcup \left(\Delta\overline{\mathcal{P}\mathcal{A}_6} \cup \Delta\overline{\mathcal{P}\mathcal{D}_7^s} \cup \Delta\overline{\mathcal{P}\mathcal{E}_6}\right).$$

By [1] (cf. Lemma 6.1, statement 9 we conclude that

$$\overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}_1 \circ \mathcal{P}\mathcal{A}_4}} = \overline{\mathcal{A}_1 \circ \mathcal{P}\mathcal{A}_4} \sqcup \overline{\mathcal{A}_1 \circ (\overline{\mathcal{P}\mathcal{A}_5} \cup \overline{\mathcal{P}\mathcal{D}_5})} \sqcup \left(\Delta\overline{\mathcal{P}\mathcal{A}_6} \cup \Delta\overline{\mathcal{P}\mathcal{D}_7^s} \cup \Delta\overline{\mathcal{P}\mathcal{E}_6}\right).$$

The corresponding line bundle $\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{P}\mathcal{A}_5} \longrightarrow \overline{\mathcal{P}\mathcal{A}_4}$ with a section $\Psi_{\mathcal{P}\mathcal{A}_5}$ that does not vanish on $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{A}_4$ and vanishes transversely on $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{A}_5$ is defined in section 4.1. In section 5, we indicate that

$$\pi_2^*\Psi_{\mathcal{P}\mathcal{A}_5} : \overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}_1 \circ \mathcal{P}\mathcal{A}_4}} \longrightarrow \pi_2^*\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{P}\mathcal{A}_5}$$

vanishes on $\mathcal{A}_1 \circ \mathcal{P}\mathcal{A}_5$ transversely. Let $\mathcal{Q}$ be a generic section of the vector bundle

$$\mathbb{W}^1_{n,m,5} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D} \times \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}T\mathbb{P}^2.$$

By [1] $\pi_2^*\Psi_{\mathcal{P}\mathcal{A}_5} \oplus \mathcal{Q}$ vanishes on all points of $\mathcal{A}_1 \circ \mathcal{P}\mathcal{D}_5$ with a multiplicity of 2. By Corollary 6.13 and 6.20, $\pi_2^*\Psi_{\mathcal{P}\mathcal{A}_5} \oplus \mathcal{Q}$ vanishes on all the points of $\Delta\mathcal{P}\mathcal{A}_6$ and $\Delta\mathcal{P}\mathcal{E}_6$ with a multiplicity of 2 and 5 respectively. Furthermore, we also show that $\Delta\overline{\mathcal{P}\mathcal{D}_7^s}$ is contained inside $\Delta\overline{\mathcal{P}\mathcal{D}_7}$. Since the dimension of $\Delta\mathcal{P}\mathcal{D}_7$ is one less than the rank of $\pi_2^*\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{P}\mathcal{A}_5} \oplus \mathbb{W}^1_{n,m,5}$ and $\mathcal{Q}$ is generic, $\pi_2^*\Psi_{\mathcal{P}\mathcal{A}_5} \oplus \mathcal{Q}$ does not vanish on $\Delta\overline{\mathcal{P}\mathcal{D}_7}$. Hence, it does not vanish on $\Delta\overline{\mathcal{P}\mathcal{D}_7^s}$. Therefore, we conclude that

$$\langle e(\pi_2^*\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{P}\mathcal{A}_5} \oplus \mathbb{W}^1_{n,m,5}),\ [\overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}_1 \circ \mathcal{P}\mathcal{A}_4}}]\rangle = \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1\mathcal{P}\mathcal{A}_5, n, m) + 2\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{P}\mathcal{D}_5, n, m) + 2\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{P}\mathcal{A}_6, n, m) + 5\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{P}\mathcal{E}_6, n, m). \tag{2.9}$$

This gives us a recursive formula for $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1\mathcal{P}\mathcal{A}_5, n, m)$ in terms of $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1\mathcal{P}\mathcal{A}_4, n', m')$, $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{P}\mathcal{A}_6, n, m)$, $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{P}\mathcal{D}_5, n, m)$ and $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{P}\mathcal{E}_6, n, m)$, which is (3.6) in our algorithm.

Remark 2.15. *We remind the reader that $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{P}\mathfrak{X}_k, n, m)$ has been defined in [1]. The definition is analogous to the definition of $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1\mathcal{P}\mathfrak{X}_k, n, m)$ as given in definition 2.12 in this paper.*

Now we describe the basic organization of our paper. In section 3 we state the explicit algorithm to obtain the numbers $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1\mathfrak{X}_k, n)$ in our MAIN THEOREM. In section 4 we recapitulate all the spaces, vector bundles and sections of vector bundles we encountered in the process of enumerating curves with one singular point. In section 5 we introduce a few new notation needed for this paper and write down the relevant sections that are transverse to the zero set. The proof of why the sections are transverse to the zero set can be found in [2]. In section 6 we stratify the space $\overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}_1 \circ \mathcal{P}\mathfrak{X}_k}}$ as described in (2.6). Along the way we also compute the *order* to which a certain section vanishes around certain points (i.e., the contribution of the section to the Euler class of a bundle). Finally, using the splitting principal, in section 7 we compute the Euler class of the relevant bundles and obtain the recursive formula similar to (2.9) above.

Acknowledgement. One of the crucial results of this paper is to compute the closure of relevant spaces, i.e., to stratify the space $\overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}_1 \circ \mathcal{P}\mathfrak{X}_k}}$ as described in (2.6). A key step here is to observe that certain sections are transverse to the zero set and utilize them to describe the neighborhood of a point. The second author is indebted to Aleksey Zinger for sharing his understanding of transversality and explaining this crucial idea to him (i.e., how to describe the neighborhood of a point using transversality of bundle sections). In addition, the second author is also grateful to Aleksey Zinger for suggesting several non trivial low degree checks to verify our formulas. One of those low degree checks proved to be crucial in figuring out a mistake the second author had made earlier.

The authors are grateful to Dennis Sullivan for sharing his perspective on this problem and indicating its connection to other areas of mathematics.

3 Algorithm

We now give an algorithm to compute the numbers $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1\mathfrak{X}_k, n)$. Equations (3.2)-(3.13) are recursive formulas for $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1\mathcal{P}\mathfrak{X}_k, n, m)$ in terms of $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1\mathcal{P}\mathfrak{X}_{k-1}, n', m')$ and $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{P}\mathfrak{X}_{k+1}, n, m)$. In [1] we had obtained an explicit formula for $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{P}\mathfrak{X}_{k+1}, n, m)$. Finally, using Lemma 2.4, we get our desired numbers $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1\mathfrak{X}_k, n)$. We have implemented this algorithm in a Mathematica program to obtain the final answers. The program is available on our web page `https://www.sites.google.com/site/ritwik371/home`. We prove the formulas in section 7.

First we note that using the ring structure of $H^*(\mathcal{D} \times \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}T\mathbb{P}^2; \mathbb{Z})$, it is easy to see that for every singularity type $\mathfrak{X}_k$ we have

$$\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1\mathcal{P}\mathfrak{X}_k, n, m) = -3\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1\mathcal{P}\mathfrak{X}_k, n+1, m-1) - 3\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1\mathcal{P}\mathfrak{X}_k, n+2, m-2) \qquad \forall \ \ m \geq 2. \quad (3.1)$$

We now give recursive formulas for $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1\mathcal{A}_1, n)$ and $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1\mathcal{P}\mathfrak{X}_k, n, m)$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1\mathcal{A}_1, n) &= \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1, 0) \times \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1, n) \\
&\quad -\big(\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1, n) + d\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1, n+1) + 3\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_2, n)\big) && (3.2)\\
\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1\mathcal{P}\mathcal{A}_2, n, 0) &= 2\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1\mathcal{A}_1, n) + 2(d-3)\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1\mathcal{A}_1, n+1) \\
&\quad -2\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{P}\mathcal{A}_3, n, 0) && (3.3)\\
\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1\mathcal{P}\mathcal{A}_2, n, 1) &= \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1\mathcal{A}_1, n) + (2d-9)\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1\mathcal{A}_1, n+1) + (d^2-9d+18)\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1\mathcal{A}_1, n+2) \\
&\quad -2\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{P}\mathcal{A}_3, n, 1) - 3\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{D}_4, n) && (3.4)\\
\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1\mathcal{P}\mathcal{A}_3, n, m) &= \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1\mathcal{P}\mathcal{A}_2, n, m) + 3\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1\mathcal{P}\mathcal{A}_2, n, m+1) + d\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1\mathcal{P}\mathcal{A}_2, n+1, m) \\
&\quad -2\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{P}\mathcal{A}_4, n, m) && (3.5)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1\mathcal{P}\mathcal{A}_4,n,m) &= 2\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1\mathcal{P}\mathcal{A}_3,n,m)+2\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1\mathcal{P}\mathcal{A}_3,n,m+1)+(2d-6)\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1\mathcal{P}\mathcal{A}_3,n+1,m)\\
&\quad -2\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{P}\mathcal{A}_5,n,m) \qquad (3.6)\\
\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1\mathcal{P}\mathcal{A}_5,n,m) &= 3\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1\mathcal{P}\mathcal{A}_4,n,m)+\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1\mathcal{P}\mathcal{A}_4,n,m+1)+(3d-12)\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1\mathcal{P}\mathcal{A}_4,n+1,m)\\
&\quad -2\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1\mathcal{P}\mathcal{D}_5,n,m)-2\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{P}\mathcal{A}_6,n,m)-5\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{P}\mathcal{E}_6,n,m) \qquad (3.7)\\
\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1\mathcal{P}\mathcal{A}_6,n,m) &= 4\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1\mathcal{P}\mathcal{A}_5,n,m)+0\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{P}\mathcal{A}_1\mathcal{A}_5,n,m+1)+(4d-18)\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{P}\mathcal{A}_1\mathcal{A}_5,n+1,m)\\
&\quad -4\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1\mathcal{P}\mathcal{D}_6,n,m)-3\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1\mathcal{P}\mathcal{E}_6,n,m)\\
&\quad -2\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{P}\mathcal{A}_7,n,m)-6\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{P}\mathcal{E}_7,n,m) \qquad (3.8)\\
\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1\mathcal{P}\mathcal{D}_4,n,0) &= \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1\mathcal{P}\mathcal{A}_3,n,0)-2\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1\mathcal{P}\mathcal{A}_3,n,1)+(d-6)\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1\mathcal{P}\mathcal{A}_3,n+1,0)\\
&\quad -2\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{D}_5,n) \qquad (3.9)\\
\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1\mathcal{P}\mathcal{D}_4,n,1) &= \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1\mathcal{D}_4,n,0)+(d-9)\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1\mathcal{D}_4,n+1,0) \qquad (3.10)\\
\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1\mathcal{P}\mathcal{D}_5,n,m) &= \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1\mathcal{P}\mathcal{D}_4,n,m)+\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1\mathcal{P}\mathcal{D}_4,n,m+1)+(d-3)\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1\mathcal{P}\mathcal{D}_4,n+1,m)\\
&\quad -2\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{P}\mathcal{D}_6,n,m) \qquad (3.11)\\
\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1\mathcal{P}\mathcal{D}_6,n,m) &= \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1\mathcal{P}\mathcal{D}_5,n,m)+4\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1\mathcal{P}\mathcal{D}_5,n,m+1)+d\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1\mathcal{P}\mathcal{D}_5,n+1,m)\\
&\quad -2\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{P}\mathcal{D}_7,n,m)-\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{P}\mathcal{E}_7,n,m) \qquad (3.12)\\
\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1\mathcal{P}\mathcal{E}_6,n,m) &= \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1\mathcal{P}\mathcal{D}_5,n,m)-\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1\mathcal{P}\mathcal{D}_5,n,m+1)+(d-6)\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1\mathcal{P}\mathcal{D}_5,n+1,m)\\
&\quad -\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{P}\mathcal{E}_7,n,m) \qquad (3.13)
\end{aligned}$$

4 Review of definitions and notations for one singular point

We recall a few definitions and notation from [1] so that our paper is self-contained.

4.1 The vector bundles involved

The first three of the vector bundles we will encounter, the tautological line bundles, have been defined in notations 2.8 and 2.11. Let $\pi : \mathcal{D}\times\mathbb{P}T\mathbb{P}^2 \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}\times\mathbb{P}^2$ be the projection map.

Remark 4.1. *We will make the abuse of notation of usually omitting the pullback maps $\pi^*_{\mathcal{D}}$ and $\pi^*_{\mathbb{P}^2}$. Our intended meaning should be clear when we say, for instance, $\gamma^*_{\mathcal{D}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}\times\mathbb{P}^2$. However, we will not omit to write the pullback via π^*.*

We have the following bundles over $\mathcal{D}\times\mathbb{P}^2$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}_0} &:= \gamma^*_{\mathcal{D}}\otimes\gamma^{*d}_{\mathbb{P}^2} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}\times\mathbb{P}^2\\
\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{A}_1} &:= \gamma^*_{\mathcal{D}}\otimes\gamma^{*d}_{\mathbb{P}^2}\otimes T^*\mathbb{P}^2 \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}\times\mathbb{P}^2\\
\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}_2} &:= (\gamma^*_{\mathcal{D}}\otimes\gamma^{*d}_{\mathbb{P}^2}\otimes\Lambda^2T^*\mathbb{P}^2)^{\otimes 2} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}\times\mathbb{P}^2\\
\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{D}_4} &:= \gamma^*_{\mathcal{D}}\otimes\gamma^{*d}_{\mathbb{P}^2}\otimes \mathrm{Sym}^2(T^*\mathbb{P}^2\otimes T^*\mathbb{P}^2) \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}\times\mathbb{P}^2\\
\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{X}_8} &:= \gamma^*_{\mathcal{D}}\otimes\gamma^{*d}_{\mathbb{P}^2}\otimes \mathrm{Sym}^3(T^*\mathbb{P}^2\otimes T^*\mathbb{P}^2\otimes T^*\mathbb{P}^2) \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}\times\mathbb{P}^2
\end{aligned}$$

Associated to the map π there are pullback bundles

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{L}_{\hat{\mathcal{A}}_0} &:= \pi^*\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}_0} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}\times\mathbb{P}T\mathbb{P}^2\\
\mathbb{V}_{\hat{\mathcal{A}}_1} &:= \pi^*\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{A}_1} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}\times\mathbb{P}T\mathbb{P}^2\\
\mathbb{V}_{\hat{\mathcal{D}}_4} &:= \pi^*\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{D}_4} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}\times\mathbb{P}T\mathbb{P}^2\\
\mathbb{V}_{\hat{\mathcal{X}}_8} &:= \pi^*\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{X}_8} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}\times\mathbb{P}T\mathbb{P}^2\\
\mathbb{V}_{\mathcal{PA}_2} &:= \tilde{\gamma}^*\otimes\gamma_{\mathcal{D}}^*\otimes\gamma_{\mathbb{P}^2}^{*d}\otimes\pi^*T^*\mathbb{P}^2 \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}\times\mathbb{P}T\mathbb{P}^2\\
\mathbb{V}_{\mathcal{PD}_5} &:= \tilde{\gamma}^{*2}\otimes\gamma_{\mathcal{D}}^*\otimes\gamma_{\mathbb{P}^2}^{*d}\otimes\pi^*T^*\mathbb{P}^2 \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}\times\mathbb{P}T\mathbb{P}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{PD}_4} &:= (T\mathbb{P}^2/\tilde{\gamma})^{*2}\otimes\gamma_{\mathcal{D}}^*\otimes\gamma_{\mathbb{P}^2}^{*d} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}\times\mathbb{P}T\mathbb{P}^2\\
\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{PD}_5} &:= \tilde{\gamma}^{*2}\otimes(T\mathbb{P}^2/\tilde{\gamma})^*\otimes\gamma_{\mathcal{D}}^*\otimes\gamma_{\mathbb{P}^2}^{*d} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}\times\mathbb{P}T\mathbb{P}^2\\
\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{PD}_5^\vee} &:= \tilde{\gamma}^{*2}\otimes(T\mathbb{P}^2/\tilde{\gamma})^{*4}\otimes\gamma_{\mathcal{D}}^{*2}\otimes\gamma_{\mathbb{P}^2}^{*2d} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}\times\mathbb{P}T\mathbb{P}^2\\
\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{PD}_6^\vee} &:= \tilde{\gamma}^{*8}\otimes(T\mathbb{P}^2/\tilde{\gamma})^{*4}\otimes\gamma_{\mathcal{D}}^{*5}\otimes\gamma_{\mathbb{P}^2}^{*5d} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}\times\mathbb{P}T\mathbb{P}^2\\
\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{PE}_6} &:= \tilde{\gamma}^{*}\otimes(T\mathbb{P}^2/\tilde{\gamma})^{*2}\otimes\gamma_{\mathcal{D}}^{*}\otimes\gamma_{\mathbb{P}^2}^{*d} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}\times\mathbb{P}T\mathbb{P}^2\\
\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{PE}_7} &:= \tilde{\gamma}^{*4}\otimes\gamma_{\mathcal{D}}^{*}\otimes\gamma_{\mathbb{P}^2}^{*d} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}\times\mathbb{P}T\mathbb{P}^2\\
\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{PE}_8} &:= \tilde{\gamma}^{*3}\otimes(T\mathbb{P}^2/\tilde{\gamma})^{*}\otimes\gamma_{\mathcal{D}}^{*}\otimes\gamma_{\mathbb{P}^2}^{*d} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}\times\mathbb{P}T\mathbb{P}^2\\
\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{PX}_8} &:= (T\mathbb{P}^2/\tilde{\gamma})^{*3}\otimes\gamma_{\mathcal{D}}^{*}\otimes\gamma_{\mathbb{P}^2}^{*d} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}\times\mathbb{P}T\mathbb{P}^2\\
\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{J}} &:= \tilde{\gamma}^{*9}\otimes(T\mathbb{P}^2/\tilde{\gamma})^{*3}\otimes\gamma_{\mathcal{D}}^{*3}\otimes\gamma_{\mathbb{P}^2}^{*d} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}\times\mathbb{P}T\mathbb{P}^2\\
k\geq 3 \qquad \mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{PA}_k} &:= \tilde{\gamma}^{*k}\otimes(T\mathbb{P}^2/\tilde{\gamma})^{*(2k-6)}\otimes\gamma_{\mathcal{D}}^{*(k-2)}\otimes\gamma_{\mathbb{P}^2}^{*(d(k+1)-3d)} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}\times\mathbb{P}T\mathbb{P}^2\\
k\geq 6 \qquad \mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{PD}_k} &:= \tilde{\gamma}^{*(k-2+\epsilon_k)}\otimes(T\mathbb{P}^2/\tilde{\gamma})^{*(2\epsilon_k)}\otimes\gamma_{\mathcal{D}}^{*(1+\epsilon_k)}\otimes\gamma_{\mathbb{P}^2}^{*(d(1+\epsilon_k))} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}\times\mathbb{P}T\mathbb{P}^2,
\end{aligned}$$

where $\epsilon_6=0$, $\epsilon_7=1$ and $\epsilon_8=3$. The reason for defining these bundles will become clearer in section 4.2, when we define sections of these bundles.

With the abuse of notation as explained in Remark 4.1, the bundle $T\mathbb{P}^2/\tilde{\gamma}$ is the quotient of the bundles V and W, where V is the pullback of the tangent bundle $T\mathbb{P}^2\to\mathbb{P}^2$ via $\mathcal{D}\times\mathbb{P}T\mathbb{P}^2\xrightarrow{\pi}\mathcal{D}\times\mathbb{P}^2\to\mathbb{P}^2$ and W is pullback of $\tilde{\gamma}\to\mathbb{P}T\mathbb{P}^2$ via $\mathcal{D}\times\mathbb{P}T\mathbb{P}^2\to\mathbb{P}T\mathbb{P}^2$.

4.2 Sections of Vector Bundles

Let us recall the definition of *vertical derivative*.

Definition 4.2. *Let $\pi: V\longrightarrow M$ be a holomorphic vector bundle of rank k and $s: M\longrightarrow V$ be a holomorphic section. Suppose $h: V|_{\mathcal{U}}\longrightarrow\mathcal{U}\times\mathbb{C}^k$ is a holomorphic trivialization of V and $\pi_1,\pi_2:\mathcal{U}\times\mathbb{C}^k\longrightarrow\mathcal{U},\mathbb{C}^k$ the projection maps. Let*

$$\hat{s}:=\pi_2\circ h\circ s. \tag{4.1}$$

For $q\in\mathcal{U}$, we define the vertical derivative of s to be the $\mathbb{C}$-linear map

$$\nabla s|_q: T_qM\longrightarrow V_q, \qquad \nabla s|_q:=(\pi_2\circ h)|_{V_q}^{-1}\circ d\hat{s}|_q,$$

where $V_q = \pi^{-1}(q)$*, the fibre at* q*. In particular, if* $v \in T_qM$ *is given by a holomorphic map* $\gamma : \mathrm{B}_\epsilon(0) \longrightarrow M$ *such that* $\gamma(0) = q$ *and* $\frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial z}\big|_{z=0} = v$*, then*

$$\nabla s|_q(v) := (\pi_2 \circ h)|_{V_q}^{-1} \circ \frac{\partial \hat{s}(\gamma(z))}{\partial z}\bigg|_{z=0}$$

were B_ϵ *is an open* ϵ*-ball in* $\mathbb{C}$ *around the origin.*[4] *Finally, if* $v, w \in T_qM$ *are tangent vectors such that there exists a family of complex curves* $\gamma : \mathrm{B}_\epsilon \times \mathrm{B}_\epsilon \longrightarrow M$ *such that*

$$\gamma(0,0) = q, \qquad \frac{\partial \gamma(x,y)}{\partial x}\bigg|_{(0,0)} = v, \qquad \frac{\partial \gamma(x,y)}{\partial y}\bigg|_{(0,0)} = w$$

then

$$\nabla^{i+j} s|_q(\underbrace{v, \cdots v}_{i \text{ times}}, \underbrace{w, \cdots w}_{j \text{ times}}) := (\pi_2 \circ h)\,|_{V_q}^{-1} \circ \left[\frac{\partial^{i+j} \hat{s}(\gamma(x,y))}{\partial^i x \partial^j y}\right]\bigg|_{(0,0)}. \tag{4.2}$$

Remark 4.3. *In general the quantity in* (4.2) *is not well defined, i.e., it depends on the trivialization and the curve* γ*. In [2] we explain on what subspace this quantity is well defined.*

Remark 4.4. *The section* $s : M \longrightarrow V$ *is transverse to the zero set if and only if the induced map*

$$\bar{s} := \hat{s} \circ \varphi_{\mathcal{U}}^{-1} : \mathbb{C}^m \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^k \tag{4.3}$$

is transverse to the zero set in the usual calculus sense, where $\varphi_{\mathcal{U}} : \mathcal{U} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^m$ *is a coordinate chart and* $\hat{s}$ *is as defined in* (4.1)*.*

Let $f : \mathbb{P}^2 \longrightarrow \gamma_{\mathbb{P}^2}^{*d}$ be a section and $\tilde{p} \in \mathbb{P}^2$. We can think of p as a non-zero vector in $\gamma_{\mathbb{P}^2}$ and $p^{\otimes d}$ a non-zero vector in $\gamma_{\mathbb{P}^2}^{\otimes d}$ [5]. The quantity $\nabla f|_{\tilde{p}}$ acts on a vector in $\gamma_{\mathbb{P}^2}^d|_{\tilde{p}}$ and produces an element of $T_{\tilde{p}}^*\mathbb{P}^2$. Let us denote this quantity as $\nabla f|_p$, i.e.,

$$\nabla f|_p := \{\nabla f|_{\tilde{p}}\}(p^{\otimes d}) \in T_{\tilde{p}}^*\mathbb{P}^2. \tag{4.4}$$

Notice that $\nabla f|_{\tilde{p}}$ is an element of the fibre of $T^*\mathbb{P}^2 \otimes \gamma_{\mathbb{P}^2}^{*d}$ at $\tilde{p}$ while $\nabla f|_p$ is an element of $T_{\tilde{p}}^*\mathbb{P}^2$.

Now observe that $\pi^*T\mathbb{P}^2 \cong \tilde{\gamma} \oplus \pi^*T\mathbb{P}^2/\tilde{\gamma} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}T\mathbb{P}^2$, where $\pi : \mathbb{P}T\mathbb{P}^2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ is the projection map. Let us denote a vector in $\tilde{\gamma}$ by v and a vector in $\pi^*T\mathbb{P}^2/\tilde{\gamma}$ by $\tilde{w}$. Given $\tilde{f} \in \mathcal{D}$ and $\tilde{p} \in \mathbb{P}^2$, let

$$f_{ij} := \nabla^{i+j} f|_p(\underbrace{v, \cdots v}_{i \text{ times}}, \underbrace{w, \cdots w}_{j \text{ times}}). \tag{4.5}$$

Note that f_{ij} is a *number*. In general f_{ij} is not well defined; it depends on the trivialization and the curve. Moreover it is also not well defined on the quotient space. Since our sections are not defined on the whole space, we will use the notation $s : M \dashrightarrow V$ to indicate that s is defined only on a

[4] Not every tangent vector is given by a holomorphic map; however combined with the fact that $\nabla s|_p$ is $\mathbb{C}$-linear, this definition determines $\nabla s|_p$ completely.

[5] Remember that p is an element of $\mathbb{C}^3 - 0$ while $\tilde{p}$ is the corresponding equivalence class in $\mathbb{P}^2$.

subspace of M.

With this terminology, we now explicitly define the sections that we will encounter.

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi_{\mathcal{A}_0} &: \mathcal{D}\times\mathbb{P}^2 \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}_0}, & \{\psi_{\mathcal{A}_0}(\tilde{f},\tilde{p})\}(f\otimes p^{\otimes d}) &:= f(p)\\
\psi_{\mathcal{A}_1} &: \mathcal{D}\times\mathbb{P}^2 \dashrightarrow \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{A}_1}, & \{\psi_{\mathcal{A}_1}(\tilde{f},\tilde{p})\}(f\otimes p^{\otimes d}) &:= \nabla f|_p\\
\psi_{\mathcal{D}_4} &: \mathcal{D}\times\mathbb{P}^2 \dashrightarrow \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{D}_4}, & \{\psi_{\mathcal{D}_4}(\tilde{f},\tilde{p})\}(f\otimes p^{\otimes d}) &:= \nabla^2 f|_p\\
\psi_{\mathcal{X}_8} &: \mathcal{D}\times\mathbb{P}^2 \dashrightarrow \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{X}_8}, & \{\psi_{\mathcal{X}_8}(\tilde{f},\tilde{p})\}(f\otimes p^{\otimes d}) &:= \nabla^3 f|_p\\
\psi_{\mathcal{A}_2} &: \mathcal{D}\times\mathbb{P}^2 \dashrightarrow \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}_2}, & \{\psi_{\mathcal{A}_2}(\tilde{f},\tilde{p})\}(f\otimes p^{\otimes d}) &:= \det\nabla^2 f|_p\\
\Psi_{\hat{\mathcal{A}}_0} &: \mathcal{D}\times\mathbb{P}T\mathbb{P}^2 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{L}_{\hat{\mathcal{A}}_0}, & \Psi_{\hat{\mathcal{A}}_0}(\tilde{f},l_{\tilde{p}}) &:= \psi_{\mathcal{A}_0}(\tilde{f},\tilde{p})\\
\Psi_{\hat{\mathcal{A}}_1} &: \mathcal{D}\times\mathbb{P}T\mathbb{P}^2 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{V}_{\hat{\mathcal{A}}_1}, & \Psi_{\hat{\mathcal{A}}_1}(\tilde{f},l_{\tilde{p}}) &:= \psi_{\mathcal{A}_1}(\tilde{f},\tilde{p})\\
\Psi_{\hat{\mathcal{D}}_4} &: \mathcal{D}\times\mathbb{P}T\mathbb{P}^2 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{V}_{\hat{\mathcal{D}}_4}, & \Psi_{\hat{\mathcal{D}}_4}(\tilde{f},l_{\tilde{p}}) &:= \psi_{\mathcal{D}_4}(\tilde{f},\tilde{p})\\
\Psi_{\hat{\mathcal{X}}_8} &: \mathcal{D}\times\mathbb{P}T\mathbb{P}^2 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{V}_{\hat{\mathcal{X}}_8}, & \Psi_{\hat{\mathcal{X}}_8}(\tilde{f},l_{\tilde{p}}) &:= \psi_{\mathcal{X}_8}(\tilde{f},\tilde{p}).
\end{aligned}$$

We also have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi_{\mathcal{PA}_2} &: \mathcal{D}\times\mathbb{P}T\mathbb{P}^2 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{V}_{\mathcal{PA}_2}, & \{\Psi_{\mathcal{PA}_2}(\tilde{f},l_{\tilde{p}})\}(f\otimes p^{\otimes d}\otimes v) &:= \nabla^2 f|_p(v,\cdot)\\
\Psi^{\mathbb{V}}_{\mathcal{PD}_5} &: \mathcal{D}\times\mathbb{P}T\mathbb{P}^2 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{V}_{\mathcal{PD}_5}, & \{\Psi^{\mathbb{V}}_{\mathcal{PD}_5}(\tilde{f},l_{\tilde{p}})\}(f\otimes p^{\otimes d}\otimes v^{\otimes 2}) &:= \nabla^3 f|_p(v,v,\cdot)\\
\Psi_{\mathcal{PD}_4} &: \mathcal{D}\times\mathbb{P}T\mathbb{P}^2 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{PD}_4}, & \{\Psi_{\mathcal{P}D_4}(\tilde{f},l_{\tilde{p}})\}(f\otimes p^{\otimes d}\otimes w^{\otimes 2}) &:= f_{02}\\
\Psi^{\mathbb{L}}_{\mathcal{PD}_5} &: \mathcal{D}\times\mathbb{P}T\mathbb{P}^2 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{PD}_5}, & \{\Psi^{\mathbb{L}}_{\mathcal{PD}_5}(\tilde{f},l_{\tilde{p}})\}(f\otimes p^{\otimes d}\otimes v^{\otimes 2}\otimes w) &:= f_{21}\\
\Psi_{\mathcal{PE}_6} &: \mathcal{D}\times\mathbb{P}T\mathbb{P}^2 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{PE}_6}, & \{\Psi_{\mathcal{PE}_6}(\tilde{f},l_{\tilde{p}})\}(f\otimes p^{\otimes d}\otimes v\otimes w^{\otimes 2}) &:= f_{12}\\
\Psi_{\mathcal{PE}_7} &: \mathcal{D}\times\mathbb{P}T\mathbb{P}^2 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{PE}_7}, & \{\Psi_{\mathcal{PE}_7}(\tilde{f},l_{\tilde{p}})\}(f\otimes p^{\otimes d}\otimes v^{\otimes 4}) &:= f_{40}\\
\Psi_{\mathcal{PE}_8} &: \mathcal{D}\times\mathbb{P}T\mathbb{P}^2 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{PE}_8}, & \{\Psi_{\mathcal{PE}_8}(\tilde{f},l_{\tilde{p}})\}(f\otimes p^{\otimes d}\otimes v^{\otimes 3}\otimes w) &:= f_{31}\\
\Psi_{\mathcal{PX}_8} &: \mathcal{D}\times\mathbb{P}T\mathbb{P}^2 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{PX}_8}, & \{\Psi_{\mathcal{PX}_8}(f,l_{\tilde{p}})\}(f\otimes p^{\otimes d}\otimes w^{\otimes 3}) &:= f_{03}.
\end{aligned}$$

We also have sections of the following bundles: $\Psi_{\mathcal{PD}_5^\vee} : \mathcal{D}\times\mathbb{P}T\mathbb{P}^2 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{PD}_5^\vee}$ given by

$$\{\Psi_{\mathcal{PD}_5^\vee}(\tilde{f},l_{\tilde{p}})\}(f^{\otimes 2}\otimes p^{\otimes 2d}\otimes v^{\otimes 2}\otimes w^{\otimes 4}) := 3f_{12}^2 - 4f_{21}f_{03}, \tag{4.6}$$

and $\Psi_{\mathcal{PD}_6^\vee} : \mathcal{D}\times\mathbb{P}T\mathbb{P}^2 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{PD}_6^\vee}$ at $(\tilde{f},l_{\tilde{p}})$ is given by

$$(f^{\otimes 5}\otimes p^{\otimes 5d}\otimes v^{\otimes 8}\otimes w^{\otimes 4}) \mapsto \big(f_{12}^4 f_{40} - 8f_{12}^3 f_{21}f_{31} + 24f_{12}^2 f_{21}^2 f_{22} - 32 f_{12}f_{21}^3 f_{13} + 16 f_{21}^4 f_{04}\big), \tag{4.7}$$

and $\Psi_{\mathcal{J}} : \mathcal{D}\times\mathbb{P}T\mathbb{P}^2 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{J}}$ given by

$$\{\Psi_{\mathcal{J}}(\tilde{f},l_{\tilde{p}})\}(f^{\otimes 3}\otimes p^{\otimes d}\otimes v^{\otimes 9}\otimes w^{\otimes 3}) := \Big(-\frac{f_{31}^3}{8} + \frac{3f_{22}f_{31}f_{40}}{16} - \frac{f_{13}f_{40}^2}{16}\Big). \tag{4.8}$$

When $k\geq 3$ we have $\Psi_{\mathcal{PA}_k} : \mathcal{D}\times\mathbb{P}T\mathbb{P}^2 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{PA}_k}$ given by

$$\{\Psi_{\mathcal{PA}_k}(\tilde{f},l_{\tilde{p}})\}\big(f^{\otimes(k-2)}\otimes p^{\otimes d}\otimes v^{\otimes k}\otimes w^{\otimes(2k-6)}\big) := f_{02}^{k-3}\mathcal{A}_k^f.$$

Similarly, when $k\geq 6$ we have $\Psi_{\mathcal{PD}_k} : \mathcal{D}\times\mathbb{P}T\mathbb{P}^2 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{PD}_k}$ given by

$$\{\Psi_{\mathcal{PD}_k}(\tilde{f},l_p)\}\big(f^{\otimes(1+\epsilon_k)}\otimes p^{\otimes d(1+\epsilon_k)}\otimes v^{\otimes(k-2+\epsilon_k)}\otimes w^{\otimes(2\epsilon_k)}\big) := f_{12}^{\epsilon_k}\mathcal{D}_k^f,$$

where, $\epsilon_6 = 0$, $\epsilon_7 = 1$ and $\epsilon_8 = 3$. The expressions for $\mathcal{A}_k^f$ (resp. $\mathcal{D}_k^f$) are given below explicitly in (4.9) (resp. (4.10)), till $k = 7$ (resp. till $k = 8$).

Here is an explicit formula for $\mathcal{A}_k^f$ till $k = 7$:

$$\mathcal{A}_3^f = f_{30}, \qquad \mathcal{A}_4^f = f_{40} - \frac{3f_{21}^2}{f_{02}}, \qquad \mathcal{A}_5^f = f_{50} - \frac{10f_{21}f_{31}}{f_{02}} + \frac{15f_{12}f_{21}^2}{f_{02}^2}$$
$$\mathcal{A}_6^f = f_{60} - \frac{15f_{21}f_{41}}{f_{02}} - \frac{10f_{31}^2}{f_{02}} + \frac{60f_{12}f_{21}f_{31}}{f_{02}^2} + \frac{45f_{21}^2f_{22}}{f_{02}^2} - \frac{15f_{03}f_{21}^3}{f_{02}^3} - \frac{90f_{12}^2f_{21}^2}{f_{02}^3}$$
$$\mathcal{A}_7^f = f_{70} - \frac{21f_{21}f_{51}}{f_{02}} - \frac{35f_{31}f_{41}}{f_{02}} + \frac{105f_{12}f_{21}f_{41}}{f_{02}^2} + \frac{105f_{21}^2f_{32}}{f_{02}^2} + \frac{70f_{12}f_{31}^2}{f_{02}^2} + \frac{210f_{21}f_{22}f_{31}}{f_{02}^2}$$
$$- \frac{105f_{03}f_{21}^2f_{31}}{f_{02}^3} - \frac{420f_{12}^2f_{21}f_{31}}{f_{02}^3} - \frac{630f_{12}f_{21}^2f_{22}}{f_{02}^3} - \frac{105f_{13}f_{21}^3}{f_{02}^3} + \frac{315f_{03}f_{12}f_{21}^3}{f_{02}^4} + \frac{630f_{12}^3f_{21}^2}{f_{02}^4}. \quad (4.9)$$

Here is an explicit formula for $\mathcal{D}_k^f$ till $k = 8$:

$$\mathcal{D}_6^f = f_{40},\ \mathcal{D}_7^f = f_{50} - \frac{5f_{31}^2}{3f_{12}},\ \mathcal{D}_8^f = f_{60} + \frac{5f_{03}f_{31}f_{50}}{3f_{12}^2} - \frac{5f_{31}f_{41}}{f_{12}} - \frac{10f_{03}f_{31}^3}{3f_{12}^3} + \frac{5f_{22}f_{31}^2}{f_{12}^2}. \quad (4.10)$$

4.3 The spaces involved

We begin by explaining a terminology. If $l_{\tilde{p}} \in \mathbb{P}T_{\tilde{p}}\mathbb{P}^2$, then we say that $v \in l_{\tilde{p}}$ if v is a tangent vector in $T_{\tilde{p}}\mathbb{P}^2$ and lies over the fibre of $l_{\tilde{p}}$. We now define the spaces that we will encounter.

$$\mathfrak{X}_k := \{(\tilde{f}, \tilde{p}) \in \mathcal{D} \times \mathbb{P}^2 \quad : f \text{ has a singularity of type } \mathfrak{X}_k \text{ at } \tilde{p}\}$$
$$\hat{\mathfrak{X}}_k := \{(\tilde{f}, l_{\tilde{p}}) \in \mathcal{D} \times \mathbb{P}T\mathbb{P}^2 : f \text{ has a singularity of type } \mathfrak{X}_k \text{ at } \tilde{p}\} \ = \pi^{-1}(\mathfrak{X}_k)$$
$$\text{if } k > 1 \quad \mathcal{PA}_k := \{(\tilde{f}, l_{\tilde{p}}) \in \mathcal{D} \times \mathbb{P}T\mathbb{P}^2 : f \text{ has a singularity of type } \mathcal{A}_k \text{ at } \tilde{p},$$
$$\nabla^2 f|_p(v, \cdot) = 0 \text{ if } v \in l_{\tilde{p}}\}$$
$$\mathcal{PD}_4 := \{(\tilde{f}, l_{\tilde{p}}) \in \mathcal{D} \times \mathbb{P}T\mathbb{P}^2 : f \text{ has a singularity of type } \mathcal{D}_4 \text{ at } \tilde{p},$$
$$\nabla^3 f|_p(v, v, v) = 0 \text{ if } v \in l_{\tilde{p}}\}$$
$$\text{if } k > 4 \quad \mathcal{PD}_k := \{(\tilde{f}, l_{\tilde{p}}) \in \mathcal{D} \times \mathbb{P}T\mathbb{P}^2 : f \text{ has a singularity of type } \mathcal{D}_k \text{ at } \tilde{p}$$
$$\nabla^3 f|_p(v, v, \cdot) = 0 \text{ if } v \in l_{\tilde{p}}\}$$
$$\text{if } k = 6, 7 \text{ or } 8 \quad \mathcal{PE}_k := \{(\tilde{f}, l_{\tilde{p}}) \in \mathcal{D} \times \mathbb{P}T\mathbb{P}^2 : f \text{ has a singularity of type } \mathcal{E}_k \text{ at } \tilde{p}$$
$$\nabla^3 f|_p(v, v, \cdot) = 0 \text{ if } v \in l_{\tilde{p}}\}$$
$$\text{if } k > 4 \quad \mathcal{PD}_k^\vee := \{(\tilde{f}, l_{\tilde{p}}) \in \mathcal{D} \times \mathbb{P}T\mathbb{P}^2 : f \text{ has a singularity of type } \mathcal{D}_k \text{ at } \tilde{p},$$
$$\nabla^3 f|_p(v, v, v) = 0, \quad \nabla^3 f|_p(v, v, w) \neq 0$$
$$\text{if } v \in l_{\tilde{p}} \text{ and } w \in (T_{\tilde{p}}\mathbb{P}^2)/l_{\tilde{p}}\}$$

We also need the definitions for a few other spaces which will make our computations convenient.

$$\hat{\mathcal{A}}_1^{\#} := \{(\tilde{f}, l_p) \in \mathcal{D} \times \mathbb{P}T\mathbb{P}^2 : f(p) = 0, \nabla f|_p = 0, \nabla^2 f|_p(v, \cdot) \neq 0, \forall\ v \neq 0 \in l_{\tilde{p}}\}$$
$$\hat{\mathcal{D}}_4^{\#} := \{(\tilde{f}, l_{\tilde{p}}) \in \mathcal{D} \times \mathbb{P}T\mathbb{P}^2 : f(p) = 0, \nabla f|_p = 0, \nabla^2 f|_p \equiv 0, \nabla^3 f|_p(v, v, v) \neq 0, \forall\ v \neq 0 \in l_{\tilde{p}}\}$$
$$\hat{\mathcal{D}}_k^{\#\flat} := \{(\tilde{f}, l_{\tilde{p}}) \in \mathcal{D} \times \mathbb{P}T\mathbb{P}^2 : f \text{ has a } \mathcal{D}_k \text{ singularity at } \tilde{p},\ \ \nabla^3 f|_p(v, v, v) \neq 0, \forall\ v \neq 0 \in l_{\tilde{p}},\ k \geq 4\}$$
$$\hat{\mathcal{X}}_8^{\#} := \{(\tilde{f}, l_{\tilde{p}}) \in \mathcal{D} \times \mathbb{P}T\mathbb{P}^2 : f(p) = 0, \nabla f|_p = 0, \nabla^2 f|_p \equiv 0, \nabla^3 f|_p = 0, \nabla^4 f|_p(v, v, v, v) \neq 0\ \forall\ v \neq 0 \in l_{\tilde{p}}\}$$
$$\hat{\mathcal{X}}_8^{\#\flat} := \{(\tilde{f}, l_{\tilde{p}}) \in \mathcal{D} \times \mathbb{P}T\mathbb{P}^2 : (\tilde{f}, l_{\tilde{p}}) \in \hat{\mathcal{X}}_8^{\#}, \Psi_{\mathcal{J}}(\tilde{f}, l_{\tilde{p}}) \neq 0, \text{where } \Psi_{\mathcal{J}} \text{ is defined in (4.8)}\}.$$

5 Transversality

In this section we list down all the relevant bundle sections that are transverse to the zero set. We set up our notation first. Let us define the following projection maps:

$$\pi_1 : \mathcal{D} \times \mathbb{P}^2_1 \times \mathbb{P}^2_2 \longrightarrow \mathcal{D} \times \mathbb{P}^2_1,$$
$$\pi_2 : \mathcal{D} \times \mathbb{P}^2_1 \times \mathbb{P}^2_2 \longrightarrow \mathcal{D} \times \mathbb{P}^2_2,$$
$$\pi_1 : \mathcal{D} \times \mathbb{P}^2_1 \times \mathbb{P}T\mathbb{P}^2_2 \longrightarrow \mathcal{D} \times \mathbb{P}^2_1,$$
$$\pi_2 : \mathcal{D} \times \mathbb{P}^2_1 \times \mathbb{P}T\mathbb{P}^2_2 \longrightarrow \mathcal{D} \times \mathbb{P}T\mathbb{P}^2_2.$$

Hence, given a vector bundle over $\mathcal{D} \times \mathbb{P}^2$ or $\mathcal{D} \times \mathbb{P}T\mathbb{P}^2$ we obtain a bundle over $\mathcal{D} \times \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ and $\mathcal{D} \times \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}T\mathbb{P}^2$ respectively, via the pullback maps.

A section of a bundle over $\mathcal{D} \times \mathbb{P}^2$ or $\mathcal{D} \times \mathbb{P}T\mathbb{P}^2$ induces a section over the corresponding bundle over $\mathcal{D} \times \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ and $\mathcal{D} \times \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}T\mathbb{P}^2$ respectively, via the pullback maps.

Remark 5.1. *To describe bundles over $\mathcal{D} \times \mathbb{P}^2$ or $\mathcal{D} \times \mathbb{P}T\mathbb{P}^2$, we follow the abuse of notation of omitting pullback maps (as mentioned in Remark 4.1). However, to describe bundles over $\mathcal{D} \times \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ or $\mathcal{D} \times \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}T\mathbb{P}^2$ we do write the pullback maps.*

Lemma 5.2. *Let $\pi : E \longrightarrow M$ be a fibre bundle with compact fibers. Let $X \subseteq E$ and $Y \subseteq M$. Then*

$$\pi(\overline{X}) = \overline{\pi(X)} \tag{5.1}$$
$$\pi^{-1}(\overline{Y}) = \overline{\pi^{-1}(Y)}. \tag{5.2}$$

Proof: Since the fibers are compact, π is a closed map (Tube Lemma). Combined with the fact that π is continuous (5.1) follows. Secondly, equation (5.2) holds for the trivial bundle, hence it also for an arbitrary fibre bundle, since this is a local statement. □

Proposition 5.3. *The sections of the vector bundles*

$$\pi_2^*\psi_{\mathcal{A}_0} : \overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \times \mathbb{P}^2 - \Delta\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \longrightarrow \pi_2^*\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}_0}, \qquad \pi_2^*\psi_{\mathcal{A}_1} : \pi_2^*\psi_{\mathcal{A}_0}^{-1}(0) \longrightarrow \pi_2^*\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{A}_1}$$

are transverse to the zero set if $d \geq 3$.

Proposition 5.4. *The section of the vector bundle $\pi_2^*\Psi_{\mathcal{PA}_2} : \overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \circ \overline{\hat{\mathcal{A}}}_1 \longrightarrow \pi_2^*\mathbb{V}_{\mathcal{PA}_2}$ is transverse to the zero set, provided $d \geq 4$.*

Proposition 5.5. *The sections of the vector bundles*

$$\pi_2^*\Psi_{\mathcal{PA}_3} : \overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \circ \overline{\mathcal{PA}}_2 \longrightarrow \pi_2^*\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{PA}_3}, \quad \pi_2^*\Psi_{\mathcal{PD}_4} : \pi_2^*\Psi^{-1}_{\mathcal{PA}_3}(0) \longrightarrow \pi_2^*\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{PD}_4}, \quad \pi_2^*\Psi^{\mathbb{L}}_{\mathcal{PD}_5} : \pi_2^*\Psi^{-1}_{\mathcal{PD}_4}(0) \longrightarrow \pi_2^*\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{PD}_5}$$

are transverse to the zero set provided $d \geq 5$.

Proposition 5.6. *If $i \geq 4$, then the sections of the vector bundles*

$$\pi_2^*\Psi_{\mathcal{PA}_3} : \overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \circ \overline{\mathcal{PA}}_2 \longrightarrow \pi_2^*\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{PA}_3}, \qquad \pi_2^*\Psi_{\mathcal{PA}_4} : \pi_2^*\Psi^{-1}_{\mathcal{PA}_3}(0) - \pi_2^*\Psi^{-1}_{\mathcal{PD}_4}(0) \longrightarrow \pi_2^*\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{PA}_4}, \ldots,$$
$$\pi_2^*\Psi_{\mathcal{PA}_i} : \pi_2^*\Psi^{-1}_{\mathcal{PA}_{i-1}}(0) - \pi_2^*\Psi^{-1}_{\mathcal{PD}_4}(0) \longrightarrow \pi_2^*\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{PA}_i}$$

are transverse to the zero set provided $d \geq i + 2$.

Proposition 5.7. *If $i \geq 6$, then the sections of the vector bundles*

$$\pi_2^*\Psi_{\mathcal{PD}_6} : \overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \circ \overline{\mathcal{PD}}_5 \longrightarrow \pi_2^*\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{PD}_6}, \qquad \pi_2^*\Psi_{\mathcal{PD}_7} : \pi_2^*\Psi^{-1}_{\mathcal{PD}_6}(0) - \pi_2^*\Psi^{-1}_{\mathcal{PE}_6}(0) \longrightarrow \pi_2^*\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{PD}_7}, \ldots,$$
$$\pi_2^*\Psi_{\mathcal{PD}_i} : \pi_2^*\Psi^{-1}_{\mathcal{PD}_{i-1}}(0) - \pi_2^*\Psi^{-1}_{\mathcal{PE}_6}(0) \longrightarrow \pi_2^*\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{PD}_i}$$

are transverse to the zero set provided $d \geq i + 2$.

Proposition 5.8. *The section of the vector bundle $\pi_2^*\Psi_{\mathcal{PE}_6} : \overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \circ \overline{\mathcal{PD}}_5 \longrightarrow \pi_2^*\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{PE}_6}$ is transverse to the zero set provided $d \geq 5$.*

Proposition 5.9. *The section of the vector bundle $\pi_2^*\Psi_{\mathcal{PA}_3} : \overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \circ \overline{\hat{\mathcal{D}}}_4 \longrightarrow \pi_2^*\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{PA}_3}$ is transverse to the zero set provided $d \geq 5$.*

Proof: We have omitted the proofs here; they can be found in [2]. They are similar to the way we prove transversality of bundle sections in [1]. □

6 Closure and Euler class contribution

In this section we compute closure of a singularity with one $\mathcal{A}_1$-node. Along the way we also compute how much a certain section contributes to the Euler class of a bundle. But first, let us recapitulate what we know about the closure of one singular point which was proved in [1].

Lemma 6.1. *Let $\mathfrak{X}_k$ be a singularity of type $\mathcal{A}_k$, $\mathcal{D}_k$, $\mathcal{E}_k$ or $\mathcal{X}_8$. Then the closures are given by :*

1. $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_0 = \mathcal{A}_0 \cup \overline{\mathcal{A}}_1$ *if $d \geq 3$.*
2. $\overline{\hat{\mathcal{A}}_1} = \overline{\hat{\mathcal{A}}_1^{\#}} = \hat{\mathcal{A}}_1^{\#} \cup \overline{\mathcal{PA}}_2$ *if $d \geq 3$.*
3. $\overline{\hat{\mathcal{D}}_4^{\#}} = \hat{\mathcal{D}}_4^{\#} \cup \overline{\mathcal{PD}}_4$ *if $d \geq 3$.*
4. $\overline{\mathcal{PD}}_4 = \mathcal{PD}_4 \cup \overline{\mathcal{PD}}_5 \cup \overline{\mathcal{PD}_5^{\vee}}$ *if $d \geq 4$.*
5. $\overline{\mathcal{PE}}_6 = \mathcal{PE}_6 \cup \overline{\mathcal{PE}}_7 \cup \overline{\hat{\mathcal{X}}_8^{\#}}$ *if $d \geq 4$.*
6. $\overline{\mathcal{PD}}_5 = \mathcal{PD}_5 \cup \overline{\mathcal{PD}}_6 \cup \overline{\mathcal{PE}}_6$ *if $d \geq 4$.*
7. $\overline{\mathcal{PD}}_6 = \mathcal{PD}_6 \cup \overline{\mathcal{PD}}_7 \cup \overline{\mathcal{PE}}_7$ *if $d \geq 5$.*

8. $\overline{\mathcal{PA}}_2 = \mathcal{PA}_2 \cup \overline{\mathcal{PA}}_3 \cup \overline{\hat{\mathcal{D}}_4^{\#}}$ *if* $d \geq 4$.

9. $\overline{\mathcal{PA}}_3 = \mathcal{PA}_3 \cup \overline{\mathcal{PA}}_4 \cup \overline{\mathcal{PD}}_4$ *if* $d \geq 5$.

10. $\overline{\mathcal{PA}}_4 = \mathcal{PA}_4 \cup \overline{\mathcal{PA}}_5 \cup \overline{\mathcal{PD}}_5$ *if* $d \geq 6$.

11. $\overline{\mathcal{PA}}_5 = \mathcal{PA}_5 \cup \overline{\mathcal{PA}}_6 \cup \overline{\mathcal{PD}}_6 \cup \overline{\mathcal{PE}}_6$ *if* $d \geq 7$.

12. $\overline{\mathcal{PA}}_6 = \mathcal{PA}_6 \cup \overline{\mathcal{PA}}_7 \cup \overline{\mathcal{PD}}_7 \cup \overline{\mathcal{PE}}_7 \cup \overline{\hat{\mathcal{X}}_8^{\#\flat}}$ *if* $d \geq 8$.

Let us now state a few facts about the closure of one singular point that will be required in this paper. These facts were not explicitly stated in [1] because it was not needed in that paper.

Lemma 6.2. *We have the following equality (or inclusion) of sets*

1. $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 = \mathcal{A}_1 \cup \overline{\mathcal{A}}_2$ *if* $d \geq 2$.
2. $\overline{\hat{\mathcal{D}}_4^{\#}} = \overline{\hat{\mathcal{D}}}_4$ *if* $d \geq 3$.
3. $\overline{\hat{\mathcal{D}}_k^{\#\flat}} = \overline{\hat{\mathcal{D}}}_k$ *if* $k \geq 4$ *and* $d \geq 3$.
4. $\left\{(\tilde{f}, l_{\tilde{p}}) \in \overline{\hat{\mathcal{D}}}_5 : \Psi_{\mathcal{PA}_3}(\tilde{f}, l_{\tilde{p}}) = 0\right\} = \overline{\mathcal{PD}}_5 \cup \overline{\mathcal{PD}_5^{\vee}}$ *if* $d \geq 3$.
5. $\left\{(\tilde{f}, l_{\tilde{p}}) \in \overline{\mathcal{PD}}_6 : \Psi_{\mathcal{PE}_6}(\tilde{f}, l_{\tilde{p}}) \neq 0\right\} = \mathcal{PD}_6 \cup \mathcal{PD}_7 \cup \left\{(\tilde{f}, l_{\tilde{p}}) \in \overline{\mathcal{PD}}_8 : \Psi_{\mathcal{PE}_6}(\tilde{f}, l_{\tilde{p}}) \neq 0\right\}$
6. $\overline{\mathcal{PE}}_6 \subset \overline{\mathcal{PD}_5^{\vee}}$ *if* $d \geq 3$.

The proofs are straightforward; the details can be found in [2]. Hence we stated Lemma 6.2, statements 2 and 3 separately to avoid confusion.

Before stating the main results of this section, let us define three more spaces which will be required while formulating some of the Lemmas:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta\mathcal{PD}_7^s &:= \{(\tilde{f}, \tilde{p}, l_{\tilde{p}}) \in \overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \circ \mathcal{PA}_4} : \pi_2^*\Psi_{\mathcal{PD}_4}(\tilde{f}, \tilde{p}, l_{\tilde{p}}) = 0,\ \pi_2^*\Psi_{\mathcal{PE}_6}(\tilde{f}, \tilde{p}, l_{\tilde{p}}) \neq 0\},\\
\Delta\mathcal{PD}_8^s &:= \{(\tilde{f}, \tilde{p}, l_{\tilde{p}}) \in \overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \circ \mathcal{PA}_5} : \pi_2^*\Psi_{\mathcal{PD}_4}(\tilde{f}, \tilde{p}, l_{\tilde{p}}) = 0,\ \pi_2^*\Psi_{\mathcal{PE}_6}(\tilde{f}, \tilde{p}, l_{\tilde{p}}) \neq 0\},\\
\Delta\mathcal{PD}_6^{\vee s} &:= \{(\tilde{f}, \tilde{p}, l_{\tilde{p}}) \in \overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \circ \mathcal{PD}_4} : \pi_2^*\Psi_{\mathcal{PD}_5}(\tilde{f}, \tilde{p}, l_{\tilde{p}}) \neq 0\}. \qquad (6.1)
\end{aligned}$$

We are now ready to state the main Lemmas.

Lemma 6.3. *Let $\mathfrak{X}_k$ be a singularity of type $\mathcal{A}_k$, $\mathcal{D}_k$, $\mathcal{E}_k$. Then their closures with one $\mathcal{A}_1$-node are given by :*

1. $\overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \circ (\mathcal{D} \times \mathbb{P}^2)} = \overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \circ (\mathcal{D} \times \mathbb{P}^2) \sqcup \Delta\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1$ *if* $d \geq 1$.
2. $\overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \circ \hat{\mathcal{A}}_1^{\#}} = \overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \circ \hat{\mathcal{A}}_1^{\#} \sqcup \overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \circ (\overline{\hat{\mathcal{A}}_1^{\#}} - \hat{\mathcal{A}}_1^{\#}) \sqcup \Delta\overline{\hat{\mathcal{A}}}_3$ *if* $d \geq 3$.
3. $\overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \circ \mathcal{PA}_2} = \overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \circ \mathcal{PA}_2 \sqcup \overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \circ (\overline{\mathcal{PA}}_2 - \mathcal{PA}_2) \sqcup \left(\Delta\overline{\mathcal{PA}}_4 \cup \Delta\overline{\hat{\mathcal{D}}_5^{\#\flat}}\right)$ *if* $d \geq 4$.
4. $\overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \circ \mathcal{PA}_3} = \overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \circ \mathcal{PA}_3 \sqcup \overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \circ (\overline{\mathcal{PA}}_3 - \mathcal{PA}_3) \sqcup \left(\Delta\overline{\mathcal{PA}}_5 \cup \Delta\overline{\mathcal{PD}_5^{\vee}}\right)$ *if* $d \geq 5$.
5. $\overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \circ \mathcal{PA}_4} = \overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \circ \mathcal{PA}_4 \sqcup \overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \circ (\overline{\mathcal{PA}}_4 - \mathcal{PA}_4) \sqcup \left(\Delta\overline{\mathcal{PA}}_6 \cup \Delta\overline{\mathcal{PD}_7^s} \cup \Delta\overline{\mathcal{PE}}_6\right)$ *if* $d \geq 6$.

6. $\overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}_1}\circ\mathcal{PA}_5}=\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1\circ\mathcal{PA}_5\sqcup\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1\circ(\overline{\mathcal{PA}}_5-\mathcal{PA}_5)\sqcup\Big(\Delta\overline{\mathcal{PA}}_7\cup\Delta\overline{\mathcal{PD}}_8^s\cup\Delta\overline{\mathcal{PE}}_7\Big)$ *if* $d\geq 7$.

7. $\overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}_1}\circ\mathcal{PD}_4}=\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1\circ\mathcal{PD}_4\sqcup\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1\circ(\overline{\mathcal{PD}}_4-\mathcal{PD}_4)\sqcup\Big(\Delta\overline{\mathcal{PD}}_6^{\vee s}\cup\Delta\overline{\mathcal{PD}}_6\Big)$ *if* $d\geq 4$.

8. $\overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}_1}\circ\hat{\mathcal{D}}_4}=\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1\circ\hat{\mathcal{D}}_4\sqcup\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1\circ(\hat{\overline{\mathcal{D}}}_4-\hat{\mathcal{D}}_4)\sqcup\Big(\Delta\hat{\overline{\mathcal{D}}}_6\Big)$ *if* $d\geq 4$.

9. $\overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}_1}\circ\mathcal{PD}_5}=\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1\circ\mathcal{PD}_5\sqcup\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1\circ(\overline{\mathcal{PD}}_5-\mathcal{PD}_5)\sqcup\Big(\Delta\overline{\mathcal{PD}}_7\cup\Delta\overline{\mathcal{PE}}_7\Big)$ *if* $d\geq 5$.

Remark 6.4. *Although the statement of Lemma 6.3 (1) is trivial we give an elaborate proof for two reasons. Firstly, along the way, we prove a few other statements that will be required later. Secondly, as a corollary we also compute the contribution of certain sections to the Euler class of relevant bundles.*

Proof of Lemma 6.3 (1): It suffices to show that

$$\{(\tilde{f},\tilde{p},\tilde{p})\in\overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}_1}\circ(\mathcal{D}\times\mathbb{P}^2)}\}=\Delta\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1. \tag{6.2}$$

Clearly the lhs[6] of (6.2) is a subset of its rhs. To show the converse we will prove the following two claims simultaneously:

$$\overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}_1}\circ(\mathcal{D}\times\mathbb{P}^2)}\supset\Delta(\mathcal{A}_1\sqcup\mathcal{A}_2), \tag{6.3}$$

$$\overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}_1}\circ\mathcal{A}_1}\cap\Delta(\mathcal{A}_1\sqcup\mathcal{A}_2)=\varnothing. \tag{6.4}$$

Since $\overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}_1}\circ(\mathcal{D}\times\mathbb{P}^2)}$ is a closed set, (6.3) implies that the rhs of (6.2) is a subset of its lhs.[7] Moreover, (6.4) is not required at all for the proof of Lemma 6.3 (1). However, these statements will be required in the proofs of Lemma 6.3 (2). Furthermore, in the process of proving (6.3) and (6.4), we will also be computing the contribution of certain sections to the Euler class of relevant bundles as a corollary.

Claim 6.5. *Let* $(\tilde{f},\tilde{p},\tilde{p})\in\Delta(\mathcal{A}_1\cup\mathcal{A}_2)$. *Then there exist solutions*

$$(\tilde{f}(t_1,t_2),\tilde{p}(t_1,t_2),\tilde{p}(t_1))\in\mathcal{D}\times\mathbb{P}^2\times\mathbb{P}^2$$

sufficiently close to $(\tilde{f},\tilde{p},\tilde{p})$ *to the set of equations*

$$\pi_1^*\psi_{\mathcal{A}_0}(\tilde{f}(t_1,t_2),\tilde{p}(t_1,t_2),\tilde{p}(t_1))=0,\quad \pi_1^*\psi_{\mathcal{A}_1}(\tilde{f}(t_1,t_2),\tilde{p}(t_1,t_2),\tilde{p}(t_1))=0,\quad \tilde{p}(t_1,t_2)\neq\tilde{p}(t_1). \tag{6.5}$$

Furthermore, any such solution sufficiently close to $(\tilde{f},\tilde{p},\tilde{p})$ *satisfies*

$$\Big(\pi_2^*\psi_{\mathcal{A}_0}(\tilde{f}(t_1,t_2),\tilde{p}(t_1,t_2),\tilde{p}(t_1)),\ \pi_2^*\psi_{\mathcal{A}_1}(\tilde{f}(t_1,t_2),\tilde{p}(t_1,t_2),\tilde{p}(t_1))\Big)\neq(0,0). \tag{6.6}$$

In particular, $(\tilde{f}(t_1,t_2),\tilde{p}(t_1,t_2),\tilde{p}(t_1))$ *does not lie in* $\overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}_1}\circ\mathcal{A}_1}$.

It is easy to see that claim 6.5 proves statements (6.3) and (6.4) simultaneously.

[6] We shall use *lhs* to denote *left hand side* and *rhs* to denote *right hand side* of an equation.

[7] In fact the full strength of (6.3) is not really needed; we simply need that $\overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}_1}\circ(\mathcal{D}\times\mathbb{P}^2)}\supset\Delta\mathcal{A}_1$.

Proof: Choose homogeneous coordinates [X : Y : Z] so that $\tilde{p} = [0:0:1]$ and let $\mathcal{U}_{\tilde{p}}$ be a sufficiently small neighbourhood of $\tilde{p}$ inside $\mathbb{P}^2$. Denote $\pi_x, \pi_y : \mathcal{U}_{\tilde{p}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ to be the projection maps given by

$$\pi_x([\mathrm{X}:\mathrm{Y}:\mathrm{Z}]) := \mathrm{X}/\mathrm{Z} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \pi_y([\mathrm{X}:\mathrm{Y}:\mathrm{Z}]) := \mathrm{Y}/\mathrm{Z},$$

and $v, w : \mathcal{U}_{\tilde{p}} \longrightarrow T\mathbb{P}^2$ the vector fields dual to the one forms $d\pi_x$ and $d\pi_y$ respectively. Let $(\tilde{f}(t_1,t_2), \tilde{p}(t_1)) \in \mathcal{D} \times \mathbb{P}^2$ be an arbitrary point that is close to $(\tilde{f}, \tilde{p})$ and let $\tilde{p}(t_1,t_2)$ be a point in $\mathbb{P}^2$ that is close to $\tilde{p}(t_1)$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{p}(t_1) &:= [x_{t_1} : y_{t_1} : 1] \in \mathbb{P}^2, & p(t_1) &:= (x_{t_1}, y_{t_1}, 1) \in \mathbb{C}^3, \\ \tilde{p}(t_1,t_2) &:= [x_{t_1} + x_{t_2} :\ y_{t_1} + y_{t_2} : 1] \in \mathbb{P}^2, & p(t_1,t_2) &:= (x_{t_1} + x_{t_2},\ y_{t_1} + y_{t_2}, 1) \in \mathbb{C}^3, \\ & \tilde{f}(t_1,t_2) \in \mathbb{P}^{\delta_d}, & f(t_1,t_2) &\in \mathbb{C}^{\delta_d+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Define the following numbers:

$$\begin{aligned} f_{ij}(t_1,t_2) &:= \{\nabla^{i+j} f(t_1,t_2)|_{\tilde{p}(t_1)}(\underbrace{v,\cdots v}_{i \text{ times}}, \underbrace{w,\cdots w}_{j \text{ times}})\}(p(t_1)^{\otimes d}), \\ \mathrm{F} &:= f_{00}(t_1,t_2) + f_{10}(t_1,t_2)x_{t_2} + f_{01}(t_1,t_2)y_{t_2} + \sum_{i+j=2} \left(\tfrac{f_{ij}(t_1,t_2)}{i!j!} x_{t_2}^i y_{t_2}^j\right) + \ldots, \\ \mathrm{F}_{x_{t_2}} &:= f_{10}(t_1,t_2) + f_{20}(t_1,t_2)x_{t_2} + f_{11}(t_1,t_2)y_{t_2} + \ldots, \\ \mathrm{F}_{y_{t_2}} &:= f_{01}(t_1,t_2) + f_{11}(t_1,t_2)x_{t_2} + f_{02}(t_1,t_2)y_{t_2} + \ldots. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} \{\pi_1^* \psi_{\mathcal{A}_0}(\tilde{f}(t_1,t_2), \tilde{p}(t_1,t_2), \tilde{p}(t_1))\}(f(t_1,t_2) \otimes p(t_1,t_2)^{\otimes d}) &= \mathrm{F}, \\ \{\pi_1^* \psi_{\mathcal{A}_1}(\tilde{f}(t_1,t_2), \tilde{p}(t_1,t_2), \tilde{p}(t_1))\}(f(t_1,t_2) \otimes p(t_1,t_2)^{\otimes d} \otimes v) &= \mathrm{F}_{x_{t_2}}, \\ \{\pi_1^* \psi_{\mathcal{A}_1}(\tilde{f}(t_1,t_2), \tilde{p}(t_1,t_2), \tilde{p}(t_1))\}(f(t_1,t_2) \otimes p(t_1,t_2)^{\otimes d} \otimes w) &= \mathrm{F}_{y_{t_2}}. \end{aligned}$$

We now observe that (6.5) has a solution if and only if the following set of equations has a solution

$$\mathrm{F} = 0, \qquad \mathrm{F}_{x_{t_2}} = 0, \qquad \mathrm{F}_{y_{t_2}} = 0, \qquad (x_{t_2}, y_{t_2}) \neq (0,0) \qquad \text{(but small)}. \tag{6.7}$$

Note that in equation (6.5) the equality holds as *functionals*, while in equation (6.7), the equality holds as *numbers*. We will now show that (6.7) (and hence (6.5)) has solutions whenever $(\tilde{f}, \tilde{p}, \tilde{p}) \in \Delta(\mathcal{A}_1 \cup \mathcal{A}_2)$. Furthermore, for all those solutions, (6.6) holds.

First let us assume $(\tilde{f}, \tilde{p}, \tilde{p}) \in \Delta\mathcal{A}_1$. It is obvious that solutions to (6.7) exist; we can solve for $f_{10}(t_1,t_2)$ and $f_{01}(t_1,t_2)$ using $\mathrm{F}_{x_{t_2}} = 0$ and $\mathrm{F}_{y_{t_2}} = 0$ and and then solve for $f_{00}(t_1,t_2)$ using $\mathrm{F} = 0$. To show that (6.6) holds it suffices to show that if (x_{t_2}, y_{t_2}) is small but non zero, then

$$\big(f_{00}(t_1,t_2),\ f_{10}(t_1,t_2),\ f_{01}(t_1,t_2)\big) \neq (0,0,0). \tag{6.8}$$

Observe that (6.7) implies that

$$\begin{pmatrix} f_{10}(t_1,t_2) \\ f_{01}(t_1,t_2) \end{pmatrix} = -\begin{pmatrix} f_{20}(t_1,t_2) & f_{11}(t_1,t_2) \\ f_{11}(t_1,t_2) & f_{02}(t_1,t_2) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_{t_2} \\ y_{t_2} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{E}_1(x_{t_2}, y_{t_2}) \\ \mathrm{E}_2(x_{t_2}, y_{t_2}) \end{pmatrix} \tag{6.9}$$

where $\mathrm{E}_i(x_{t_2}, y_{t_2})$ are second order in (x_{t_2}, y_{t_2}). Since $(\tilde{f}, \tilde{p}, \tilde{p}) \in \Delta\mathcal{A}_1$, the matrix

$$\mathrm{M} := \begin{pmatrix} f_{20}(t_1,t_2) & f_{11}(t_1,t_2) \\ f_{11}(t_1,t_2) & f_{02}(t_1,t_2) \end{pmatrix}$$

is invertible if $\tilde{f}(t_1,t_2)$ is sufficiently close to $\tilde{f}$. Equation (6.9) now implies that that if (x_{t_2},y_{t_2}) is small but non zero, then $f_{10}(t_1,t_2)$ and $f_{01}(t_1,t_2)$ can not both be zero. Hence (6.8) holds and hence (6.6) holds.

Next, let $(\tilde{f},\tilde{p},\tilde{p})\in\Delta\mathcal{A}_2$. Since $\Delta\mathcal{A}_2\subset\Delta\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1$, we conclude that solutions to (6.5) exist; we only need to show that (6.6) holds. Observe that f_{20} and f_{02} can not both be zero; assume $f_{02}\neq 0$ (and hence $f_{02}(t_1,t_2)\neq 0$). Write F as

$$\mathrm{F}=f_{00}(t_1,t_2)+f_{10}(t_1,t_2)x_{t_2}+f_{01}(t_1,t_2)y_{t_2}+\mathrm{A}_0(x_{t_2})+\mathrm{A}_1(x_{t_2})y_{t_2}+\mathrm{A}_2(x_{t_2})y_{t_2}^2+\dots$$

where $\mathrm{A}_2(0)\neq 0$. We claim that there exists a unique holomorphic function $\mathrm{B}(x_{t_2})$, vanishing at the origin, such that after we make a change of coordinates $y_{t_2}=\hat{y}_{t_2}+\mathrm{B}(x_{t_2})$, the function F is given by

$$\mathrm{F}=f_{00}(t_1,t_2)+f_{10}(t_1,t_2)x_{t_2}+f_{01}(t_1,t_2)\hat{y}_{t_2}+f_{01}(t_1,t_2)\mathrm{B}(x_{t_2})+\hat{\mathrm{A}}_0(x_{t_2})+\hat{\mathrm{A}}_2(x_{t_2})\hat{y}_{t_2}^2+\hat{\mathrm{A}}_3(x_{t_2})\hat{y}_{t_2}^3+\dots$$

for some $\hat{\mathrm{A}}_k(x_{t_2})$ (i.e., $\hat{\mathrm{A}}_1(x_{t_2})\equiv 0$). This is possible if $\mathrm{B}(x_{t_2})$ satisfies the identity

$$\mathrm{A}_1(x_{t_2})+2\mathrm{A}_2(x_{t_2})\mathrm{B}(x_{t_2})+3\mathrm{A}_3(x_{t_2})\mathrm{B}(x_{t_2})^2+\dots\equiv 0. \tag{6.10}$$

Since $\mathrm{A}_2(0)\neq 0$, $\mathrm{B}(x_{t_2})$ exists by the Implicit Function Theorem and we can compute $\mathrm{B}(x_{t_2})$ explicitly as a power series using (6.10) and then compute $\hat{\mathrm{A}}_0(x_{t_2})$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{F}=\;&f_{00}(t_1,t_2)+f_{10}(t_1,t_2)x_{t_2}+f_{01}(t_1,t_2)\hat{y}_{t_2}+\varphi(x_{t_2},\hat{y}_{t_2})\hat{y}_{t_2}^2+f_{01}(t_1,t_2)\mathrm{B}(x_{t_2})\\&+\underbrace{\tfrac{\mathcal{B}_2^{f(t_1,t_2)}}{2!}x_{t_2}^2+\tfrac{\mathcal{B}_3^{f(t_1,t_2)}}{3!}x_{t_2}^3+\mathrm{O}(x_{t_2}^4)}_{\hat{\mathrm{A}}_0(x_{t_2})},\end{aligned}$$

where $\varphi(0,0)\neq 0$ and

$$\mathcal{B}_2^{f(t_1,t_2)}:=f_{20}(t_1,t_2)-\frac{f_{11}(t_1,t_2)^2}{f_{02}(t_1,t_2)},\qquad\text{and}$$

$$\mathcal{B}_3^{f(t_1,t_2)}:=f_{30}(t_1,t_2)-\frac{3f_{11}(t_1,t_2)f_{21}(t_1,t_2)}{f_{02}(t_1,t_2)}+\frac{3f_{11}(t_1,t_2)^2f_{12}(t_1,t_2)}{f_{02}(t_1,t_2)^2}-\frac{f_{11}(t_1,t_2)^3f_{03}(t_1,t_2)}{f_{02}(t_1,t_2)^3}\neq 0.$$

The last inequality holds because $(\tilde{f},\tilde{p},\tilde{p})\in\Delta\mathcal{A}_2$. In these new coordinates $\hat{y}_{t_2}$ and x_{t_2}, equation (6.7) is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{F}=\;&f_{00}(t_1,t_2)+f_{10}(t_1,t_2)x_{t_2}+f_{01}(t_1,t_2)\hat{y}_{t_2}+\varphi(x_{t_2},\hat{y}_{t_2})\hat{y}_{t_2}^2+f_{01}(t_1,t_2)\mathrm{B}(x_{t_2})+\\&+\frac{\mathcal{B}_2^{f(t_1,t_2)}}{2!}x_{t_2}^2+\frac{\mathcal{B}_3^{f(t_1,t_2)}}{3!}x_{t_2}^3+\mathrm{O}(x_{t_2}^4)=0,\end{aligned}\tag{6.11}$$

$$f_{10}(t_1,t_2)+f_{01}(t_1,t_2)\mathrm{B}'(x_{t_2})+\varphi_{x_{t_2}}(x_{t_2},\hat{y}_{t_2})\hat{y}_{t_2}^2+\mathcal{B}_2^{f(t_1,t_2)}x_{t_2}+\frac{\mathcal{B}_3^{f(t_1,t_2)}}{2!}x_{t_2}^2+\mathrm{O}(x_{t_2}^3)=0,\tag{6.12}$$

$$f_{01}(t_1,t_2)+2\hat{y}_{t_2}\varphi(x_{t_2},\hat{y}_{t_2})+\hat{y}_{t_2}^2\varphi_{\hat{y}_{t_2}}(x_{t_2},\hat{y}_{t_2})=0,$$

$$(x_{t_2},\hat{y}_{t_2})\neq(0,0)\qquad\text{but small.}\tag{6.13}$$

Let us clarify a point of confusion: we are claiming that (6.7) has a solution if and only if the equation

$$\mathrm{F}=0,\qquad \mathrm{F}_{x_{t_2}}=0,\qquad \mathrm{F}_{\hat{y}_{t_2}}=0,\qquad (x_{t_2},\hat{y}_{t_2})\neq(0,0)\qquad(\text{but small})\tag{6.14}$$

has a solution. We are *not* claiming that the partial derivatives in the old coordinates are individually equal to the partial derivatives in the new coordinates. Since $\varphi(0,0) \neq 0$ we can use (6.13) to solve for $\hat{y}_{t_2}$ in terms of x and $f_{01}(t_1,t_2)$ to get

$$\hat{y}_{t_2} = f_{01}(t_1,t_2)\mathrm{E}(x_{t_2}, f_{01}(t_1,t_2)), \tag{6.15}$$

where $\mathrm{E}(x_{t_2}, f_{01}(t_1,t_2))$ is a holomorphic function of $(x_{t_2}, f_{01}(t_1,t_2))$. Using (6.15), (6.12) and (6.11) we get (by eliminating $\mathcal{B}_2^{f(t_1,t_2)}$ and $\hat{y}_{t_2}$)

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{F} = -\frac{\mathcal{B}_3^{f(t_1,t_2)}}{12}x_{t_2}^3 + \mathrm{O}(x_{t_2}^4) + f_{00}(t_1,t_2) + f_{10}(t_1,t_2)\mathrm{E}_1(x_{t_2}, f_{10}(t_1,t_2), f_{01}(t_1,t_2)) \\ + f_{01}(t_1,t_2)\mathrm{E}_2(x_{t_2}, f_{10}(t_1,t_2), f_{01}(t_1,t_2)) = 0\end{aligned} \tag{6.16}$$

where $\mathrm{E}_i(x_{t_2}, f_{10}(t_1,t_2), f_{01}(t_1,t_2))$ is a holomorphic function of $(x_{t_2}, f_{10}(t_1,t_2), f_{01}(t_1,t_2))$. Since solutions to equation (6.7) satisfy (6.16), we conclude that $f_{00}(t_1,t_2)$, $f_{10}(t_1,t_2)$ and $f_{01}(t_1,t_2)$ can not all be zero. If they were all zero then F could not be zero for small but non zero x_{t_2} (by (6.16)), since $\mathcal{B}_3^{f(t_1,t_2)} \neq 0$ (this is where we are using $(\tilde{f},\tilde{p},\tilde{p}) \in \Delta\mathcal{A}_2$). This implies (6.6) holds as functionals. This completes the proof of Lemma 6.3 (1). □

Before proceeding to the proof of Lemma 6.3 (2), let us prove a corollary which will be needed in the proof of equation (3.2) in section 7. The proof of this corollary follows from the setup of the preceding proof, hence we prove it here.

Corollary 6.6. *Let $\mathcal{W} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D} \times \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ be a vector bundle such that the rank of $\mathcal{W}$ is same as the dimension of $\Delta\mathcal{A}_2$[8] and $\mathcal{Q} : \mathcal{D} \times \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \longrightarrow \mathcal{W}$ a generic smooth section. Then the contribution of the section*

$$\pi_2^*\psi_{\mathcal{A}_0} \oplus \pi_2^*\psi_{\mathcal{A}_1} \oplus \mathcal{Q} : \overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \longrightarrow \pi_2^*\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}_0} \oplus \pi_2^*\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{A}_1} \oplus \mathcal{W}$$

to the Euler class from the points of $\Delta\mathcal{A}_1$ is given by

$$\mathcal{C}_{\Delta\mathcal{A}_1}(\pi_2^*\psi_{\mathcal{A}_0} \oplus \pi_2^*\psi_{\mathcal{A}_1} \oplus \mathcal{Q}) = \left\langle e(\pi_2^*\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}_0} \oplus \mathcal{W}),\ [\Delta\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1]\right\rangle. \tag{6.17}$$

Secondly, if $(\tilde{f},\tilde{p},\tilde{p}) \in \Delta\mathcal{A}_2 \cap \mathcal{Q}^{-1}(0)$, then this section vanishes on $(\tilde{f},\tilde{p},\tilde{p})$ with a multiplicity of 3.

Remark 6.7. *When we use the phrase "number of zeros" (resp. "number of solutions") our intended meaning is number of zeros counted with a sign (resp. the number of solutions counted with a sign).*

Proof of Corollary 6.6: The contribution of $\pi_2^*\psi_{\mathcal{A}_0} \oplus \pi_2^*\psi_{\mathcal{A}_1} \oplus \mathcal{Q}$ to the the Euler class from the points of $\Delta\mathcal{A}_1$ is the number of solutions of

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_2^*\psi_{\mathcal{A}_0}(\tilde{f}(t_1,t_2),\tilde{p}(t_1,t_2),\tilde{p}(t_1)) &= \nu_0(\tilde{f}(t_1,t_2),\tilde{p}(t_1,t_2),\tilde{p}(t_1)),\\ \pi_2^*\psi_{\mathcal{A}_1}(\tilde{f}(t_1,t_2),\tilde{p}(t_1,t_2),\tilde{p}(t_1)) &= \nu_1(\tilde{f}(t_1,t_2),\tilde{p}(t_1,t_2),\tilde{p}(t_1)),\\ \mathcal{Q}(\tilde{f}(t_1,t_2),\tilde{p}(t_1,t_2),\tilde{p}(t_1)) &= 0, \quad (\tilde{f}(t_1,t_2),\tilde{p}(t_1,t_2),\tilde{p}(t_1)) \in \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{K}} \subset \overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \times \mathbb{P}^2,\end{aligned} \tag{6.18}$$

where $\mathcal{K}$ is a sufficiently large compact subset of $\Delta\mathcal{A}_1$, $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{K}}$ is a sufficiently small neighborhood of $\mathcal{K}$ inside $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \times \mathbb{P}^2$, and ν_0 and ν_1 are generic smooth perturbations. We do not need to perturb $\mathcal{Q}$ since it is already generic. We will convert the functional equation (6.18) into an equation that involves

[8] This dimension is also one less than the dimension of $\Delta\mathcal{A}_1$, equal to $\delta_d - 2$.

equality of numbers. Let $\theta_1, \theta_2, \ldots, \theta_{\delta_d-2}$ form a basis for $\mathcal{W}^*$ at the point $(\tilde{f}(t_1,t_2), \tilde{p}(t_1,t_2), \tilde{p}(t_1))$. Define

$$\begin{aligned}
\xi_0(\tilde{f}(t_1,t_2), \tilde{p}(t_1), (x_{t_2}, y_{t_2})) &:= \{\nu_0(\tilde{f}(t_1,t_2), \tilde{p}(t_1,t_2), \tilde{p}(t_1))\}(f(t_1,t_2) \otimes p(t_1)^{\otimes d}) \in \mathbb{C},\\
\xi_{1x}(\tilde{f}(t_1,t_2), \tilde{p}(t_1), (x_{t_2}, y_{t_2})) &:= \{\nu_1(\tilde{f}(t_1,t_2), \tilde{p}(t_1,t_2), \tilde{p}(t_1))\}(f(t_1,t_2) \otimes p(t_1)^{\otimes d} \otimes v) \in \mathbb{C},\\
\xi_{1y}(\tilde{f}(t_1,t_2), \tilde{p}(t_1), (x_{t_2}, y_{t_2})) &:= \{\nu_1(\tilde{f}(t_1,t_2), \tilde{p}(t_1,t_2), \tilde{p}(t_1))\}(f(t_1,t_2) \otimes p(t_1)^{\otimes d} \otimes w) \in \mathbb{C},\\
\mathcal{R}(\tilde{f}(t_1,t_2), \tilde{p}(t_1), (x_{t_2}, y_{t_2})) &:= \{\mathcal{Q}(\tilde{f}(t_1,t_2), \tilde{p}(t_1,t_2), \tilde{p}(t_1))\}(\theta_1 \oplus \theta_2 \oplus \ldots \oplus \theta_{\delta_{d-2}}) \in \mathbb{C}^{\delta_d-2}. \quad (6.19)
\end{aligned}$$

Consider now the following set of equations

$$\begin{aligned}
&\xi_0(\tilde{f}(t_1,t_2), \tilde{p}(t_1), (x_{t_2}, y_{t_2})) + x_{t_2}\xi_{1x}(\tilde{f}(t_1,t_2), \tilde{p}(t_1), (x_{t_2}, y_{t_2}))\\
&\qquad + y_{t_2}\xi_{1y}(\tilde{f}(t_1,t_2), \tilde{p}(t_1), (x_{t_2}, y_{t_2}))\\
&\quad + \frac{f_{20}(t_1,t_2)}{2}x_{t_2}^2 + f_{11}(t_1,t_2)x_{t_2}y_{t_2} + \frac{f_{02}(t_1,t_2)}{2}y_{t_2}^2 + \ldots = 0 \quad (6.20)\\
&\mathcal{R}(\tilde{f}(t_1,t_2), \tilde{p}(t_1), (x_{t_2}, y_{t_2})) = 0 \quad (6.21)\\
&\xi_{1x}(\tilde{f}(t_1,t_2), \tilde{p}(t_1), (x_{t_2}, y_{t_2})) + f_{20}(t_1,t_2)x_{t_2} + f_{11}(t_1,t_2)y_{t_2} + \ldots = 0 \quad (6.22)\\
&\xi_{1y}(\tilde{f}(t_1,t_2), \tilde{p}(t_1), (x_{t_2}, y_{t_2})) + f_{11}(t_1,t_2)x_{t_2} + f_{02}(t_1,t_2)y_{t_2} + \ldots = 0 \quad (6.23)\\
&(x_{t_2}, y_{t_2}) = \text{small}, \qquad \left|f_{20}(t_1,t_2)f_{02}(t_1,t_2) - f_{11}(t_1,t_2)^2\right| > \mathrm{C} \quad (6.24)
\end{aligned}$$

where C is a small positive constant. Observe that the number of solutions of (6.18) is same as the number of solutions of (6.20)-(6.24). Let N be the number of solutions $(\tilde{f}, \tilde{p})$ of

$$\xi_0(\tilde{f}, \tilde{p}, 0, 0) = 0, \quad \mathcal{R}(\tilde{f}, \tilde{p}, 0, 0) = 0, \quad f_{00} = 0, \quad (f_{10},\ f_{01}) = (0,0). \quad (6.25)$$

Observe that this number is same as the number of solutions

$$\nu_0(\tilde{f}, \tilde{p}, \tilde{p}) = 0, \quad \mathcal{Q}(\tilde{f}, \tilde{p}, \tilde{p}) = 0, \quad (\tilde{f}, \tilde{p}, \tilde{p}) \in \Delta\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1. \quad (6.26)$$

Hence

$$\mathrm{N} = \left\langle e(\pi_2^*\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}_0} \oplus \mathcal{W}),\ [\Delta\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1]\right\rangle. \quad (6.27)$$

Since $\mathcal{Q}$ is generic, all solutions of (6.26) (and hence (6.25)) belong to $\Delta\mathcal{A}_1$, i.e,

$$f_{20}f_{02} - f_{11}^2 \neq 0 \implies \left|f_{20}f_{02} - f_{11}^2\right| > \mathrm{C}. \quad (6.28)$$

Let $(\tilde{f}, \tilde{p})$ be a solution of (6.25). Since the sections

$$(\pi_2^*\psi_{\mathcal{A}_0} + \nu_0) \oplus \mathcal{Q} : \overline{\mathcal{A}}_0 \times \{\tilde{p}\} \longrightarrow \pi_2^*\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}_0} \oplus \mathcal{W}, \qquad (\pi_2^*\psi_{\mathcal{A}_0} + \nu_0) \oplus \mathcal{Q} : \overline{\mathcal{A}}_0 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \longrightarrow \pi_2^*\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}_0} \oplus \mathcal{W}$$

are transverse to the zero set (for any $\tilde{p} \in \mathbb{P}^2$) we conclude that given an (x_{t_2}, y_{t_2}) sufficiently small, there exists a unique $(\tilde{f}(t_1,t_2), \tilde{p}(t_1)) \in \overline{\mathcal{A}}_0$ close to $(\tilde{f}, \tilde{p})$, such that $(\tilde{f}(t_1,t_2), \tilde{p}(t_1), (x_{t_2}, y_{t_2}))$ solves (6.20) and (6.21). Plugging in this value in (6.22) and (6.23) and using (6.28), we conclude there is a unique (x_{t_2}, y_{t_2}) that solves (6.22) and (6.23) (provided the norm of ξ_{1x} and ξ_{1y} is sufficiently small). Hence, there is a one to one correspondence between the number solutions of (6.25) and the solutions of (6.20)-(6.24). Equation (6.27) now proves (6.17).

Next, suppose $(\tilde{f}, \tilde{p}, \tilde{p}) \in \Delta\mathcal{A}_2 \cap \mathcal{Q}^{-1}(0)$. Since f_{20} and f_{02} are not both zero, let us assume $f_{02} \neq 0$. The contribution of the section

$$\pi_2^*\psi_{\mathcal{A}_0} \oplus \pi_2^*\psi_{\mathcal{A}_1} \oplus \mathcal{Q} : \overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \longrightarrow \pi_2^*\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}_0} \oplus \pi_2^*\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{A}_1} \oplus \mathcal{W}$$

to the Euler class is the number of solutions of

$$\pi_2^*\psi_{\mathcal{A}_0}(\tilde{f}(t_1,t_2),\tilde{p}(t_1,t_2),\tilde{p}(t_1)) = \nu_0, \quad \pi_2^*\psi_{\mathcal{A}_1}(\tilde{f}(t_1,t_2),\tilde{p}(t_1,t_2),\tilde{p}(t_1)) = \nu_1$$
$$\mathcal{Q}(\tilde{f}(t_1,t_2),\tilde{p}(t_1,t_2),\tilde{p}(t_1)) = 0, \qquad (\tilde{f}(t_1,t_2),\tilde{p}(t_1,t_2),\tilde{p}(t_1)) \in \mathcal{U}_{(\tilde{f},\tilde{p},\tilde{p})} \subset \overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \tag{6.29}$$

where $\mathcal{U}_{(\tilde{f},\tilde{p},\tilde{p})}$ is a sufficiently small neighbourhood of $(\tilde{f},\tilde{p},\tilde{p})$ inside $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ and ν_0 and ν_1 are generic smooth perturbations. Let ξ_0, ξ_{1x}, ξ_{1y} and $\mathcal{R}$ be defined as in (6.19). The number of solutions of (6.29) (which is a functional equation), is equal to the number of solutions of (6.20)-(6.23) and

$$(x_{t_2}, y_{t_2}) = \text{small}, \qquad f_{02}(t_1,t_2)\mathcal{B}_2^{f(t_1,t_2)} := f_{02}(t_1,t_2)f_{20}(t_1,t_2) - f_{11}(t_1,t_2) = \text{small}. \tag{6.30}$$

Since the section

$$\psi_{\mathcal{A}_2} \oplus \mathcal{Q} : \Delta\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}_2} \oplus \mathcal{W}$$

is transverse to the zero set, we conclude that there is a unique $(\tilde{f}(t_1,t_2),\tilde{p}(t_1)) \in \Delta\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \cap \mathcal{Q}^{-1}(0)$ close to $(\tilde{f},\tilde{p})$ with a specified value of $\mathcal{B}_2^{f(t_1,t_2)}$.[9] In other words, we can express all the $f_{ij}(t_1,t_2)$ in terms of $\mathcal{B}_2^{f(t_1,t_2)}$. Plug in this expression for $f_{ij}(t_1,t_2)$ in (6.20), (6.22) and (6.23). Since $(\tilde{f}(t_1,t_2),\tilde{p}(t_1,t_2),\tilde{p}(t_1))$ is close to $\Delta\mathcal{A}_2$, we conclude that after a change of coordinates, the set of equations (6.20), (6.22) and (6.23) is equivalent to (6.11), (6.12) and (6.13), with

$$f_{00}(t_1,t_2) = \xi_0, \qquad f_{10}(t_1,t_2) = \xi_{1x}, \qquad f_{01}(t_1,t_2) = \xi_{1y}. \tag{6.31}$$

Hence, (6.16) holds which combined with (6.31) implies that the multiplicity is 3 to one in x_{t_2}. Given x_{t_2}, we can solve for $\hat{y}_{t_2}$ uniquely using (6.15). And given x_{t_2} and $\hat{y}_{t_2}$, we can uniquely solve for $\mathcal{B}_2^{f(t_1,t_2)}$ using (6.12), provided ξ_{1x} and ξ_{1y} are sufficiently small. Hence, the total multiplicity is 3. □

Proof of Lemma 6.3 (2): It suffices to show that

$$\left\{(\tilde{f},\tilde{p},l_{\tilde{p}}) \in \overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \circ \hat{\mathcal{A}}_1^{\#}}\right\} = \Delta\overline{\hat{\mathcal{A}}}_3. \tag{6.32}$$

By using Lemma 5.2 with Lemma 6.2 (1) we get $\overline{\hat{\mathcal{A}}}_1 = \hat{\mathcal{A}}_1 \cup \overline{\hat{\mathcal{A}}}_2$. Now we use Lemma 5.2 again with Lemma 6.1 (8) to get $\overline{\hat{\mathcal{A}}}_2 = \hat{\mathcal{A}}_2 \sqcup \overline{\hat{\mathcal{A}}}_3 \cup \overline{\hat{\mathcal{D}}}_4$. By Lemma 6.1 (9) and 5.2, we conclude that $\overline{\hat{\mathcal{D}}}_4$ is a subset of $\overline{\hat{\mathcal{A}}}_3$. Hence $\overline{\hat{\mathcal{A}}}_2 = \hat{\mathcal{A}}_2 \sqcup \overline{\hat{\mathcal{A}}}_3$. This implies that

$$\Delta\overline{\hat{\mathcal{A}}}_1 = \Delta\hat{\mathcal{A}}_1 \sqcup \Delta\hat{\mathcal{A}}_2 \sqcup \Delta\overline{\hat{\mathcal{A}}}_3.$$

First we observe that the lhs of (6.32) is a subset of its rhs. To see this, observe that by (6.4)

$$\{(\tilde{f},\tilde{p},\tilde{p}) \in \overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \circ \overline{\mathcal{A}}_1}\} \cap \Delta(\mathcal{A}_1 \cup \mathcal{A}_2) = \varnothing$$
$$\implies \{(\tilde{f},\tilde{p},l_{\tilde{p}}) \in \overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \circ \overline{\hat{\mathcal{A}}}_1}\} \cap \Delta(\hat{\mathcal{A}}_1 \cup \hat{\mathcal{A}}_2) = \varnothing$$
$$\implies \{(\tilde{f},\tilde{p},l_{\tilde{p}}) \in \overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \circ \hat{\mathcal{A}}_1^{\#}}\} \cap \Delta(\hat{\mathcal{A}}_1 \cup \hat{\mathcal{A}}_2) = \varnothing \qquad \text{since } \overline{\hat{\mathcal{A}}_1^{\#}} = \overline{\hat{\mathcal{A}}}_1 \text{ (Lemma 6.1 (2))}.$$

Next we will show that the rhs of (6.32) is a subset of its lhs. We will simultaneously prove the following two statements.

$$\{(\tilde{f},\tilde{p},l_{\tilde{p}}) \in \overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \circ \hat{\mathcal{A}}_1^{\#}}\} \supset \Delta(\hat{\mathcal{A}}_3 \sqcup \hat{\mathcal{D}}_4^{\#\flat}), \tag{6.33}$$
$$\{(\tilde{f},\tilde{p},l_{\tilde{p}}) \in \overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \circ \mathcal{P}\mathcal{A}_2}\} \cap \Delta(\hat{\mathcal{A}}_3 \sqcup \hat{\mathcal{D}}_4^{\#\flat}) = \varnothing. \tag{6.34}$$

Since $\overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \circ \hat{\mathcal{A}}_1^{\#}}$ is a closed set, (6.33) implies that the rhs of (6.32) is a subset of its lhs.[10]

[9] This is true provided $\mathcal{B}_2^{f(t_1,t_2)}$ is sufficiently small.

[10] As before, we do not need the full strength of (6.33). We simply need that $\{(\tilde{f},\tilde{p},l_{\tilde{p}}) \in \overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \circ \hat{\mathcal{A}}_1^{\#}}\} \supset \Delta\hat{\mathcal{A}}_3$.

Claim 6.8. *Let* $(\tilde{f},\tilde{p},l_{\tilde{p}})\in\Delta(\hat{\mathcal{A}}_3\sqcup\hat{\mathcal{D}}_4^{\#\flat})$. *Then there exist solutions*

$$(\tilde{f}(t_1,t_2),\tilde{p}(t_1,t_2),l_{\tilde{p}(t_1)})\in\overline{(\mathcal{D}\times\mathbb{P}^2)\circ\hat{\mathcal{A}}_1^{\#}}$$

close to $(\tilde{f},\tilde{p},l_{\tilde{p}})$ *to the set of equations*

$$\pi_1^*\psi_{\mathcal{A}_0}(\tilde{f}(t_1,t_2),\tilde{p}(t_1,t_2),l_{\tilde{p}(t_1)})=0,\ \ \pi_1^*\psi_{\mathcal{A}_1}(\tilde{f}(t_1,t_2),\tilde{p}(t_1,t_2),l_{\tilde{p}(t_1)})=0,\quad \tilde{p}(t_1,t_2)\neq\tilde{p}(t_1). \tag{6.35}$$

Moreover, whenever such a solution is sufficiently close to $(\tilde{f},\tilde{p},l_{\tilde{p}})$, *it lies in* $\overline{\mathcal{A}_1}\circ\hat{\mathcal{A}}_1^{\#}$, *i.e.,*

$$\pi_2^*\Psi_{\mathcal{PA}_2}(\tilde{f}(t_1,t_2),\tilde{p}(t_1,t_2),\tilde{p}(t_1))\neq 0. \tag{6.36}$$

In particular, $(\tilde{f}(t_1,t_2),\tilde{p}(t_1,t_2),\tilde{p}(t_1))$ *does not lie in* $\overline{\mathcal{A}_1}\circ\mathcal{PA}_2$.

It is easy to see that claim 6.8 proves (6.33) and (6.34) simultaneously.

Proof: Choose homogeneous coordinates [X : Y : Z] so that $\tilde{p}=[0:0:1]$ and let $\mathcal{U}_{\tilde{p}}$, π_x, π_y, v, w, x_{t_1}, y_{t_1}, x_{t_2}, y_{t_2}, $f_{ij}(t_1,t_2)$ be exactly the same as defined in the proof of claim 6.5. Take

$$(\tilde{f}(t_1,t_2),l_{\tilde{p}(t_1)})\in\overline{\hat{\mathcal{A}}_1^{\#}}$$

to be a point that is close to $(\tilde{f},l_{\tilde{p}})$ and $l_{\tilde{p}(t_1,t_2)}$ a point in $\mathbb{P}T\mathbb{P}^2$ that is close to $l_{\tilde{p}(t_1)}$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that

$$v+\eta w\in l_{\tilde{p}},\quad v+\eta_{t_1}w\in l_{\tilde{p}(t_1)}\ \text{ and }\ v+(\eta_{t_1}+\eta_{t_2})w\in l_{\tilde{p}(t_1,t_2)}$$

for some complex numbers η, η_{t_1} and $\eta_{t_1}+\eta_{t_2}$ close to each other. Let the numbers F, $\mathrm{F}_{x_{t_2}}$ and $\mathrm{F}_{y_{t_2}}$ be the same as in the proof of claim 6.5. Since $(\tilde{f}(t_1,t_2),l_{\tilde{p}(t_1)})\in\overline{\hat{\mathcal{A}}_1^{\#}}$, we conclude that

$$f_{00}(t_1,t_2)=f_{10}(t_1,t_2)=f_{01}(t_1,t_2)=0.$$

The functional equation (6.35) has a solution if and only if the following has a numerical solution:

$$\mathrm{F}=0,\qquad \mathrm{F}_{x_{t_2}}=0,\qquad \mathrm{F}_{y_{t_2}}=0,\qquad (x_{t_2},y_{t_2})\neq(0,0)\qquad (\text{but small}). \tag{6.37}$$

First let us assume $(\tilde{f},\tilde{p},l_{\tilde{p}})\in\Delta\hat{\mathcal{A}}_3$. It is easy to see that f_{20} and f_{02} can not both be zero; let us assume f_{02} is non zero. Following the same argument as in the proof of claim 6.5, we make a change of coordinates and write F as

$$\mathrm{F}=\hat{y}_{t_2}^2+\frac{\mathcal{B}_2^{f(t_1,t_2)}}{2!}x_{t_2}^2+\frac{\mathcal{B}_3^{f(t_1,t_2)}}{3!}x_{t_2}^3+\frac{\mathcal{B}_4^{f(t_1,t_2)}}{4!}x_{t_2}^4+\mathrm{O}(x_{t_2}^5),$$
$$\text{where}\qquad \hat{\hat{y}}_{t_2}:=\sqrt{\varphi(x_{t_2},\hat{y}_{t_2})}\hat{y}_{t_2},\qquad \mathcal{B}_2^{f(t_1,t_2)}=f_{20}(t_1,t_2)-\frac{f_{11}(t_1,t_2)^2}{f_{02}(t_1,t_2)}.$$

It is easy to see that the only solutions to (6.37) (in terms of the new coordinates) are

$$\mathcal{B}_2^{f(t_1,t_2)}=\tfrac{\mathcal{B}_4^{f(t_1,t_2)}}{12}x_{t_2}^2+\mathrm{O}(x_{t_2}^3),\ \mathcal{B}_3^{f(t_1,t_2)}=\tfrac{-\mathcal{B}_4^{f(t_1,t_2)}}{2}x_{t_2}+\mathrm{O}(x_{t_2}^2),\ \hat{\hat{y}}_{t_2}=0,\ x_{t_2}\neq 0\ (\text{but small}). \tag{6.38}$$

It remains to show that these solutions satisfy (6.36). First consider the case when $(\tilde{f},\tilde{p},l_{\tilde{p}})\notin\mathcal{PA}_3$, i.e., $\pi_2^*\Psi_{\mathcal{PA}_2}(\tilde{f},\tilde{p},l_{\tilde{p}})\neq 0$. Then (6.36) is obviously true, since the section $\pi_2^*\Psi_{\mathcal{PA}_2}$ is *continuous*. Next, consider the case when $(\tilde{f},\tilde{p},l_{\tilde{p}})\in\mathcal{PA}_3$. Define the numbers

$$\mathrm{J}_1:=f_{20}(t_1,t_2)+\eta_{t_1}f_{11}(t_1,t_2),\quad \mathrm{J}_2:=f_{11}(t_1,t_2)+\eta_{t_1}f_{02}(t_1,t_2). \tag{6.39}$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned}\{\pi_2^*\Psi_{\mathcal{PA}_2}(\tilde{f}(t_1,t_2),\tilde{p}(t_1,t_2),l_{\tilde{p}(t_1)})\}(f(t_1,t_2)\otimes p(t_1)^{\otimes d}\otimes(v+\eta_{t_1}w)\otimes v)=\mathrm{J}_1,\\ \{\pi_2^*\Psi_{\mathcal{PA}_2}(\tilde{f}(t_1,t_2),\tilde{p}(t_1,t_2),l_{\tilde{p}(t_1)})\}(f(t_1,t_2)\otimes p(t_1)^{\otimes d}\otimes(v+\eta_{t_1}w)\otimes w)=\mathrm{J}_2.\end{aligned} \tag{6.40}$$

If (6.36) were false, then J_1 and J_2 would vanish (by (6.40)). Equations (6.39) and (6.38) imply

$$\frac{\mathcal{B}_4^{f(t_1,t_2)}}{12}x_{t_2}^2+\mathrm{O}(x_{t_2}^3)=\mathrm{J}_1-\frac{f_{11}(t_1,t_2)}{f_{02}(t_1,t_2)}\mathrm{J}_2. \tag{6.41}$$

Since $(\tilde{f},\tilde{p},l_{\tilde{p}})\in\hat{\mathcal{A}}_3$, we conclude $\mathcal{B}_4^{f(t_1,t_2)}\neq 0$. Hence, (6.41) implies that J_1 and J_2 can not both be zero. Hence (6.36) holds.

Next, let us assume that $(\tilde{f},\tilde{p},l_{\tilde{p}})\in\Delta\hat{\mathcal{D}}_4^{\#\flat}$. Define the following number

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{G}&:=x_{t_2}\mathrm{F}_{x_{t_2}}+y_{t_2}\mathrm{F}_{y_{t_2}}-2\mathrm{F}\\&=\frac{f_{30}(t_1,t_2)}{6}x_{t_2}^3+\frac{f_{21}(t_1,t_2)}{2}x_{t_2}^2y_{t_2}+\frac{f_{12}(t_1,t_2)}{2}x_{t_2}y_{t_2}^2+\frac{f_{03}(t_1,t_2)}{6}y_{t_2}^3+\dots.\end{aligned}$$

Note that the cubic term of G is same as the cubic term of F. Since $(\tilde{f},\tilde{p},l_{\tilde{p}})\in\Delta\hat{\mathcal{D}}_4$ we conclude, using the same argument as in [1] that there exists a change of coordinates

$$x_{t_2}=\hat{x}_{t_2}+\mathrm{E}_1(\hat{x}_{t_2},\hat{y}_{t_2}),\qquad y_{t_2}=\hat{y}_{t_2}+\mathrm{E}_2(\hat{x}_{t_2},\hat{y}_{t_2}),$$

(where $\mathrm{E}_i(\hat{x}_{t_2},\hat{y}_{t_2})$ are second order in $\hat{x}_{t_2}$ and $\hat{y}_{t_2}$) so that G is given by

$$\mathrm{G}=\frac{f_{30}(t_1,t_2)}{6}\hat{x}_{t_2}^3+\frac{f_{21}(t_1,t_2)}{2}\hat{x}_{t_2}^2\hat{y}_{t_2}+\frac{f_{12}(t_1,t_2)}{2}\hat{x}_{t_2}\hat{y}_{t_2}^2+\frac{f_{03}(t_1,t_2)}{6}\hat{y}_{t_2}^3. \tag{6.42}$$

Since $(\tilde{f},\tilde{p},l_{\tilde{p}})\in\Delta\hat{\mathcal{D}}_4$, there are three possibilities to consider;

$$\begin{aligned}&f_{30}(t_1,t_2)\neq 0\qquad\text{or}\qquad f_{03}(t_1,t_2)\neq 0\ \text{ or}\\&f_{30}(t_1,t_2)=f_{03}(t_1,t_2)=0,\quad\text{but}\quad f_{21}(t_1,t_2)\neq 0\ \text{ and }\ f_{12}(t_1,t_2)\neq 0.\end{aligned} \tag{6.43}$$

Let us assume $f_{30}(t_1,t_2)\neq 0$. Since $(\tilde{f},\tilde{p},l_{\tilde{p}})\in\Delta\hat{\mathcal{D}}_4^{\#\flat}$, equation (6.42) now can be written as

$$\mathrm{G}=\frac{f_{30}(t_1,t_2)}{6}(\hat{x}_{t_2}-\mathrm{A}_1\hat{y}_{t_2})(\hat{x}_{t_2}-\mathrm{A}_2\hat{y}_{t_2})(\hat{x}_{t_2}-\mathrm{A}_3\hat{y}_{t_2}) \tag{6.44}$$

where A_i are complex numbers such that

$$\mathrm{A}_1\neq\mathrm{A}_2\neq\mathrm{A}_3\neq\mathrm{A}_1\ \text{ and }\ \eta\neq\frac{1}{\mathrm{A}_1},\ \frac{1}{\mathrm{A}_2}\ \text{ or }\ \frac{1}{\mathrm{A}_3}. \tag{6.45}$$

To see why the last inequality is true, note that if η is either $\frac{1}{\mathrm{A}_1}$, $\frac{1}{\mathrm{A}_2}$ or $\frac{1}{\mathrm{A}_3}$ then

$$\nabla^3 f|_{\tilde{p}}(v+\eta w,v+\eta w,v+\eta w)=0.$$

Since $(\tilde{f},\tilde{p},l_{\tilde{p}})\in\Delta\hat{\mathcal{D}}_4^{\#\flat}$ the last inequality of (6.45) holds. Hence, (6.35) has a solution if and only if

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{G} &= \frac{f_{30}(t_1,t_2)}{6}(\hat{x}_{t_2}-\mathrm{A}_1\hat{y}_{t_2})(\hat{x}_{t_2}-\mathrm{A}_2\hat{y}_{t_2})(\hat{x}_{t_2}-\mathrm{A}_3\hat{y}_{t_2})=0,\\
\mathrm{F}_{x_{t_2}} &= \hat{x}_{t_2}f_{20}(t_1,t_2)+\hat{y}_{t_2}f_{11}(t_1,t_2)\\
&\quad+\frac{f_{30}(t_1,t_2)}{6}\Big(3\hat{x}_{t_2}^2-2\hat{x}_{t_2}\hat{y}_{t_2}\big(\textstyle\sum_{i=1}^3\mathrm{A}_i\big)+(\mathrm{A}_1\mathrm{A}_2+\mathrm{A}_1\mathrm{A}_3+\mathrm{A}_2\mathrm{A}_3)\hat{y}_{t_2}^2\Big)+\mathrm{E}_3(\hat{x}_{t_2},\hat{y}_{t_2})=0,\\
\mathrm{F}_{y_{t_2}} &= \hat{x}_{t_2}f_{11}(t_1,t_2)+\hat{y}_{t_2}f_{02}(t_1,t_2)\\
&\quad-\frac{f_{30}(t_1,t_2)}{6}\Big(\hat{x}_{t_2}^2\big(\textstyle\sum_{i=1}^3\mathrm{A}_i\big)-2\hat{x}_{t_2}\hat{y}_{t_2}\big(\sum_{i\neq j}\mathrm{A}_i\mathrm{A}_j\big)+3\hat{y}_{t_2}^2\mathrm{A}_1\mathrm{A}_2\mathrm{A}_3\Big)+\mathrm{E}_4(\hat{x}_{t_2},\hat{y}_{t_2})=0\\
(\hat{x}_{t_2},\hat{y}_{t_2})&\neq(0,0)\qquad\text{(but small)}
\end{aligned}\tag{6.46}$$

has a solution, where $\mathrm{E}_i(\hat{x}_{t_2},\hat{y}_{t_2})$ are third order in $(\hat{x}_{t_2},\hat{y}_{t_2})$.

To avoid confusion let us clarify one point; in the above equation $\mathrm{F}_{x_{t_2}}$ and $\mathrm{F}_{y_{t_2}}$ are simply expressed in terms of the new coordinates $\hat{x}_{t_2}$ and $\hat{y}_{t_2}$. They are still the partial derivatives of F with respect to x_{t_2} and y_{t_2}; they are *not* $\mathrm{F}_{\hat{x}_{t_2}}$ and $\mathrm{F}_{\hat{y}_{t_2}}$, the partial derivatives of F with respect to $\hat{x}_{t_2}$ and $\hat{y}_{t_2}$. Now we will construct the solutions to (6.46). There are three solutions; we will just give one of the solutions, the rest are similar. They are given by:

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{x}_{t_2}&=\mathrm{A}_1\hat{y}_{t_2},\quad \hat{y}_{t_2}\neq 0\ \text{ (but small)},\quad f_{20}(t_1,t_2)=\text{small},\\
f_{02}(t_1,t_2)&=\frac{f_{30}(t_1,t_2)}{6}\Big(2\mathrm{A}_1^3-2\mathrm{A}_1^2\mathrm{A}_2-\mathrm{A}_1^2\mathrm{A}_3+2\mathrm{A}_1\mathrm{A}_2\mathrm{A}_3\Big)\hat{y}_{t_2}+\mathrm{A}_1^2f_{20}(t_1,t_2)+\mathrm{E}_5(\hat{y}_{t_2}),\\
f_{11}(t_1,t_2)&=\frac{f_{30}(t_1,t_2)}{6}\Big(-\mathrm{A}_1^2+\mathrm{A}_1\mathrm{A}_2+\mathrm{A}_1\mathrm{A}_3-\mathrm{A}_2\mathrm{A}_3\Big)\hat{y}_{t_2}-\mathrm{A}_1f_{20}(t_1,t_2)+\mathrm{E}_6(\hat{y}_{t_2}),
\end{aligned}\tag{6.47}$$

where $\mathrm{E}_i(\hat{y}_{t_2})$ are second order in $\hat{y}_{t_2}$ and independent of $f_{20}(t_1,t_2)$. It remains to show that (6.36) holds. Equation (6.47) implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
(1-\eta_{t_1}\mathrm{A}_1)\mathrm{J}_2+(\mathrm{A}_1-\mathrm{A}_1^2\eta_{t_1})\mathrm{J}_1&=\beta\hat{y}_{t_2}+\mathrm{O}(\hat{y}_{t_2}^2),\\
\text{where}\qquad \beta&:=\frac{f_{30}(t_1,t_2)}{6}(\mathrm{A}_1-\mathrm{A}_2)(\mathrm{A}_1-\mathrm{A}_3)(-1+\mathrm{A}_1\eta_{t_1})^2,
\end{aligned}\tag{6.48}$$

and J_1 and J_2 are as defined in (6.39). Note that the rhs of (6.48) is independent of $f_{20}(t_1,t_2)$. By (6.45), $\beta\neq 0$. Hence, by (6.48) J_1 and J_2 can not both vanish. As a result, (6.36) holds. Similar argument holds for the other two solutions of (6.46).

A similar argument will go through if any of the other two cases of (6.43) holds. □

Corollary 6.9. *Let $\mathbb{W}\longrightarrow\mathcal{D}\times\mathbb{P}^2\times\mathbb{P}T\mathbb{P}^2$ be a vector bundle such that the rank of $\mathbb{W}$ is equal to dimension of $\Delta\mathcal{PA}_3$ and $\mathcal{Q}:\mathcal{D}\times\mathbb{P}^2\times\mathbb{P}T\mathbb{P}^2\longrightarrow\mathbb{W}$ a generic smooth section. Suppose $(\tilde{f},\tilde{p},l_{\tilde{p}})\in\Delta\mathcal{PA}_3$. Then the section*

$$\pi_2^*\Psi_{\mathcal{PA}_2}\oplus\mathcal{Q}:\overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1\circ\hat{\mathcal{A}}_1^{\#}}\longrightarrow\pi_2^*\mathbb{V}_{\mathcal{PA}_2}\oplus\mathbb{W}$$

vanishes around $(\tilde{f},\tilde{p},l_{\tilde{p}})$ with a multiplicity of 2.

Proof: Since $\mathcal{Q}$ is generic, the sections

$$\Psi_{\mathcal{PA}_2}\oplus\mathcal{Q}:\Delta\overline{\hat{\mathcal{A}}_1^{\#}}\longrightarrow\mathbb{V}_{\mathcal{PA}_2}\oplus\mathbb{W},\quad \Psi_{\mathcal{PA}_3}:\Psi_{\mathcal{PA}_2}^{-1}(0)\longrightarrow\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{PA}_3}$$

are transverse to the zero set. Hence, there exists a unique $(\tilde{f}(t_1,t_2), l_{p(t_1)}) \in \Delta\overline{\hat{\mathcal{A}}_1^{\#}}$ close to $(\tilde{f}, l_{\tilde{p}})$ for a specified value of J_1, J_2 and $f_{30}(t_1,t_2)$. [11] In other words we can express all the $f_{ij}(t_1,t_2)$ in terms of J_1, J_2 and $f_{30}(t_1,t_2)$. Since $\mathcal{B}_4^{f(t_1,t_2)} \neq 0$, equation (6.41) implies that the number of solutions to the set of equations

$$\mathrm{J}_1 = \xi_1, \qquad \mathrm{J}_2 = \xi_2$$

is 2, where ξ_i is a small perturbation. □

Corollary 6.10. *Let $\mathbb{W} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D} \times \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}T\mathbb{P}^2$ be a vector bundle such that the rank of $\mathbb{W}$ is equal to dimension of $\Delta\hat{\mathcal{D}}_4^{\#\flat}$ and $\mathcal{Q} : \mathcal{D} \times \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}T\mathbb{P}^2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{W}$ a generic smooth section. Suppose $(\tilde{f}, \tilde{p}, \tilde{p}) \in \Delta\hat{\mathcal{D}}_4^{\#\flat}$. Then the section*

$$\pi_2^*\Psi_{\mathcal{PD}_4} \oplus \mathcal{Q} : \overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \circ \hat{\mathcal{A}}_1^{\#}} \longrightarrow \pi_2^*\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{PD}_4} \oplus \mathbb{W}$$

vanishes around $(\tilde{f}, \tilde{p}, l_{\tilde{p}})$ with a multiplicity of 3.

Proof: Since $\mathcal{Q}$ is generic, the sections

$$\Psi_{\mathcal{PA}_2} \oplus \mathcal{Q} : \Delta\overline{\hat{\mathcal{A}}_1^{\#}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{V}_{\mathcal{PA}_2} \oplus \mathbb{W}, \quad \Psi_{\mathcal{PD}_4} : \Psi_{\mathcal{PA}_2}^{-1}(0) \longrightarrow \mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{PD}_4}$$

are transverse to the zero set. Hence, there exists a unique $(\tilde{f}(t_1,t_2), l_{p(t_1)}) \in \Delta\overline{\hat{\mathcal{A}}_1^{\#}}$ close to $(\tilde{f}, l_{\tilde{p}})$ for a specified value of J_1, J_2 and $f_{02}(t_1,t_2)$. [12] In other words we can express all the $f_{ij}(t_1,t_2)$ in terms of J_1, J_2 and $f_{02}(t_1,t_2)$. Since $\beta \neq 0$, equation (6.48) implies that the number of solutions to the set of equations

$$\mathrm{J}_1 = \xi_1, \qquad \mathrm{J}_2 = \xi_2$$

is 1, where ξ_i is a small perturbation. Since there are a total of 3 solutions to (6.46), the total multiplicity is 3. □

Proof of Lemma 6.3 (3): It suffices to prove the following two statements in view of (6.51) :

$$\{(\tilde{f}, \tilde{p}, l_{\tilde{p}}) \in \overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \circ \mathcal{PA}_2} : \ \pi_2^*\Psi_{\mathcal{PD}_4}(\tilde{f}, \tilde{p}, l_{\tilde{p}}) \neq 0\} = \{(\tilde{f}, \tilde{p}, l_{\tilde{p}}) \in \Delta\overline{\mathcal{PA}}_4 : \ \pi_2^*\Psi_{\mathcal{PD}_4}(\tilde{f}, \tilde{p}, l_{\tilde{p}}) \neq 0\} \quad (6.49)$$

$$\{(\tilde{f}, \tilde{p}, l_{\tilde{p}}) \in \overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \circ \mathcal{PA}_2} : \ \pi_2^*\Psi_{\mathcal{PD}_4}(\tilde{f}, \tilde{p}, l_{\tilde{p}}) = 0\} = \Delta\overline{\hat{\mathcal{D}}_5^{\#\flat}} \quad (6.50)$$

Let us directly prove a more general version of (6.49):

Lemma 6.11. *If $k \geq 2$, then*

$$\{(\tilde{f}, \tilde{p}, l_{\tilde{p}}) \in \overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \circ \mathcal{PA}_k} : \ \pi_2^*\Psi_{\mathcal{PD}_4}(\tilde{f}, \tilde{p}, l_{\tilde{p}}) \neq 0\} = \{(\tilde{f}, \tilde{p}, l_{\tilde{p}}) \in \Delta\overline{\mathcal{PA}}_{k+2} : \ \pi_2^*\Psi_{\mathcal{PD}_4}(\tilde{f}, \tilde{p}, l_{\tilde{p}}) \neq 0\}.$$

Note that (6.49) is a special case of Lemma 6.11; take $k = 2$.

Proof: We will prove the following two facts simultaneously:

$$\{(\tilde{f}, \tilde{p}, l_{\tilde{p}}) \in \overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \circ \mathcal{PA}_k}\} \supset \Delta\mathcal{PA}_{k+2} \qquad \forall\ k \geq 2, \quad (6.51)$$

$$\{(\tilde{f}, \tilde{p}, l_{\tilde{p}}) \in \overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \circ \mathcal{PA}_{k+1}}\} \cap \Delta\mathcal{PA}_{k+2} = \varnothing \qquad \forall\ k \geq 1. \quad (6.52)$$

It is easy to see that (6.51) and (6.52) imply Lemma 6.11. We will now prove the following claim:

[11] Provided J_1, J_2 and $f_{30}(t_1,t_2)$ are sufficiently small.
[12] Provided J_1, J_2 and $f_{02}(t_1,t_2)$ are sufficiently small.

Claim 6.12. *Let* $(\tilde{f},\tilde{p},l_{\tilde{p}})\in\Delta\mathcal{PA}_{k+2}$ *and* $k\geq 2$. *Then there exists a solution*

$$(\tilde{f}(t_1,t_2),\tilde{p}(t_1,t_2),l_{\tilde{p}(t_1)})\in\overline{(\mathcal{D}\times\mathbb{P}^2)\circ\mathcal{PA}_2}$$

near $(\tilde{f},\tilde{p},l_{\tilde{p}})$ *to the set of equations*

$$\begin{aligned}&\pi_1^*\psi_{\mathcal{A}_0}(\tilde{f}(t_1,t_2),\tilde{p}(t_1,t_2),l_{\tilde{p}(t_1)})=0,\ \ \pi_1^*\psi_{\mathcal{A}_1}(\tilde{f}(t_1,t_2),\tilde{p}(t_1,t_2),l_{\tilde{p}(t_1)})=0,\\ &\pi_2^*\Psi_{\mathcal{PA}_3}(\tilde{f}(t_1,t_2),\tilde{p}(t_1,t_2),l_{\tilde{p}(t_1)})=0,\ldots,\pi_2^*\Psi_{\mathcal{PA}_k}(\tilde{f}(t_1,t_2),\tilde{p}(t_1,t_2),l_{\tilde{p}(t_1)})=0,\\ &\pi_2^*\Psi_{\mathcal{PD}_4}(\tilde{f}(t_1,t_2),\tilde{p}(t_1,t_2),l_{\tilde{p}(t_1)})\neq 0,\ \ \tilde{p}(t_1,t_2)\neq\tilde{p}(t_1).\end{aligned}\tag{6.53}$$

Moreover, any solution $(\tilde{f}(t_1,t_2),\tilde{p}(t_1,t_2),l_{\tilde{p}(t_1)})$ *sufficiently close to* $(\tilde{f},\tilde{p},l_{\tilde{p}})$ *lies in* $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1\circ\mathcal{PA}_k$, *i.e.,*

$$\pi_2^*\Psi_{\mathcal{PA}_{k+1}}(\tilde{f}(t_1,t_2),\tilde{p}(t_1,t_2),l_{\tilde{p}(t_1)})\neq 0.\tag{6.54}$$

In particular $(\tilde{f}(t_1,t_2),\tilde{p}(t_1,t_2),l_{\tilde{p}(t_1)})$ *does not lie in* $\overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1\circ\mathcal{PA}}_{k+1}$.

It is easy to see that claim 6.12 implies (6.51) and (6.52) simultaneously for all $k\geq 2$. The fact that (6.52) holds for $k=1$ follows from (6.34) (since $\mathcal{PA}_3$ is a subset of $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_3$.)

Proof: Choose homogeneous coordinates [X : Y : Z] so that $\tilde{p}=[0:0:1]$ and let $\mathcal{U}_{\tilde{p}}$, π_x, π_y, x_{t_1}, y_{t_1}, x_{t_2}, y_{t_2} be exactly the same as defined in the proof of claim 6.5. Let $v_1,w:\mathcal{U}_{\tilde{p}}\longrightarrow T\mathbb{P}^2$ be vectors dual to the one forms $d\pi_x$ and $d\pi_y$ respectively. Take

$$(\tilde{f}(t_1,t_2),l_{\tilde{p}(t_1)})\in\overline{\mathcal{PA}}_2$$

to be a point that is close to $(\tilde{f},l_{\tilde{p}})$ and $l_{\tilde{p}(t_1,t_2)}$ a point in $\mathbb{P}T\mathbb{P}^2$ that is close to $l_{\tilde{p}(t_1)}$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that

$$v:=v_1+\eta w\in l_{\tilde{p}},\quad v_1+\eta_{t_1}w\in l_{\tilde{p}(t_1)}\ \text{ and }\ v+(\eta_{t_1}+\eta_{t_2})w\in l_{\tilde{p}(t_1,t_2)}$$

for some complex numbers η, η_{t_1} and η_{t_2} close to each other. Let

$$f_{ij}(t_1,t_2):=\nabla^{i+j}f(t_1,t_2)|_{p(t_1)}(\underbrace{v,\cdots v}_{i\text{ times}},\underbrace{w,\cdots w}_{j\text{ times}}).$$

The numbers F, $\mathrm{F}_{x_{t_2}}$ and $\mathrm{F}_{y_{t_2}}$ are the same as in the proof of claim 6.5. Since $(\tilde{f}(t_1,t_2),l_{\tilde{p}(t_1)})\in\overline{\mathcal{PA}}_2$, we conclude that

$$f_{00}(t_1,t_2)=f_{10}(t_1,t_2)=f_{01}(t_1,t_2)=f_{20}(t_1,t_2)=f_{11}(t_1,t_2)=0.$$

Moreover, since $(\tilde{f},l_{\tilde{p}})\in\mathcal{PA}_{k+2}$ we conclude that f_{02} and $\mathcal{A}_{k+3}^f$ are non zero. Hence $f_{02}(t_1,t_2)$ and $\mathcal{A}_{k+3}^{f(t_1,t_2)}$ are non zero if $\tilde{f}(t_1,t_2)$ is sufficiently close to $\tilde{f}$. Since $f_{02}(t_1,t_2)\neq 0$, following the same argument as in the proof of claim 6.5, we can make a change of coordinates to write F as

$$\mathrm{F}=\hat{\hat{y}}_{t_2}^2+\frac{\mathcal{A}_3^{f(t_1,t_2)}}{3!}x_{t_2}^3+\frac{\mathcal{A}_4^{f(t_1,t_2)}}{4!}x_{t_2}^4+\ldots$$

The functional equation (6.53) has a solution if and only if the following set of equations has a solution (as numbers):

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{y}_{t_2}^2+\frac{\mathcal{A}_3^{f(t_1,t_2)}}{3!}x_{t_2}^3+\frac{\mathcal{A}_4^{f(t_1,t_2)}}{4!}x_{t_2}^4+\ldots=0, \qquad 2\hat{y}_{t_2}=0,\\ \frac{\mathcal{A}_3^{f(t_1,t_2)}}{2!}x_{t_2}^3+\frac{\mathcal{A}_4^{f(t_1,t_2)}}{3!}x_{t_2}^4+\ldots=0, \qquad \mathcal{A}_3^{f(t_1,t_2)},\ldots,\mathcal{A}_k^{f(t_1,t_2)}=0,\\ (\hat{y}_{t_2},x_{t_2})\neq(0,0) \qquad \text{(but small)}.\end{aligned} \tag{6.55}$$

It is easy to see that the solutions to (6.55) exist given by

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathcal{A}_3^{f(t_1,t_2)},\ldots,\mathcal{A}_k^{f(t_1,t_2)}=0,\\ &\mathcal{A}_{k+1}^{f(t_1,t_2)}=\frac{\mathcal{A}_{k+3}^{f(t_1,t_2)}}{(k+2)(k+3)}x_{t_2}^2+\mathrm{O}(x_{t_2}^3),\\ &\mathcal{A}_{k+2}^{f(t_1,t_2)}=-\frac{2\mathcal{A}_{k+3}^{f(t_1,t_2)}}{(k+3)}x_{t_2}+\mathrm{O}(x_{t_2}^2), \qquad \hat{y}_{t_2}=0, \qquad x_{t_2}\neq 0 \qquad \text{(but small)}.\end{aligned} \tag{6.56}$$

By (6.56), it immediately follows that (6.54) holds (since $\mathcal{A}_{k+3}^{f(t_1,t_2)}\neq 0$). □

Corollary 6.13. *Let $\mathbb{W}\longrightarrow\mathcal{D}\times\mathbb{P}^2\times\mathbb{P}T\mathbb{P}^2$ be a vector bundle such that the rank of $\mathbb{W}$ is same as the dimension of $\Delta\mathcal{PA}_{k+2}$ and $\mathcal{Q}:\mathcal{D}\times\mathbb{P}^2\times\mathbb{P}T\mathbb{P}^2\longrightarrow\mathbb{W}$ a generic smooth section. Suppose $(\tilde{f},\tilde{p},l_{\tilde{p}})\in\Delta\mathcal{PA}_{k+2}\cap\mathcal{Q}^{-1}(0)$. Then the section*

$$\pi_2^*\Psi_{\mathcal{PA}_{k+1}}\oplus\mathcal{Q}:\Delta\overline{\mathcal{PA}}_k\longrightarrow\pi_2^*\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{PA}_{k+1}}\oplus\mathbb{W}$$

vanishes around $(\tilde{f},\tilde{p},l_{\tilde{p}})$ with a multiplicity of 2.

Proof: This follows from the fact that the sections

$$\pi_2^*\Psi_{\mathcal{PA}_i}:\Delta\overline{\mathcal{PA}}_{i-1}-\pi_2^*\Psi_{\mathcal{PD}_4}^{-1}(0)\longrightarrow\pi_2^*\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{PA}_i}$$

are transverse to the zero set for all $3\leq i\leq k+2$, the fact that $\mathcal{Q}$ is generic and (6.56). The proof is now similar to that of Corollary 6.6, 6.9 and 6.10. □

Next let us prove (6.50). First we will prove the following two facts:

$$\overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1\circ\mathcal{PA}_2}\cap\mathcal{PD}_4=\varnothing, \tag{6.57}$$

$$\overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1\circ\mathcal{PA}_3}\cap\mathcal{PD}_5=\varnothing. \tag{6.58}$$

Although (6.58) is not needed to prove (6.50), we will prove these two statements in one go since their proofs are very similar.

Claim 6.14. *Let $(\tilde{f},\tilde{p},l_{\tilde{p}})\in\Delta\mathcal{PD}_4$. Then there exist no solutions*

$$(\tilde{f}(t_1,t_2),\tilde{p}(t_1,t_2),l_{\tilde{p}(t_1)})\in\overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1\circ\mathcal{PA}_2}$$

near $(\tilde{f},\tilde{p},l_{\tilde{p}})$ to the set of equations

$$\pi_1^*\psi_{\mathcal{A}_0}(\tilde{f}(t_1,t_2),\tilde{p}(t_1,t_2),l_{\tilde{p}(t_1)})=0,\ \ \pi_1^*\psi_{\mathcal{A}_1}(\tilde{f}(t_1,t_2),\tilde{p}(t_1,t_2),l_{\tilde{p}(t_1)})=0. \tag{6.59}$$

Secondly, let $(\tilde{f}, \tilde{p}, l_{\tilde{p}}) \in \Delta\mathcal{PD}_5$. *Then there exist no solutions*

$$(\tilde{f}(t_1,t_2), \tilde{p}(t_1,t_2), l_{\tilde{p}(t_1)}) \in \overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \circ \mathcal{PA}_3}$$

near $(\tilde{f}, \tilde{p}, l_{\tilde{p}})$ *to the set of equations*

$$\pi_1^* \psi_{\mathcal{A}_0}(\tilde{f}(t_1,t_2), \tilde{p}(t_1,t_2), l_{\tilde{p}(t_1)}) = 0, \;\; \pi_1^* \psi_{\mathcal{A}_1}(\tilde{f}(t_1,t_2), \tilde{p}(t_1,t_2), l_{\tilde{p}(t_1)}) = 0. \tag{6.60}$$

It is easy to see that claim 6.14 proves (6.57) and (6.58) .

Proof: For the first part, choose homogeneous coordinates [X : Y : Z] so that $\tilde{p} = [0:0:1]$ and let $\mathcal{U}_{\tilde{p}}$, π_x, π_y, v_1, w, v, η, η_{t_1}, η_{t_2}, x_{t_1}, y_{t_1}, x_{t_2}, y_{t_2}, $f_{ij}(t_1,t_2)$, F, $\mathrm{F}_{x_{t_2}}$ and $\mathrm{F}_{y_{t_2}}$ be exactly the same as defined in the proof of claim 6.12. Since $(\tilde{f}(t_1,t_2), l_{\tilde{p}(t_1)}) \in \overline{\mathcal{PA}}_2$, we conclude that

$$f_{00}(t_1,t_2) = f_{10}(t_1,t_2) = f_{01}(t_1,t_2) = f_{20}(t_1,t_2) = f_{11}(t_1,t_2) = 0.$$

The functional equation (6.59) has a solution if and only if the following set of equations has a solution (as numbers):

$$\mathrm{F} = 0, \qquad \mathrm{F}_{x_{t_2}} = 0, \qquad \mathrm{F}_{y_{t_2}} = 0, \qquad (x_{t_2}, y_{t_2}) \neq (0,0) \qquad \text{(but small)}. \tag{6.61}$$

For the convenience of the reader, let us rewrite the expression for F:

$$\mathrm{F} := \frac{f_{02}(t_1,t_2)}{2} y_{t_2}^2 + \frac{f_{30}(t_1,t_2)}{6} x_{t_2}^3 + \frac{f_{21}(t_1,t_2)}{2} x_{t_2}^2 y_{t_2} + \frac{f_{12}(t_1,t_2)}{2} x_{t_2} y_{t_2}^2 + \frac{f_{03}(t_1,t_2)}{6} y_{t_2}^3 + \ldots.$$

Since $(\tilde{f}, \tilde{p}, l_{\tilde{p}}) \in \mathcal{PD}_4$ we conclude that

$$f_{02} = 0, \quad f_{30} = 0, \quad f_{21} \neq 0, \quad 3f_{12}^2 - 4f_{21}f_{03} \neq 0. \tag{6.62}$$

To see why the two non vanishing conditions hold, first notice that since $(\tilde{f}, l_{\tilde{p}}) \in \hat{\mathcal{D}}_4$ we conclude that the cubic term in the Taylor expansion of f has no repeated root. In other words

$$\beta := f_{30}^2 f_{03}^2 - 6f_{03}f_{12}f_{21}f_{30} + 4f_{12}^3 f_{30} + 4f_{03}f_{21}^3 - 3f_{12}^2 f_{21}^2 \neq 0.$$

Since $(\tilde{f}, l_{\tilde{p}}) \in \mathcal{PD}_4$ we conclude $f_{30} = 0$. Hence we get (6.62). Now we will show that (6.61) has no solutions. First of all we claim that $y_{t_2} \neq 0$; we will justify that at the end. Assuming that, define $\mathrm{L} := \frac{x_{t_2}}{y_{t_2}}$. Substituting $x_{t_2} = \mathrm{L} y_{t_2}$ in $\mathrm{F}_{x_{t_2}} = 0$ and using $y_{t_2} \neq 0$ and $f_{21}(t_1,t_2) \neq 0$ we can solve for L using the Implicit Function Theorem. That gives us

$$\mathrm{L} = -\frac{f_{12}(t_1,t_2)}{2f_{21}(t_1,t_2)} + y_{t_2}\mathrm{E}_1(y_{t_1}, f_{30}(t_1,t_2)) + f_{30}(t_1,t_2)\mathrm{E}_2(y_{t_1}, f_{30}(t_1,t_2)), \tag{6.63}$$

where $\mathrm{E}_i(0,0) = 0$. Using the value of L from (6.63), and substituting $x_{t_2} = \mathrm{L} y_{t_2}$ in $\mathrm{F} - \frac{y_{t_2}\mathrm{F}_{y_{t_2}}}{2} = 0$, we conclude that as $(y_{t_2}, f_{30}(t_1,t_2))$ go to zero

$$-\frac{f_{03}}{12} + \frac{f_{12}^2}{16f_{21}} = 0. \tag{6.64}$$

It is easy to see that (6.64) contradicts (6.62). It remains to show that $y_{t_2} \neq 0$. To see why that is so, consider the equation $\mathrm{F}_{y_{t_2}} = 0$. It is easy to see that if $y_{t_2} = 0$ then $f_{21}(t_1,t_2)$ will go to zero,

contradicting (6.62). Hence (6.61) has no solutions.

For the second part of the claim, we use the same set up except for one difference: we require $(\tilde{f}, l_{\tilde{p}}) \in \overline{\mathcal{PA}}_3$. Hence

$$f_{00}(t_1,t_2) = f_{10}(t_1,t_2) = f_{01}(t_1,t_2) = f_{20}(t_1,t_2) = f_{11}(t_1,t_2) = f_{30}(t_1,t_2) = 0.$$

The functional equation (6.60) has a solution if and only if the following set of equations has a solution (as numbers):

$$\mathrm{F} = 0, \qquad \mathrm{F}_{x_{t_2}} = 0, \qquad \mathrm{F}_{y_{t_2}} = 0, \qquad (x_{t_2}, y_{t_2}) \neq (0,0) \qquad \text{(but small)}. \tag{6.65}$$

For the convenience of the reader, let us rewrite the expression for F:

$$\mathrm{F} := \frac{f_{02}(t_1,t_2)}{2} y_{t_2}^2 + \frac{f_{21}(t_1,t_2)}{2} x_{t_2}^2 y_{t_2} + \frac{f_{12}(t_1,t_2)}{2} x_{t_2} y_{t_2}^2 + \frac{f_{03}(t_1,t_2)}{6} y_{t_2}^3 + \dots.$$

Since $(\tilde{f}, l_{\tilde{p}}) \in \mathcal{PD}_5$ we conclude that

$$f_{02} = 0, \quad f_{30} = 0, \quad f_{21} = 0, \quad f_{40} \neq 0, \quad f_{12} \neq 0. \tag{6.66}$$

We will now show that there are no solutions to (6.65). First we claim that $y_{t_2} \neq 0$; we will justify that at the end. Assuming that, define $\mathrm{L} := \frac{x_{t_2}}{y_{t_2}}$. Substituting $x_{t_2} = \mathrm{L} y_{t_2}$ in $\mathrm{F}_{x_{t_2}} = 0$ and using $y_{t_2} \neq 0$, we conclude that as y_{t_2} and $f_{21}(t_1,t_2)$ go to zero, $f_{12}(t_1,t_2)$ goes to zero, contradicting (6.66). It remains to show that $y_{t_2} \neq 0$. Consider the equation $\mathrm{F}_{x_{t_2}} = 0$. If $y_{t_2} = 0$ then $f_{40}(t_1,t_2)$ would go to zero as x_{t_2} goes to zero, contradicting (6.66). Hence (6.65) has no solutions. □

Now we return to the proof of (6.50). First of all we observe that (6.34) and (6.57) imply that

$$\overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \circ \mathcal{PA}_2} \cap \Delta \hat{\mathcal{D}}_4 = \varnothing. \tag{6.67}$$

Hence, the lhs of (6.50) is a subset of its rhs. This is because

$$\overline{\hat{\mathcal{D}}}_4 = \hat{\mathcal{D}}_4 \cup \overline{\hat{\mathcal{D}}}_5 \qquad \text{and} \qquad \overline{\hat{\mathcal{D}}_5^{\#\flat}} = \overline{\hat{\mathcal{D}}}_5. \tag{6.68}$$

The first equality follows by applying Lemma 5.2 twice to Lemma 6.1 (4) while the second is covered by Lemma 6.2. To show rhs of (6.50) is a subset of its lhs, it suffices to show that

$$\{(\tilde{f}, \tilde{p}, l_{\tilde{p}}) \in \overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \circ \mathcal{PA}_2} : \ \pi_2^* \Psi_{\mathcal{PD}_4}(\tilde{f}, \tilde{p}, l_{\tilde{p}}) = 0\} \supset \Delta \hat{\mathcal{D}}_5^{\#\flat}. \tag{6.69}$$

Claim 6.15. *Let $(\tilde{f}, \tilde{p}, l_{\tilde{p}}) \in \Delta \hat{\mathcal{D}}_5^{\#\flat}$. Then there exists a solution*

$$(\tilde{f}(t_1,t_2), \tilde{p}(t_1,t_2), l_{\tilde{p}(t_1)}) \in \overline{(\mathcal{D} \times \mathbb{P}^2) \circ \mathcal{PA}_2}$$

near $(\tilde{f}, \tilde{p}, l_{\tilde{p}})$ to the set of equations

$$\pi_1^* \psi_{\mathcal{A}_0}(\tilde{f}(t_1,t_2), \tilde{p}(t_1,t_2), l_{\tilde{p}(t_1)}) = 0, \ \pi_1^* \psi_{\mathcal{A}_1}(\tilde{f}(t_1,t_2), \tilde{p}(t_1,t_2), l_{\tilde{p}(t_1)}) = 0, \quad \tilde{p}(t_1,t_2) \neq \tilde{p}(t_1). \tag{6.70}$$

It is easy to see that claim 6.15 implies (6.69).

Proof: Choose homogeneous coordinates [X : Y : Z] so that $\tilde{p} = [0:0:1]$ and let $\mathcal{U}_{\tilde{p}}$, π_x, π_y, v_1, w, v, η, η_{t_1}, η_{t_2}, x_{t_1}, y_{t_1}, x_{t_2}, y_{t_2}, $f_{ij}(t_1,t_2)$, F, $\mathrm{F}_{x_{t_2}}$ and $\mathrm{F}_{y_{t_2}}$ be exactly the same as defined in the proof of claim 6.12. Since $(\tilde{f}(t_1,t_2), l_{\tilde{p}(t_1)}) \in \overline{\mathcal{PA}}_2$, we conclude that

$$f_{00}(t_1,t_2) = f_{10}(t_1,t_2) = f_{01}(t_1,t_2) = f_{20}(t_1,t_2) = f_{11}(t_1,t_2) = 0.$$

The functional equation (6.70) has a solution if and only if the following set of equations has a solution (as numbers):

$$\mathrm{F} = 0, \qquad \mathrm{F}_{x_{t_2}} = 0, \qquad \mathrm{F}_{y_{t_2}} = 0, \qquad (x_{t_2}, y_{t_2}) \neq (0,0) \qquad \text{(but small)}. \tag{6.71}$$

For the convenience of the reader, let us rewrite the expression for F:

$$\mathrm{F} := \tfrac{f_{02}(t_1,t_2)}{2} y_{t_2}^2 + \tfrac{f_{30}(t_1,t_2)}{6} x_{t_2}^3 + \tfrac{f_{21}(t_1,t_2)}{2} x_{t_2}^2 y_{t_2} + \tfrac{f_{12}(t_1,t_2)}{2} x_{t_2} y_{t_2}^2 + \tfrac{f_{03}(t_1,t_2)}{6} y_{t_2}^3 + \ldots.$$

Let us define

$$\begin{aligned} &\beta_1 := f_{21}^2 - f_{12} f_{30}, \quad \beta_2^{\pm} := -\frac{f_{03}}{12} - \frac{f_{21}^3}{6 f_{30}^2} + \frac{f_{12} f_{21}}{4 f_{30}} \pm \sqrt{\beta_1}\Big(\frac{f_{21}^2}{6 f_{30}^2} - \frac{f_{12}}{6 f_{30}}\Big) \qquad \text{and} \\ &\beta_3 := f_{30}^2 f_{03}^2 - 6 f_{03} f_{12} f_{21} f_{30} + 4 f_{12}^3 f_{30} + 4 f_{03} f_{21}^3 - 3 f_{12}^2 f_{21}^2 = 4 f_{30}^2 \beta_2^+ \beta_2^-. \end{aligned} \tag{6.72}$$

Define $\beta_k(t_1,t_2)$ similarly with f_{ij} replaced by $f_{ij}(t_1,t_2)$. Since $(\tilde{f}, \tilde{p}, l_{\tilde{p}}) \in \hat{\mathcal{D}}_5$, the cubic

$$\Phi(\theta) := \tfrac{f_{30}}{6}\theta^3 + \tfrac{f_{21}}{2}\theta^2 + \tfrac{f_{12}}{2}\theta + \tfrac{f_{03}}{6}$$

has a repeated root, but not all the three roots are the same. Hence we conclude that

$$\beta_3 = 0, \quad \beta_1 \neq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad f_{30} \neq 0. \tag{6.73}$$

The last inequality follows from the fact that $(\tilde{f}, \tilde{p}, l_{\tilde{p}})$ belongs to $\hat{\mathcal{D}}_5^{\#\flat}$ as opposed to $\hat{\mathcal{D}}_5$. We will now construct solutions to (6.71). Corresponding to each branch of $\sqrt{\beta_1(t_1,t_2)}$, the solutions are:

$$x_{t_2} = \frac{-f_{21}(t_1,t_2) + \sqrt{\beta_1(t_1,t_2)}}{f_{30}(t_1,t_2)} y_{t_2} + \mathrm{O}(y_{t_2}^2), \quad f_{02}(t_1,t_2) = \mathrm{O}(y_{t_2}), \quad \beta_2^+(t_1,t_2) = \mathrm{O}(y_{t_2}). \tag{6.74}$$

Let us explain how we obtained these solutions. To obtain the value of x_{t_2} we used $\mathrm{F}_{x_{t_2}} = 0$. To obtain the value of $f_{02}(t_1,t_2)$ we used $\mathrm{F}_{y_{t_2}} = 0$ and the value of x_{t_2} from the previous equation. Finally we used the fact that $2\mathrm{F} - y_{t_2}\mathrm{F}_{y_{t_2}} = 0$ and the value of x_{t_2} to obtain $\beta_2^+(t_1,t_2)$. We get a similar solution for the other branch of $\sqrt{\beta_1(t_1,t_2)}$. By (6.72) and (6.74) , we conclude that as y_{t_2} goes to zero, $f_{02}(t_1,t_2)$ and β_3 go to zero. Hence, the solutions in (6.74) lie in $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \circ \overline{\mathcal{PA}}_2$ and converge to a point $(\tilde{f}, \tilde{p}, l_{\tilde{p}})$ in $\hat{\mathcal{D}}_5^{\#\flat}$. □

Proof of Lemma 6.3 (4): By Lemma 6.11 ($k = 3$), if we show that

$$\{(\tilde{f}, \tilde{p}, l_{\tilde{p}}) \in \overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \circ \mathcal{PA}_3} : \ \pi_2^* \Psi_{\mathcal{PD}_4}(\tilde{f}, \tilde{p}, l_{\tilde{p}}) = 0\} = \Delta\overline{\mathcal{PD}_5^{\vee}} \cup \Delta\overline{\mathcal{PD}}_6 \tag{6.75}$$

then we have

$$\{(\tilde{f}, \tilde{p}, l_{\tilde{p}}) \in \overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \circ \mathcal{PA}_3}\} \subseteq \Delta\overline{\mathcal{PA}}_5 \cup \Delta\overline{\mathcal{PD}_5^{\vee}} \cup \Delta\overline{\mathcal{PD}}_6.$$

By Lemma 6.1 (11), we conclude that

$$\{(\tilde{f},\tilde{p},l_{\tilde{p}}) \in \overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \circ \mathcal{PA}_3}\} \subseteq \Delta\overline{\mathcal{PA}}_5 \cup \Delta\overline{\mathcal{PD}}_5^{\vee}.$$

On the other hand, by (6.51) applied with $k=3$ and (6.75) we conclude that

$$\{(\tilde{f},\tilde{p},l_{\tilde{p}}) \in \overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \circ \mathcal{PA}_3}\} \supseteq \Delta\overline{\mathcal{PA}}_5 \cup \Delta\overline{\mathcal{PD}}_5^{\vee}.$$

Thus, it suffices to prove (6.75). Note that the intersection of the lhs of (6.75) with $\hat{\mathcal{D}}_4$ is empty. This follows from (6.67) and the fact that $\mathcal{PA}_3$ is a subset of $\overline{\mathcal{PA}}_2$ (see Lemma 6.1). Equation (6.68) and Lemma 6.2, statement 4 now implies that the lhs of (6.75) is a subset of $\Delta\overline{\mathcal{PD}}_5^{\vee} \cup \Delta\overline{\mathcal{PD}}_5$. However, the intersection of the lhs of (6.75) with $\Delta\mathcal{PD}_5$ is also empty by (6.58). By Lemma 6.1, statement 6 and Lemma 6.2, statement 6 we have that

$$\overline{\mathcal{PD}}_5 = \mathcal{PD}_5 \cup \overline{\mathcal{PD}}_6 \cup \overline{\mathcal{PE}}_6 \qquad \text{and} \qquad \overline{\mathcal{PE}}_6 \subset \overline{\mathcal{PD}}_5^{\vee}. \tag{6.76}$$

Hence the lhs of (6.75) is a subset of its rhs. To show the converse, we will first simultaneously prove the following three statements:

$$\overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \circ \mathcal{PA}_3} \supset \Delta\mathcal{PD}_5^{\vee}, \tag{6.77}$$

$$\overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \circ \mathcal{PD}_4} \cap \Delta\mathcal{PD}_5^{\vee} = \varnothing, \tag{6.78}$$

$$\overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \circ \mathcal{PA}_4} \cap \Delta\mathcal{PD}_5^{\vee} = \varnothing. \tag{6.79}$$

And then we will prove the following two statements simultaneously:

$$\overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \circ \mathcal{PA}_3} \supset \Delta\mathcal{PD}_6, \tag{6.80}$$

$$\overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \circ \mathcal{PA}_4} \cap \Delta\mathcal{PD}_6 = \varnothing. \tag{6.81}$$

Note that (6.77) and (6.80) imply rhs of (6.75) is a subset of its lhs, since $\overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \circ \mathcal{PA}_3}$ is a closed set.

Claim 6.16. *Let $(\tilde{f},\tilde{p},l_{\tilde{p}}) \in \Delta\mathcal{PD}_5^{\vee}$. Then there exists a solution*

$$(\tilde{f}(t_1,t_2),\tilde{p}(t_1,t_2),l_{\tilde{p}(t_1)}) \in \overline{(\mathcal{D}\times\mathbb{P}^2)\circ\mathcal{PA}_3}$$

near $(\tilde{f},\tilde{p},l_{\tilde{p}})$ to the set of equations

$$\pi_1^*\psi_{\mathcal{A}_0}(\tilde{f}(t_1,t_2),\tilde{p}(t_1,t_2),l_{\tilde{p}(t_1)})=0,\ \ \pi_1^*\psi_{\mathcal{A}_1}(\tilde{f}(t_1,t_2),\tilde{p}(t_1,t_2),l_{\tilde{p}(t_1)})=0,\quad \tilde{p}(t_1,t_2)\neq\tilde{p}(t_1). \tag{6.82}$$

Moreover, such solution lies in $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1\circ\mathcal{PA}_3$, i.e.,

$$\pi_2^*\Psi_{\mathcal{PD}_4}(\tilde{f}(t_1,t_2),\tilde{p}(t_1,t_2),l_{\tilde{p}(t_1)})\neq 0, \tag{6.83}$$

$$\pi_2^*\Psi_{\mathcal{PA}_4}(\tilde{f}(t_1,t_2),\tilde{p}(t_1,t_2),l_{\tilde{p}(t_1)})\neq 0. \tag{6.84}$$

In particular, $(\tilde{f}(t_1,t_2),\tilde{p}(t_1,t_2),l_{\tilde{p}(t_1)})$ does not lie in $\overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1\circ\mathcal{PD}_4}$ or $\overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1\circ\mathcal{PA}_4}$.

Note that claim 6.16 implies (6.77), (6.78) and (6.79) simultaneously.

Proof: Choose homogeneous coordinates [X : Y : Z] so that $\tilde{p} = [0:0:1]$ and let $\mathcal{U}_{\tilde{p}}$, π_x, π_y, v_1, w, v, η, η_{t_1}, η_{t_2}, x_{t_1}, y_{t_1}, x_{t_2}, y_{t_2}, $f_{ij}(t_1,t_2)$, F, $\mathrm{F}_{x_{t_2}}$ and $\mathrm{F}_{y_{t_2}}$ be exactly the same as defined in the proof of claim 6.12, except for one difference: we take $(\tilde{f}(t_1,t_2), l_{\tilde{p}(t_1)})$ to be a point in $\overline{\mathcal{PA}}_3$. Hence

$$f_{00}(t_1,t_2) = f_{10}(t_1,t_2) = f_{01}(t_1,t_2) = f_{11}(t_1,t_2) = f_{20}(t_1,t_2) = f_{30}(t_1,t_2) = 0.$$

The functional equation (6.82) has a solution if and only if the following set of equations has a solution (as numbers):

$$\mathrm{F} = 0, \qquad \mathrm{F}_{x_{t_2}} = 0, \qquad \mathrm{F}_{y_{t_2}} = 0, \qquad (x_{t_2}, y_{t_2}) \neq (0,0) \qquad \text{(but small)}. \tag{6.85}$$

For the convenience of the reader, let us rewrite the expression for F:

$$\mathrm{F} := \tfrac{f_{02}(t_1,t_2)}{2} y_{t_2}^2 + \tfrac{f_{21}(t_1,t_2)}{2} x_{t_2}^2 y_{t_2} + \tfrac{f_{12}(t_1,t_2)}{2} x_{t_2} y_{t_2}^2 + \tfrac{f_{03}(t_1,t_2)}{6} y_{t_2}^3 + \ldots.$$

Since $(\tilde{f}, \tilde{p}, l_{\tilde{p}}) \in \Delta\mathcal{PD}_5^\vee$, we claim that

$$f_{21} \neq 0, \qquad \beta_1 := \frac{(f_{12}\partial_x - 2f_{21}\partial_y)^3 f}{2f_{21}^2} = 3f_{12}^2 - 4f_{21}f_{03} = 0 \qquad \text{and} \tag{6.86}$$

$$\beta_2 := (f_{12}\partial_x - 2f_{21}\partial_y)^4 f = f_{12}^4 f_{40} - 8f_{12}^3 f_{21} f_{31} + 24 f_{12}^2 f_{21}^2 f_{22} - 32 f_{12} f_{21}^3 f_{13} + 16 f_{21}^4 f_{04} \neq 0. \tag{6.87}$$

Let us justify this. Since $(\tilde{f}, \tilde{p}) \in \mathcal{D}_5$ there exists a non zero vector $u = m_1 v + m_2 w$ such that

$$\nabla^3 f|_{\tilde{p}}(u,u,v) = m_1^2 f_{30} + 2m_1 m_2 f_{21} + m_2^2 f_{12} = 0, \tag{6.88}$$

$$\nabla^3 f|_{\tilde{p}}(u,u,w) = m_1^2 f_{21} + 2m_1 m_2 f_{12} + m_2^2 f_{03} = 0, \tag{6.89}$$

$$\nabla^4 f|_{\tilde{p}}(u,u,u,u) \neq 0. \tag{6.90}$$

Since $(\tilde{f}, l_{\tilde{p}}) \in \mathcal{PD}_5^\vee$, we conclude *by definition* that

$$f_{30} = 0, \qquad f_{21} \neq 0, \qquad m_2 \neq 0. \tag{6.91}$$

(If $m_2 = 0$ then f_{21} would be zero). Equations (6.91) and (6.88) now imply that

$$m_1/m_2 = -f_{12}/(2f_{21}). \tag{6.92}$$

Equation (6.92) and (6.89) implies (6.86). Finally, (6.90) implies (6.87).

We claim that solutions to (6.85) are given by

$$x_{t_2} = -\frac{f_{12}(t_1,t_2)}{2f_{21}(t_1,t_2)} y_{t_2} + \mathrm{O}(y_{t_2}^2), \qquad \beta_1(t_1,t_2) = -\frac{\beta_2(t_1,t_2)}{8f_{21}(t_1,t_2)^3} y_{t_2} + \mathrm{O}(y_{t_2}^2) \qquad \text{and}$$

$$f_{02}(t_1,t_2) = -\frac{\beta_2(t_1,t_2)}{192 f_{21}(t_1,t_2)^4} y_{t_2}^2 + \mathrm{O}(y_{t_2}^3). \tag{6.93}$$

Let us explain how we obtained these solutions. Assuming $y_{t_2} \neq 0$ (to be justified at the end) define $\mathrm{L} := \frac{x_{t_2}}{y_{t_2}}$. Using $x_{t_2} = \mathrm{L} y_{t_2}$ with $y_{t_2} \neq 0$ in the equation $\mathrm{F}_{x_{t_2}} = 0$ we can solve for L via the Implicit Function Theorem. That gives us

$$\mathrm{L} = \tfrac{-f_{12}(t_1,t_2)}{2f_{21}(t_1,t_2)} + \Big(-\tfrac{f_{13}(t_1,t_2)}{6f_{21}(t_1,t_2)} + \tfrac{f_{12}(t_1,t_2) f_{22}(t_1,t_2)}{4f_{21}(t_1,t_2)^2} - \tfrac{f_{12}(t_1,t_2)^2 f_{31}(t_1,t_2)}{8f_{21}(t_1,t_2)^3} + \tfrac{f_{12}(t_1,t_2)^3 f_{40}(t_1,t_2)}{48 f_{21}(t_1,t_2)^4} \Big) y_{t_2} + \mathrm{O}(y_{t_2}^2). \tag{6.94}$$

Next, using the equation $2\mathrm{F} - y_{t_2}\mathrm{F}_{y_{t_2}} = 0$ and the fact that $x_{t_2} = \mathrm{L}y_{t_2}$ and (6.94), we obtain the expression for $\beta_1(t_1, t_2)$ in (6.93). Next, observe that

$$f_{30}(t_1, t_2) = \frac{3f_{12}(t_1, t_2)^2 - \beta_1(t_1, t_2)}{4f_{21}(t_1, t_2)}. \tag{6.95}$$

Finally, using the equation $\mathrm{F}_{y_{t_2}} = 0$, the fact that $x_{t_2} = \mathrm{L}y_{t_2}$, (6.94), the expression for $\beta_1(t_1, t_2)$ in (6.93) and (6.95) we obtain the expression for $f_{02}(t_1, t_2)$ in (6.93). It is now easy to see that (6.83) holds. It remains to show that $y_{t_2} \neq 0$. To see why that is so, suppose $y_{t_2} = 0$. Then using the fact that $\mathrm{F}_{y_{t_2}} = 0$, we conclude that $f_{21}(t_1, t_2) = \mathrm{O}(x_{t_2})$. Hence $f_{21}(t_1, t_2)$ goes to zero as x_{t_2} goes to zero, contradicting (6.86).

Finally, (6.84) is true because the section $\pi_2^*\Psi_{\mathcal{PA}_4}$ does not vanish on $\Delta\mathcal{PD}_5^\vee$. □

Corollary 6.17. *Let $\mathbb{W} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D} \times \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}T\mathbb{P}^2$ be a vector bundle such that the rank of $\mathbb{W}$ is same as the dimension of $\Delta\mathcal{PD}_5^\vee$ and $\mathcal{Q} : \mathcal{D} \times \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}T\mathbb{P}^2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{W}$ a generic smooth section. Suppose $(\tilde{f}, \tilde{p}, l_{\tilde{p}}) \in \Delta\mathcal{PD}_5^\vee \cap \mathcal{Q}^{-1}(0)$. Then the section*

$$\pi_2^*\Psi_{\mathcal{PD}_4} \oplus \mathcal{Q} : \overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \circ \mathcal{PA}_3} \longrightarrow \pi_2^*(\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{PD}_4}) \oplus \mathbb{W}$$

vanishes around $(\tilde{f}, \tilde{p}, l_{\tilde{p}})$ with a multiplicity of 2.

Proof: This follows by observing that at $\Delta\mathcal{PD}_5^\vee$, the sections induced by f_{02} and β_1 (the corresponding functionals) are transverse to the zero set over $\overline{\mathcal{PA}}_3$ [13], $\mathcal{Q}$ is generic and (6.93). □

Claim 6.18. *Let $(\tilde{f}, \tilde{p}, l_{\tilde{p}}) \in \Delta\mathcal{PD}_6$. Then there exist solutions*

$$(\tilde{f}(t_1, t_2), \tilde{p}(t_1, t_2), l_{\tilde{p}(t_1)}) \in \overline{(\mathcal{D} \times \mathbb{P}^2) \circ \mathcal{PA}_3}$$

near $(\tilde{f}, \tilde{p}, l_{\tilde{p}})$ to the set of equations

$$\begin{aligned} &\pi_1^*\psi_{\mathcal{A}_0}(\tilde{f}(t_1, t_2), \tilde{p}(t_1, t_2), l_{\tilde{p}(t_1)}) = 0,\ \ \pi_1^*\psi_{\mathcal{A}_1}(\tilde{f}(t_1, t_2), \tilde{p}(t_1, t_2), l_{\tilde{p}(t_1)}) = 0, \\ &\pi_2^*\Psi_{\mathcal{PD}_4}(\tilde{f}(t_1, t_2), \tilde{p}(t_1, t_2), l_{\tilde{p}(t_1)}) \neq 0,\ \ \tilde{p}(t_1, t_2) \neq \tilde{p}(t_1). \end{aligned} \tag{6.96}$$

Moreover, any such solution sufficiently close to $(\tilde{f}, \tilde{p}, l_{\tilde{p}})$ lies in $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \circ \mathcal{PA}_3$, i.e.,

$$\pi_2^*\Psi_{\mathcal{PA}_4}(\tilde{f}(t_1, t_2), \tilde{p}(t_1, t_2), l_{\tilde{p}(t_1)}) \neq 0. \tag{6.97}$$

In particular, $(\tilde{f}(t_1, t_2), \tilde{p}(t_1, t_2), l_{\tilde{p}(t_1)})$ does not lie in $\overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \circ \mathcal{PA}_4}$.

It is easy to see that claim 6.18 implies (6.80) and (6.81) simultaneously.

Proof: Choose homogeneous coordinates [X : Y : Z] so that $\tilde{p} = [0 : 0 : 1]$ and let $\mathcal{U}_{\tilde{p}}$, π_x, π_y, v_1, w, v, η, η_{t_1}, η_{t_2}, x_{t_1}, y_{t_1}, x_{t_2}, y_{t_2}, $f_{ij}(t_1, t_2)$, F, $\mathrm{F}_{x_{t_2}}$ and $\mathrm{F}_{y_{t_2}}$ be exactly the same as defined in the proof of claim 6.16. Since $(\tilde{f}(t_1, t_2), l_{\tilde{p}(t_1)}) \in \Delta\overline{\mathcal{PA}}_3$ we conclude that

$$f_{00}(t_1, t_2) = f_{10}(t_1, t_2) = f_{01}(t_1, t_2) = f_{11}(t_1, t_2) = f_{20}(t_1, t_2) = f_{30}(t_1, t_2) = 0.$$

Furthermore, since $(\tilde{f}, \tilde{p}, l_{\tilde{p}}) \in \Delta\mathcal{PD}_6$, we conclude that

$$f_{21},\ f_{40} = 0 \ \text{ and } \ f_{12},\ \mathcal{D}_7^f \neq 0. \tag{6.98}$$

[13] To see why; just take the partial derivative with respect to f_{02} and f_{03}. Since $f_{21} \neq 0$, transversality follows.

The functional equation (6.96)has a solution if and only if the following has a numerical solution:

$$\mathrm{F}=0, \qquad \mathrm{F}_{x_{t_2}}=0, \qquad \mathrm{F}_{y_{t_2}}=0, \qquad (x_{t_2},y_{t_2})\neq(0,0) \qquad \text{(but small)}. \tag{6.99}$$

For the convenience of the reader, let us rewrite the expression for F:

$$\mathrm{F}:=\tfrac{f_{02}(t_1,t_2)}{2}y_{t_2}^2+\tfrac{f_{21}(t_1,t_2)}{2}x_{t_2}^2y_{t_2}+\tfrac{f_{12}(t_1,t_2)}{2}x_{t_2}y_{t_2}^2+\tfrac{f_{03}(t_1,t_2)}{6}y_{t_2}^3+\dots.$$

We will now construct solutions to (6.99). Let us define $\mathrm{G}:=\mathrm{F}-\frac{y_{t_2}\mathrm{F}_{y_{t_2}}}{2}-\frac{x_{t_2}\mathrm{F}_{x_{t_2}}}{4}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{G}:=&-\frac{f_{03}(t_1,t_2)}{12}y_{t_2}^3+y_{t_2}^3\mathrm{E}_1(y_{t_2})\\&-x_{t_2}\big(\tfrac{f_{12}(t_1,t_2)}{8}y_{t_2}^2+\tfrac{f_{31}(t_1,t_2)}{8}x_{t_2}^2y_{t_2}+\tfrac{f_{50}(t_1,t_2)}{96}x_{t_2}^4+y_{t_2}^2\mathrm{E}_2(x_{t_2},y_{t_2})+x_{t_2}^2y_{t_2}\mathrm{E}_3(x_{t_2})+x_{t_2}^4\mathrm{E}_4(x_{t_2})\big),\end{aligned}$$

where E_i is a holomorphic function vanishing at the origin.

We now make a change of variables by using a holomorphic function $\mathrm{C}(y_{t_2})$ such that by making the substitution $x_{t_2}=\hat{x}_{t_2}+\mathrm{C}(y_{t_2})$, the coefficients of $y_{t_2}^n$ are killed for all n in G. We may now make a change of coordinates $y_{t_2}=\hat{y}_{t_2}+\mathrm{B}(\hat{x}_{t_2})$ so that the coefficient of $\hat{x}_{t_2}\hat{y}_{t_2}^n$ is killed for all n in G. The existence of these functions follow from an identical argument as in in [1]. After these changes, G is given by

$$\mathrm{G}=-\tfrac{f_{12}(t_1,t_2)}{8}\hat{x}_{t_2}\Big(\hat{y}_{t_2}^2+\tfrac{\mathcal{D}_7^{f(t_1,t_2)}}{60f_{12}(t_1,t_2)}\hat{x}_{t_2}^4+\mathrm{O}(\hat{x}_{t_2}^5)\Big).$$

Hence we are solving, in terms of the new variables $\hat{x}_{t_2}$ and $\hat{y}_{t_2}$, for

$$\mathrm{G}=0, \quad \mathrm{F}_{x_{t_2}}=0, \quad \mathrm{F}_{y_{t_2}}=0. \tag{6.100}$$

Note that $\mathrm{F}_{x_{t_2}}$ and $\mathrm{F}_{y_{t_2}}$ are partials with respect to x_{t_2} and y_{t_2} expressed in the new coordinates, they are not the partials with respect to $\hat{x}_{t_2}$ and $\hat{y}_{t_2}$. Let us write $\mathrm{C}(y_{t_2})$ and $\mathrm{B}(\hat{x}_{t_2})$ to second order:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{C}(y_{t_2})=&-\tfrac{2f_{03}(t_1,t_2)}{3f_{12}(t_1,t_2)}y_{t_2}\\&+\big(-\tfrac{f_{04}(t_1,t_2)}{3f_{12}(t_1,t_2)}+\tfrac{2f_{03}(t_1,t_2)f_{13}(t_1,t_2)}{3f_{12}(t_1,t_2)^2}-\tfrac{4f_{03}(t_1,t_2)^2f_{22}(t_1,t_2)}{9f_{12}(t_1,t_2)^3}+\tfrac{8f_{03}(t_1,t_2)^3f_{31}(t_1,t_2)}{81f_{12}(t_1,t_2)^4}\big)y_{t_2}^2\\&+\mathrm{O}(y_{t_2}^3),\\\mathrm{B}(\hat{x}_{t_2})=&-\tfrac{f_{31}(t_1,t_2)}{6f_{12}(t_1,t_2)}\hat{x}_{t_2}^2+b_3\hat{x}_{t_2}^3+\mathrm{O}(\hat{x}_{t_2}^4).\end{aligned}$$

The exact value of b_3 is not important, but it will play a role in the subsequent calculation. The

solutions to (6.100) are given by

$$\hat{y}_{t_2} = \alpha \hat{x}_{t_2}^2 + \mathrm{O}(\hat{x}_{t_2}^3) \tag{6.101}$$

$$\begin{aligned} f_{21}(t_1,t_2) = &\Big(-2\alpha f_{02}(t_1,t_2) + \tfrac{f_{02}(t_1,t_2)f_{31}(t_1,t_2)}{3f_{12}(t_1,t_2)}\Big) + \Big[-2b_3 f_{02}(t_1,t_2) - \tfrac{8\alpha^2 f_{02}(t_1,t_2)f_{03}(t_1,t_2)}{3f_{12}(t_1,t_2)} \\ &-2\alpha f_{12}(t_1,t_2) + \tfrac{8\alpha f_{02}(t_1,t_2)f_{03}(t_1,t_2)f_{31}(t_1,t_2)}{9f_{12}(t_1,t_2)^2} - \tfrac{2f_{02}(t_1,t_2)f_{03}(t_1,t_2)f_{31}(t_1,t_2)^2}{27f_{12}(t_1,t_2)^3}\Big]\hat{x}_{t_2} \\ &+ \mathrm{O}(\hat{x}_{t_2}^2) \end{aligned} \tag{6.102}$$

$$\begin{aligned} f_{40}(t_1,t_2) = &\Big(12\alpha^2 f_{02}(t_1,t_2) - \frac{4\alpha f_{02}(t_1,t_2)f_{31}(t_1,t_2)}{f_{12}(t_1,t_2)} + \frac{f_{02}(t_1,t_2)f_{31}(t_1,t_2)^2}{3f_{12}(t_1,t_2)^2}\Big) \\ &+ \big(24\alpha b_3 f_{02}(t_1,t_2) + \tfrac{32\alpha^3 f_{02}(t_1,t_2)f_{03}(t_1,t_2)}{f_{12}(t_1,t_2)} + 24\alpha^2 f_{12}(t_1,t_2) - 4\alpha f_{31}(t_1,t_2) \\ &- \tfrac{16\alpha^2 f_{02}(t_1,t_2)f_{03}(t_1,t_2)f_{31}(t_1,t_2)}{f_{12}(t_1,t_2)^2} - \tfrac{4b_3 f_{02}(t_1,t_2)f_{31}(t_1,t_2)}{f_{12}(t_1,t_2)} + \tfrac{8\alpha f_{02}(t_1,t_2)f_{03}(t_1,t_2)f_{31}(t_1,t_2)^2}{3f_{12}(t_1,t_2)^3} \\ &- \tfrac{4f_{02}(t_1,t_2)f_{03}(t_1,t_2)f_{31}(t_1,t_2)^3}{27f_{12}(t_1,t_2)^4}\big)\hat{x}_{t_2} + \mathrm{O}(\hat{x}_{t_2}^2), \end{aligned} \tag{6.103}$$

where $\alpha := \sqrt{-\dfrac{\mathcal{D}_7^{f(t_1,t_2)}}{60 f_{12}(t_1,t_2)}}$ is a branch of the square root.

Note that each value of α corresponds to a different solution. Let us now explain how we obtained these solutions. First of all we claim that $\hat{x}_{t_2} \neq 0$; we will justify that at the end. Assuming that, we obtain (6.101) from the fact $\mathrm{G} = 0$. Next, we obtain (6.102) from (6.101) and using the fact that $\mathrm{F}_{y_{t_2}} = 0$. Finally, we obtain (6.103) from (6.101), (6.102) and using the fact that $\mathrm{F}_{x_{t_2}} = 0$. Observe that equations (6.101), (6.102) and (6.103) imply that

$$f_{02}(t_1,t_2)\mathcal{A}_4^{f(t_1,t_2)} = \tfrac{1}{5}\mathcal{D}_7^{f(t_1,t_2)} f_{12}(t_1,t_2)\hat{x}_{t_2}^2 + x_{t_2}^2 \mathrm{E}(x_{t_2}, f_{02}(t_1,t_2)) \tag{6.104}$$

where $\mathrm{E}(0,0) = 0$. Hence, if $f_{02}(t_1,t_2)$ and $\hat{x}_{t_2}$ are small and non zero, $f_{02}(t_1,t_2)\mathcal{A}_4^{f(t_1,t_2)}$ is non zero. Hence (6.97) holds.

It remains to show that $\hat{x}_{t_2} \neq 0$. If $\hat{x}_{t_2} = 0$, then using the fact that $\mathrm{F}_{x_{t_2}} = 0$ we get

$$f_{12}(t_1,t_2) = \frac{4f_{03}(t_1,t_2)f_{21}(t_1,t_2)}{3f_{12}(t_1,t_2)} + \mathrm{O}(\hat{y}_{t_2}).$$

Hence $f_{12}(t_1,t_2)$ goes to zero as $f_{21}(t_1,t_2)$ and $\hat{y}_{t_2}$ go to zero, which contradicts (6.98). □

This proves Lemma 6.3 (4). Before proceeding further, note that (6.58) and (6.81) imply that

$$\Delta\mathcal{P}\mathcal{D}_7^s \subset \Delta\overline{\mathcal{P}\mathcal{D}}_7. \tag{6.105}$$

Proof of Lemma 6.3 (5): By Lemma 6.26 and (6.51) for $k = 4$, it suffices to show that

$$\{(\tilde{f},\tilde{p},l_{\tilde{p}}) \in \overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \circ \mathcal{P}\mathcal{A}_4} : \ \pi_2^*\Psi_{\mathcal{P}\mathcal{D}_4}(\tilde{f},\tilde{p},l_{\tilde{p}}) = 0\} = \Delta\overline{\mathcal{P}\mathcal{D}_7^s} \cup \Delta\overline{\mathcal{P}\mathcal{E}}_6 \tag{6.106}$$

By the definition of $\Delta\mathcal{P}\mathcal{D}_7^s$, to prove (6.106) it suffices to show that

$$\{(\tilde{f},\tilde{p},l_{\tilde{p}}) \in \overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \circ \mathcal{P}\mathcal{A}_4} : \pi_2^*\Psi_{\mathcal{P}\mathcal{D}_4}(\tilde{f},\tilde{p},l_{\tilde{p}}) = 0, \ \pi_2^*\Psi_{\mathcal{P}\mathcal{E}_6}(\tilde{f},\tilde{p},l_{\tilde{p}}) = 0\} = \Delta\overline{\mathcal{P}\mathcal{E}}_6. \tag{6.107}$$

It is clear that the lhs of (6.107) is a subset of its rhs. To prove the converse, let us prove the following three facts simultaneously:

$$\overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}_1 \circ \mathcal{PA}_4} \supset \Delta\mathcal{PE}_6}, \tag{6.108}$$

$$\overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}_1 \circ \mathcal{PA}_5} \cap \Delta\mathcal{PE}_6 = \varnothing}, \tag{6.109}$$

$$\overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}_1 \circ \mathcal{PD}_4} \cap \Delta\mathcal{PE}_6 = \varnothing}. \tag{6.110}$$

Note that since $\overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}_1 \circ \mathcal{PA}_4}}$ is a closed set, (6.108) implies that the rhs of (6.107) is a subset of its lhs. We will need (6.110) later, since it follows from the present setup, we prove it here.

Claim 6.19. *Let $(\tilde{f}, \tilde{p}, l_{\tilde{p}}) \in \Delta\mathcal{PE}_6$. Then there exist solutions*

$$(\tilde{f}(t_1,t_2), \tilde{p}(t_1,t_2), l_{\tilde{p}(t_1)}) \in \overline{(\mathcal{D} \times \mathbb{P}^2) \circ \mathcal{PA}_3}$$

near $(\tilde{f}, \tilde{p}, l_{\tilde{p}})$ to the set of equations

$$\begin{aligned} &\pi_1^* \psi_{\mathcal{A}_0}(\tilde{f}(t_1,t_2), \tilde{p}(t_1,t_2), l_{\tilde{p}(t_1)}) = 0,\ \ \pi_1^* \psi_{\mathcal{A}_1}(\tilde{f}(t_1,t_2), \tilde{p}(t_1,t_2), l_{\tilde{p}(t_1)}) = 0, \\ &\pi_2^* \Psi_{\mathcal{PA}_4}(\tilde{f}(t_1,t_2), \tilde{p}(t_1,t_2), l_{\tilde{p}(t_1)}) = 0,\ \ \tilde{p}(t_1,t_2) \neq \tilde{p}(t_1). \end{aligned} \tag{6.111}$$

Moreover, any such solution sufficiently close to $(\tilde{f}, \tilde{p}, l_{\tilde{p}})$ lies in $\overline{\mathcal{A}_1 \circ \mathcal{PA}_4}$, i.e.,

$$\pi_2^* \Psi_{\mathcal{PA}_5}(\tilde{f}(t_1,t_2), \tilde{p}(t_1,t_2), l_{\tilde{p}(t_1)}) \neq 0, \tag{6.112}$$

$$\pi_2^* \Psi_{\mathcal{PD}_4}(\tilde{f}(t_1,t_2), \tilde{p}(t_1,t_2), l_{\tilde{p}(t_1)}) \neq 0. \tag{6.113}$$

In particular, $(\tilde{f}(t_1,t_2), \tilde{p}(t_1,t_2), l_{\tilde{p}(t_1)})$ does not lie in $\overline{\mathcal{A}_1 \circ \mathcal{PA}_5}$ or $\overline{\mathcal{A}_1 \circ \mathcal{PD}_4}$.

It is easy to see that claim 6.19 implies (6.108), (6.109) and (6.110) simultaneously.

Proof: Choose homogeneous coordinates [X : Y : Z] so that $\tilde{p} = [0:0:1]$ and let $\mathcal{U}_{\tilde{p}}$, π_x, π_y, v_1, w, v, η, η_{t_1}, η_{t_2}, x_{t_1}, y_{t_1}, x_{t_2}, y_{t_2}, $f_{ij}(t_1,t_2)$, F, $\mathrm{F}_{x_{t_2}}$ and $\mathrm{F}_{y_{t_2}}$ be exactly the same as defined in the proof of claim 6.16. Since $(\tilde{f}(t_1,t_2), l_{\tilde{p}(t_1)}) \in \overline{\mathcal{PA}_3}$, we conclude that

$$f_{00}(t_1,t_2) = f_{10}(t_1,t_2) = f_{01}(t_1,t_2) = f_{11}(t_1,t_2) = f_{20}(t_1,t_2) = f_{30}(t_1,t_2) = 0.$$

Moreover, since $(\tilde{f}, \tilde{p}, l_{\tilde{p}}) \in \Delta\mathcal{PE}_6$, we conclude that

$$f_{21}, f_{12} = 0, \quad f_{03}, f_{40} \neq 0. \tag{6.114}$$

The functional equation (6.111) has a solution if and only if the following set of equations has a solution (as numbers):

$$\mathrm{F} = 0, \quad \mathrm{F}_{x_{t_2}} = 0, \quad \mathrm{F}_{y_{t_2}} = 0, \quad f_{02}(t_1,t_2)\mathcal{A}_4^{f(t_1,t_2)} = 0, \quad (x_{t_2}, y_{t_2}) \neq (0,0) \ \ \text{(small)}. \tag{6.115}$$

Since $f_{02}(t_1,t_2)\mathcal{A}_4^{f(t_1,t_2)} = 0$ we conclude that $f_{02}(t_1,t_2) = \frac{3f_{21}(t_1,t_2)^2}{f_{40}(t_1,t_2)}$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{F} = {} & \frac{3f_{21}(t_1,t_2)^2}{2f_{40}(t_1,t_2)} y_{t_2}^2 + \frac{f_{21}(t_1,t_2)}{2} x_{t_2}^2 y_{t_2} + \frac{f_{12}(t_1,t_2)}{2} x_{t_2} y_{t_2}^2 + \frac{f_{03}(t_1,t_2)}{6} y_{t_2}^3 + \frac{f_{40}(t_1,t_2)}{24} x_{t_2}^4 \\ & + \frac{\mathcal{R}_{50}(x_{t_2})}{120} x_{t_2}^5 + \frac{\mathcal{R}_{31}(x_{t_2})}{6} x_{t_2}^3 y_{t_2} + \frac{\mathcal{R}_{22}(x_{t_2})}{4} x_{t_2}^2 y_{t_2}^2 + \frac{\mathcal{R}_{13}(x_{t_2}, y_{t_2})}{4} x_{t_2} y_{t_2}^2 + \frac{\mathcal{R}_{04}(y_{t_2})}{24} y_{t_2}^4. \end{aligned}$$

We will now eliminate $f_{12}(t_1,t_2)$ and $f_{21}(t_1,t_2)$ from (6.115). First we make a simple observation: let

$$\mathrm{A}(\theta) := \mathrm{A}_0 + \mathrm{A}_1\theta + \mathrm{A}_2\theta^2, \quad \mathrm{B}(\theta) := \mathrm{B}_0 + \mathrm{B}_1\theta, \quad p_1 := -\mathrm{A}_2\mathrm{B}_1^2, \quad p_2 := -\mathrm{A}_2^2\mathrm{B}_0 + \mathrm{A}_1\mathrm{A}_2\mathrm{B}_1 + \mathrm{A}_2^2\mathrm{B}_1\theta.$$

Then $p_1\mathrm{A}(\theta) + p_2\mathrm{B}(\theta)$ is independent of θ. With this observation we will now proceed to define

$$\mathrm{G}_1 := \mathrm{F} - x_{t_2}\mathrm{F}_{x_{t_2}}, \quad \mathrm{G}_2 := \mathrm{F} - \frac{y_{t_2}\mathrm{F}_{y_{t_2}}}{2}, \quad \mathrm{G} := \mathrm{P}_1(t_1,t_2)\mathrm{G}_1 + \mathrm{P}_2(t_1,t_2)\mathrm{G}_2$$

where

$$\mathrm{P}_1 := -\frac{3x^4y^4}{32f_{40}}, \qquad \mathrm{P}_2 := \frac{3y^7f_{03}}{16f_{40}^2} + \frac{9x^2y^5f_{21}}{16f_{40}^2} - \frac{9x^4y^4}{32f_{40}} + \frac{3y^8\mathcal{R}_{04}(y)}{32f_{40}^2} + \frac{3xy^7\mathcal{R}_{13}(x,y)}{16f_{40}^2} - \frac{3x^3y^5\mathcal{R}_{31}(x)}{16f_{40}^2} - \frac{3x^5y^4\mathcal{R}_{50}(x)}{160f_{40}^2} + \frac{3y^9\mathcal{R}_{04}^{(1)}(y)}{64f_{40}^2} + \frac{3xy^8\mathcal{R}_{13}^{(0,1)}(x,y)}{16f_{40}^2}.$$

The quantity $\mathrm{P}_k(t_1,t_2)$ is similarly defined, with f_{ij}, x and y replaced by $f_{ij}(t_1,t_2)$, x_{t_2} and y_{t_2}.

Note that G_1 and G_2 are independent of $f_{12}(t_1,t_2)$. Secondly, they are quadratic and linear in $f_{21}(t_1,t_2)$ respectively. Hence, using our previous observation, G is independent of $f_{12}(t_1,t_2)$ and $f_{21}(t_1,t_2)$. [14]

We claim that $x_{t_2} \neq 0$ and $y_{t_2} \neq 0$; we will justify that at the end. Assuming this claim we conclude that solving (6.115) is equivalent to solving:

$$\mathrm{G} = 0, \quad \mathrm{G}_2 = 0, \quad \mathrm{F} = 0, \quad (x_{t_2}, y_{t_2}) \neq (0,0) \ \text{ (small)}. \tag{6.116}$$

Define $\mathrm{L} := x_{t_2}^4/y_{t_2}^3$. We will first solve for L in terms of x_{t_2} and y_{t_2} and then we will parametrize (x_{t_2}, y_{t_2}). Notice that we can rewrite G in terms of x_{t_2}, y_{t_2} and L in such a way that the highest power of x_{t_2} is 3; whenever there is an $x_{t_2}^4$ we replace it with $\mathrm{L}y_{t_2}^3$. Hence

$$\mathrm{G} = y_{t_2}^{10}\Big(- \frac{f_{03}(t_1,t_2)^2}{64f_{40}(t_1,t_2)^2} + \frac{f_{03}(t_1,t_2)}{64f_{40}(t_1,t_2)}\mathrm{L} + \mathrm{E}(x_{t_2}, y_{t_2}, \mathrm{L})\Big)$$

where $\mathrm{E}(0,0,\mathrm{L}) = 0$. Hence $\mathrm{G} = 0$ and $y_{t_2} \neq 0$ implies that

$$\Phi(x_{t_2}, y_{t_2}, \mathrm{L}) := -\frac{f_{03}(t_1,t_2)^2}{64f_{40}(t_1,t_2)^2} + \frac{f_{03}(t_1,t_2)}{64f_{40}(t_1,t_2)}\mathrm{L} + \mathrm{E}(x_{t_2}, y_{t_2}, \mathrm{L}) = 0.$$

Hence, by the Implicit Function Theorem we conclude that

$$\mathrm{L}(x_{t_2}, y_{t_2}) = \frac{f_{03}(t_1,t_2)}{f_{40}(t_1,t_2)} + \mathrm{E}_2(x_{t_2}, y_{t_2})$$

where $\mathrm{E}_2(0,0)$ is zero. Hence (x_{t_2}, y_{t_2}) is parametrized by

$$y_{t_2} = u^4, \qquad x_{t_2} = \alpha u^3 + \mathrm{O}(u^4)$$

[14] Replace $\theta \longrightarrow f_{21}(t_1,t_2)$, $\mathrm{A}(\theta) \longrightarrow \mathrm{G}_1$, $\mathrm{B}(\theta) \longrightarrow \mathrm{G}_2$, $p_1 \longrightarrow \mathrm{P}_1(t_1,t_2)$ and $p_2 \longrightarrow \mathrm{P}_2(t_1,t_2)$.

where $\alpha := \sqrt[4]{\frac{f_{03}(t_1,t_2)}{f_{40}(t_1,t_2)}}$, a branch of the fourth root. Note that just one branch of the fourth root gives all the solutions, choosing another branch does not give us any more solutions.[15] We have

$$f_{02}(t_1,t_2) = \frac{f_{03}(t_1,t_2)}{12}u^4 + \mathrm{O}(u^5) \tag{6.117}$$

$$f_{02}(t_1,t_2)^2\mathcal{A}_5^{f(t_1,t_2)} = -\frac{5f_{03}(t_1,t_2)^2 f_{40}(t_1,t_2)}{18\alpha}u^5 + \mathrm{O}(u^6) \tag{6.118}$$

To arrive at these, use $\mathrm{G}_2 = 0$ to get

$$f_{21}(t_1,t_2) = \frac{f_{03}(t_1,t_2)}{3\alpha^2}u^2 + \mathrm{O}(u^3).$$

We then use the fact that $f_{02}(t_1,t_2) = \frac{3f_{21}(t_1,t_2)^2}{f_{40}(t_1,t_2)}$ to get (6.117). Finally using $\mathrm{F} = 0$ we get that

$$f_{12}(t_1,t_2) = -\frac{2\alpha^3 f_{40}(t_1,t_2)}{3}u + \mathrm{O}(u^2)$$

Plugging all this in we get (6.118). Equations (6.117) and (6.118) imply that (6.112) and (6.113) hold respectively.

It remains to show that that $x_{t_2} \neq 0$ and $y_{t_2} \neq 0$. If $y_{t_2} = 0$ then using the fact that $\mathrm{F} = 0$ we get that

$$f_{40}(t_1,t_2) = -\frac{x_{t_2}\mathcal{R}_{50}(x_{t_2})}{5}.$$

As x_{t_2} goes to zero, $f_{40}(t_1,t_2)$ goes to zero, contradicting (6.114). Similarly, if $x_{t_2} = 0$, then using the fact that $\mathrm{F} - \frac{y_{t_2}\mathrm{F}_{y_{t_2}}}{2} = 0$ we get that

$$f_{03}(t_1,t_2) = -\frac{2y_{t_2}\mathcal{R}_{04}(y_{t_2}) + y_{t_2}^2\mathcal{R}_{04}^{(1)}(y_{t_2})}{4}$$

As y_{t_2} goes to zero, $f_{03}(t_1,t_2)$ goes to zero, contradicting (6.114).

Corollary 6.20. *Let $\mathbb{W} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D} \times \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}T\mathbb{P}^2$ be a vector bundle such that the rank of $\mathbb{W}$ is same as the dimension of $\Delta\mathcal{PE}_6$ and $\mathcal{Q} : \mathcal{D} \times \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}T\mathbb{P}^2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{W}$ a generic smooth section. Suppose $(\tilde{f}, \tilde{p}, l_{\tilde{p}}) \in \Delta\mathcal{PE}_6 \cap \mathcal{Q}^{-1}(0)$. Then the section*

$$\pi_2^*\Psi_{\mathcal{PA}_5} \oplus \mathcal{Q} : \overline{\mathcal{A}_1 \circ \mathcal{PA}_4} \longrightarrow \pi_2^*(\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{PA}_5}) \oplus \mathbb{W}$$

vanishes around $(\tilde{f}, \tilde{p}, l_{\tilde{p}})$ with a multiplicity of 5.

Proof: Follows from the fact that the sections induced by f_{02}, f_{21} and f_{12} (the corresponding functionals) are transverse to the zero set over $\Delta\overline{\mathcal{PA}}_3$ [16], the fact that $\mathcal{Q}$ is generic and (6.118). □

This completes the proof of Lemma 6.3 (5).

[15] Observe that a neighbourhood of the origin for the curve $x^4 - y^3 = 0$ is just one copy of $\mathbb{C}$ parametrized by $x = u^3$ and $y = u^4$.

[16] To see why, just take the partial derivatives with respect to f_{02}, f_{21} and f_{12}

Proof of Lemma 6.3 (6): We have to show that

$$\{(\tilde{f},\tilde{p},l_{\tilde{p}}) \in \overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \circ \mathcal{PA}_5}\} = \Delta\overline{\mathcal{PA}}_7 \cup \Delta\overline{\mathcal{PD}}_8^s \cup \Delta\overline{\mathcal{PE}}_7.$$

By Lemma 6.11 and (6.51), it is equivalent to showing that

$$\{(\tilde{f},\tilde{p},l_{\tilde{p}}) \in \overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \circ \mathcal{PA}_5} : \ \pi_2^*\Psi_{\mathcal{PD}_4}(\tilde{f},\tilde{p},l_{\tilde{p}}) = 0\} = \Delta\overline{\mathcal{PD}}_8^s \cup \Delta\overline{\mathcal{PE}}_7. \tag{6.119}$$

By the definition of $\Delta\mathcal{PD}_8^s$, to prove (6.119) it suffices to show that

$$\{(\tilde{f},\tilde{p},l_{\tilde{p}}) \in \overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \circ \mathcal{PA}_5} : \pi_2^*\Psi_{\mathcal{PD}_4}(\tilde{f},\tilde{p},l_{\tilde{p}}) = 0, \ \pi_2^*\Psi_{\mathcal{PE}_6}(\tilde{f},\tilde{p},l_{\tilde{p}}) = 0\} = \Delta\overline{\mathcal{PE}}_7. \tag{6.120}$$

Before we prove (6.120), we will first prove the following fact:

$$\Delta\mathcal{PD}_8^s \subset \Delta\overline{\mathcal{PD}}_8. \tag{6.121}$$

Although (6.121) is not required for the proof of Lemma 6.3 (6), it will be needed later. To prove (6.121), it suffices to show that

$$\overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \circ \mathcal{PA}_5} \cap \Delta\mathcal{PD}_7 = \varnothing. \tag{6.122}$$

To see why, note that by (6.81) combined with $\mathcal{PA}_5 \subset \overline{\mathcal{PA}}_4$ we have

$$\overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \circ \mathcal{PA}_5} \cap \Delta\mathcal{PD}_6 = \varnothing.$$

Since $\Delta\overline{\mathcal{PD}}_8^s \subseteq \overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \circ \mathcal{PA}_5}$, we conclude that (6.122) implies

$$\Delta\overline{\mathcal{PD}}_8^s \cap \left(\Delta\mathcal{PD}_6 \cup \Delta\mathcal{PD}_7\right) = \varnothing.$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 6.1 (11) we know that $\Delta\overline{\mathcal{PD}}_8^s \subseteq \Delta\overline{\mathcal{PD}}_6$. Lemma 6.2 (5) now proves (6.121) assuming (6.122).

Claim 6.21. *Let $(\tilde{f},\tilde{p},l_{\tilde{p}}) \in \Delta\mathcal{PD}_7$. Then there are no solutions*

$$(\tilde{f}(t_1,t_2),\tilde{p}(t_1,t_2),l_{\tilde{p}(t_1)}) \in \overline{(\mathcal{D}\times\mathbb{P}^2)\circ\mathcal{PA}_3}$$

near $(\tilde{f},\tilde{p},l_{\tilde{p}})$ to the set of equations

$$\begin{aligned}
&\pi_1^*\psi_{\mathcal{A}_0}(\tilde{f}(t_1,t_2),\tilde{p}(t_1,t_2),l_{\tilde{p}(t_1)}) = 0, \ \pi_1^*\psi_{\mathcal{A}_1}(\tilde{f}(t_1,t_2),\tilde{p}(t_1,t_2),l_{\tilde{p}(t_1)}) = 0,\\
&\pi_2^*\Psi_{\mathcal{PA}_4}(\tilde{f}(t_1,t_2),\tilde{p}(t_1,t_2),l_{\tilde{p}(t_1)}) = 0, \ \pi_2^*\Psi_{\mathcal{PA}_5}(\tilde{f}(t_1,t_2),\tilde{p}(t_1,t_2),l_{\tilde{p}(t_1)}) = 0,\\
&\pi_1^*\Psi_{\mathcal{PD}_4}(\tilde{f}(t_1,t_2),\tilde{p}(t_1,t_2),l_{\tilde{p}(t_1)}) \neq 0, \ \tilde{p}(t_1,t_2) \neq \tilde{p}(t_1).
\end{aligned} \tag{6.123}$$

In particular, if $(\tilde{f}(t_1,t_2),\tilde{p}(t_1,t_2),l_{\tilde{p}(t_1)})$ is sufficiently close to $(\tilde{f},\tilde{p},l_{\tilde{p}})$, it does not lie in $\overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \circ \mathcal{PA}_5}$.

It is easy to see that claim 6.21 implies (6.122).

Proof: Choose homogeneous coordinates [X : Y : Z] so that $\tilde{p} = [0:0:1]$ and let $\mathcal{U}_{\tilde{p}}$, π_x, π_y, v_1, w, v, η, η_{t_1}, η_{t_2}, x_{t_1}, y_{t_1}, x_{t_2}, y_{t_2}, $f_{ij}(t_1,t_2)$, F, $\mathrm{F}_{x_{t_2}}$ and $\mathrm{F}_{y_{t_2}}$ be exactly the same as defined in the proof of claim 6.16. Since $(\tilde{f}(t_1,t_2),l_{\tilde{p}(t_1)}) \in \Delta\overline{\mathcal{PA}}_3$ we conclude that

$$f_{00}(t_1,t_2) = f_{10}(t_1,t_2) = f_{01}(t_1,t_2) = f_{11}(t_1,t_2) = f_{20}(t_1,t_2) = f_{30}(t_1,t_2) = 0.$$

Furthermore, since $(\tilde{f}, \tilde{p}, l_{\tilde{p}}) \in \Delta\mathcal{PD}_7$, we conclude that

$$f_{21},\ f_{40},\ \mathcal{D}_7^f = 0 \ \text{ and } \ f_{12},\ \mathcal{D}_8^f \neq 0. \tag{6.124}$$

The functional equation (6.123) has a solution if and only if the following set of equations has a solution (as numbers):

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathrm{F} = 0, \qquad \mathrm{F}_{x_{t_2}} = 0, \qquad \mathrm{F}_{y_{t_2}} = 0, \qquad \mathcal{A}_4^{f(t_1,t_2)} = 0, \qquad \mathcal{A}_5^{f(t_1,t_2)} = 0, \\ &(x_{t_2}, y_{t_2}) \neq (0,0), \qquad f_{02}(t_1,t_2) \neq 0 \qquad \text{(but small)}. \end{aligned} \tag{6.125}$$

For the convenience of the reader, let us rewrite the expression for F:

$$\mathrm{F} := \tfrac{f_{02}(t_1,t_2)}{2} y_{t_2}^2 + \tfrac{f_{21}(t_1,t_2)}{2} x_{t_2}^2 y_{t_2} + \tfrac{f_{40}(t_1,t_2)}{24} x_{t_2}^4 + \tfrac{f_{12}(t_1,t_2)}{2} x_{t_2} y_{t_2}^2 + \tfrac{f_{03}(t_1,t_2)}{6} y_{t_2}^3 + \dots. \tag{6.126}$$

We will now show that solutions to (6.125) can not exist. We will show that if $(f_{ij}(t_1,t_2), x_{t_2}, y_{t_2})$ is a sequence converging to $(f,0,0)$ that satisfies (6.125), then $\mathcal{D}_8^{f(t_1,t_2)}$ goes to zero (after passing to a subsequence). That would contradict (6.124). First, we observe that any solution to the set of equations $\mathcal{A}_4^{f(t_1,t_2)} = 0$ and $\mathcal{A}_5^{f(t_1,t_2)} = 0$ is given by

$$f_{21}(t_1,t_2) = \left(\tfrac{f_{31}(t_1,t_2) \pm v}{3f_{12}(t_1,t_2)}\right) f_{02}(t_1,t_2), \quad f_{40}(t_1,t_2) = \tfrac{3f_{21}(t_1,t_2)^2}{f_{02}(t_1,t_2)}, \quad \mathcal{D}_7^{f(t_1,t_2)} = -\tfrac{5v^2}{3f_{12}(t_1,t_2)}. \tag{6.127}$$

Let us choose the $+v$ solution for $f_{21}(t_1,t_2)$, a similar argument will go through for the $-v$ solution. Now, using the value of $f_{40}(t_1,t_2)$ we observe that the first three terms in the expression for F in (6.126) form a perfect square. We will now rewrite the remaining part of F by making a change of coordinates. Using an identical argument that is given in in [1] and using (6.127), we can make a change of coordinates

$$x_{t_2} = \hat{x}_{t_2} + \mathrm{G}(y_{t_2}, v), \qquad y_{t_2} = \hat{y}_{t_2} + \mathrm{B}(\hat{x}_{t_2}, v)$$

so that F is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{F} = \frac{u}{2}\Big(\hat{y}_{t_2} + \mathrm{B}(\hat{x}_{t_2}, v) + \frac{f_{31}(t_1,t_2)}{6f_{12}(t_1,t_2)}(\hat{x}_{t_2} + \mathrm{J})^2 + \frac{v(\hat{x}_{t_2} + \mathrm{J})}{6f_{12}(t_1,t_2)}\Big)^2 \\ - \frac{v^2 \hat{x}_{t_2}^5}{72 f_{12}(t_1,t_2)} + \frac{f_{12}(t_1,t_2)}{2} \hat{x}_{t_2} \hat{y}_{t_2}^2 + \frac{\mathcal{D}_8^{f(t_1,t_2)}}{720} \hat{x}_{t_2}^6 + \beta(\hat{x}_{t_2}) \hat{x}_{t_2}^7, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mathrm{J} := \mathrm{G}(\hat{y}_{t_2} + \mathrm{B}(\hat{x}_{t_2}, v), v), \ \ u := f_{02}(t_1,t_2).$$

Note that B is also a function of v because the coefficients of $x_{t_2}^n$ may depend on $f_{50}(t_1,t_2)$, which is equal to $\mathcal{D}_7^{f(t_1,t_2)} + \frac{5f_{31}(t_1,t_2)^2}{3f_{12}(t_1,t_2)}$. Let

$$z_{t_2} := \hat{y}_{t_2} + \frac{\hat{x}_{t_2}^2 v}{6f_{12}(t_1,t_2)}.$$

Since

$$\mathrm{G}(0,v) = 0 \qquad \text{and} \qquad \mathrm{B}(\hat{x}_{t_2}, v) + \frac{f_{31}(t_1,t_2)}{6f_{12}(t_1,t_2)} \hat{x}_{t_2}^2 = \mathrm{O}(\hat{x}_{t_2}^3)$$

we conclude that in the new coordinates $(\hat{x}_{t_2}, z_{t_2})$, F is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{F} = &\frac{u}{2}\Big(z_{t_2} + \alpha(\hat{x}_{t_2}, v)\hat{x}_{t_2}^3 + \mathrm{E}(\hat{x}_{t_2}, z_{t_2}, v)z_{t_2}\Big)^2 \\ &- \frac{v\hat{x}_{t_2}^3 z_{t_2}}{6f_{12}(t_1,t_2)} + \frac{f_{12}(t_1,t_2)}{2}\hat{x}_{t_2}z_{t_2}^2 + \frac{\mathcal{D}_8^{f(t_1,t_2)}}{720}\hat{x}_{t_2}^6 + \beta(\hat{x}_{t_2})\hat{x}_{t_2}^7, \qquad \text{where } \mathrm{E}(0,0,v)\equiv 0. \end{aligned} \tag{6.128}$$

Hence, (6.125) has solutions if and only if the following set of equations has a solution

$$\mathrm{F}=0, \qquad \mathrm{F}_{\hat{x}_{t_2}}=0, \qquad \mathrm{F}_{z_{t_2}}=0, \qquad (\hat{x}_{t_2}, z_{t_2}) \neq (0,0), \quad u\neq 0, \quad v \text{ small}. \tag{6.129}$$

We will now analyze solutions of (6.129). Notice that we can rewrite (6.129) in the following way

$$p_0 + p_1 w + p_2 v = 0, \quad q_0 + q_1 w + q_2 v = 0, \quad r_0 + r_1 w + r_2 v = 0, \tag{6.130}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} w &:= u(z_{t_2} + \alpha(\hat{x}_{t_2}, v)\hat{x}_{t_2}^3 + \mathrm{E}(\hat{x}_{t_2}, z_{t_2}, v)), \quad \eta := z_{t_2} + \alpha(\hat{x}_{t_2}, v)\hat{x}_{t_2}^3 + \mathrm{E}(\hat{x}_{t_2}, z_{t_2}, v), \\ p_0 &:= \frac{f_{12}(t_1,t_2)}{2}\hat{x}_{t_2}z_{t_2}^2 + \frac{\mathcal{D}_8^{f(t_1,t_2)}}{720}\hat{x}_{t_2}^6 + \beta(\hat{x}_{t_2})\hat{x}_{t_2}^7, \quad p_1 := \frac{\eta}{2}, \quad p_2 := -\frac{\hat{x}_{t_2}^3 z_{t_2}}{6}, \\ q_0 &:= \frac{f_{12}(t_1,t_2)}{2}z_{t_2}^2 + \frac{\mathcal{D}_8^{f(t_1,t_2)}}{120}\hat{x}_{t_2}^5 + (7\beta(\hat{x}_{t_2}) + \hat{x}_{t_2}\beta'(\hat{x}_{t_2}))\hat{x}_{t_2}^6, \\ q_1 &:= 3\alpha(\hat{x}_{t_2})\hat{x}_{t_2}^2 + \alpha'(\hat{x}_{t_2})\hat{x}_{t_2}^3 + \mathrm{E}_{\hat{x}_{t_2}}(\hat{x}_{t_2}, z_{t_2}, v), \quad q_2 := -\frac{\hat{x}_{t_2}^2 z_{t_2}}{2}, \\ r_0 &:= f_{12}(t_1,t_2)\hat{x}_{t_2}z_{t_2} \quad r_1 := 1 + \mathrm{E}(\hat{x}_{t_2}, z_{t_2}, v) + z\mathrm{E}_{z_{t_2}}(\hat{x}_{t_2}, z_{t_2}, v)), \quad r_2 := -\frac{\hat{x}_{t_2}^3}{6}. \end{aligned} \tag{6.131}$$

Since (6.130) holds, we conclude that

$$p_0 q_2 r_1 - p_0 q_1 r_2 + p_2 q_1 r_0 - p_1 q_2 r_0 - p_2 q_0 r_1 + p_1 q_0 r_2 = 0. \tag{6.132}$$

Equations (6.132) and (6.131) now imply that

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi(\hat{x}_{t_2}, z_{t_2}) := &z_{t_2}^3(f_{12}(t_1,t_2) + \mathrm{E}_2(\hat{x}_{t_2}, z_{t_2}, v)) + z_{t_2}^2\hat{x}_{t_2}^3(f_{12}(t_1,t_2) + \mathrm{E}_3(\hat{x}_{t_2}, z_{t_2}, v)) \\ &+ z_{t_2}\hat{x}_{t_2}^6(f_{12}(t_1,t_2) + \mathrm{E}_4(\hat{x}_{t_2}, z_{t_2}, v)) + \hat{x}_{t_2}^9\mathcal{R}_5(z_{t_2}, \hat{x}_{t_2}, v) = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{6.133}$$

where $\mathrm{E}_i(0,0,v) = 0$ and $\mathcal{R}_5(z_{t_2}, \hat{x}_{t_2}, v)$ is a holomorphic function. Now let us define $\mathrm{L} := z_{t_2}/\hat{x}_{t_2}^3$. Let $(f(t_1,t_2), \hat{x}_{t_2}, z_{t_2})$ be a sequence converging to $(f,0,0)$ that satisfies (6.129) and such that $(\hat{x}_{t_2}, z_{t_2}) \neq (0,0)$. First we observe that $\hat{x}_{t_2} \neq 0$; this follows from (6.133) and the fact that $f_{12} \neq 0$. Next, it is easy to see using (6.133), that L is bounded, since $f_{12} \neq 0$. Hence, after passing to a subsequence L converges. Since $\mathrm{F} = 0$, we can easily see from (6.128), that as $(\hat{x}_{t_2}, z_{t_2}), u$ and v go to zero, $\mathcal{D}_8^{f(t_1,t_2)}$ goes to zero. This contradicts (6.124). □

Now let us prove (6.120). It is clear that the lhs of (6.120) is a subset of its rhs. Next, we will prove the following two facts simultaneously:

$$\overline{\mathcal{A}_1 \circ \mathcal{PA}_5} \supset \Delta\mathcal{PE}_7, \tag{6.134}$$

$$\overline{\mathcal{A}_1 \circ \mathcal{PA}_6} \cap \Delta\mathcal{PE}_7 = \varnothing. \tag{6.135}$$

Since $\overline{\mathcal{A}_1 \circ \mathcal{PA}_5}$ is a closed set, (6.134) implies that the rhs of (6.120) is a subset of its lhs.

Claim 6.22. *Let $(\tilde{f},\tilde{p},l_{\tilde{p}})\in\Delta\mathcal{PE}_7$. Then there exist solutions*

$$(\tilde{f}(t_1,t_2),\tilde{p}(t_1,t_2),l_{\tilde{p}(t_1)})\in\overline{(\mathcal{D}\times\mathbb{P}^2)\circ\mathcal{PA}_3}$$

near $(\tilde{f},\tilde{p},l_{\tilde{p}})$ to the set of equations

$$\begin{aligned}&\pi_1^*\psi_{\mathcal{A}_0}(\tilde{f}(t_1,t_2),\tilde{p}(t_1,t_2),l_{\tilde{p}(t_1)})=0,\ \ \pi_1^*\psi_{\mathcal{A}_1}(\tilde{f}(t_1,t_2),\tilde{p}(t_1,t_2),l_{\tilde{p}(t_1)})=0,\\ &\pi_2^*\Psi_{\mathcal{PA}_4}(\tilde{f}(t_1,t_2),\tilde{p}(t_1,t_2),l_{\tilde{p}(t_1)})=0,\quad \pi_2^*\Psi_{\mathcal{PA}_5}(\tilde{f}(t_1,t_2),\tilde{p}(t_1,t_2),l_{\tilde{p}(t_1)})=0,\\ &\pi_2^*\Psi_{\mathcal{PD}_4}(\tilde{f}(t_1,t_2),\tilde{p}(t_1,t_2),l_{\tilde{p}(t_1)})\neq 0,\quad \tilde{p}(t_1,t_2)\neq\tilde{p}(t_1).\end{aligned}\tag{6.136}$$

Moreover, any such solution sufficiently close to $(\tilde{f},\tilde{p},l_{\tilde{p}})$ lies in $\overline{\mathcal{A}_1}\circ\mathcal{PA}_5$, i.e.,

$$\pi_2^*\Psi_{\mathcal{PA}_6}(\tilde{f}(t_1,t_2),\tilde{p}(t_1,t_2),l_{\tilde{p}(t_1)})\neq 0.\tag{6.137}$$

In particular, $(\tilde{f}(t_1,t_2),\tilde{p}(t_1,t_2),l_{\tilde{p}(t_1)})$ does not lie in $\overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}_1}\circ\mathcal{PA}_6}$.

It is easy to see that claim 6.22 implies (6.134) and (6.135) simultaneously.

Proof: Choose homogeneous coordinates [X : Y : Z] so that $\tilde{p}=[0:0:1]$ and let $\mathcal{U}_{\tilde{p}}$, π_x, π_y, v_1, w, v, η, η_{t_1}, η_{t_2}, x_{t_1}, y_{t_1}, x_{t_2}, y_{t_2}, $f_{ij}(t_1,t_2)$, F, $\mathrm{F}_{x_{t_2}}$ and $\mathrm{F}_{y_{t_2}}$ be exactly the same as defined in the proof of claim 6.16. Since $(\tilde{f}(t_1,t_2),l_{\tilde{p}(t_1)})\in\overline{\mathcal{PA}_3}$, we conclude

$$f_{00}(t_1,t_2)=f_{10}(t_1,t_2)=f_{01}(t_1,t_2)=f_{11}(t_1,t_2)=f_{20}(t_1,t_2)=f_{30}(t_1,t_2)=0.$$

Moreover, since $(\tilde{f},\tilde{p},l_{\tilde{p}})\in\Delta\mathcal{PE}_7$, we conclude that

$$f_{12},f_{40}=0,\quad f_{31},f_{03}\neq 0.\tag{6.138}$$

The functional equation (6.111) has a solution if and only if the following set of equations has a solution (as numbers):

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathrm{F}=0,\quad \mathrm{F}_{x_{t_2}}=0,\quad \mathrm{F}_{y_{t_2}}=0,\quad \mathcal{A}_4^{f(t_1,t_2)}=0,\quad \mathcal{A}_5^{f(t_1,t_2)}=0,\\ &f_{02}(t_1,t_2)\neq 0,\qquad (x_{t_2},y_{t_2})\neq(0,0)\qquad\text{(but small)}.\end{aligned}\tag{6.139}$$

Let us now define the following quantities:

$$\mathrm{G}_1:=\mathrm{F}-\frac{x_{t_2}\mathrm{F}_{x_{t_2}}}{4}-\frac{y_{t_2}\mathrm{F}_{y_{t_2}}}{2},\quad \mathrm{G}_2:=\mathrm{F}-\frac{y_{t_2}\mathrm{F}_{y_{t_2}}}{2},\quad \mathrm{G}:=\mathrm{F}+4\mathrm{G}_1-2\mathrm{G}_2.$$

Note that G_1 depends linearly on $f_{12}(t_1,t_2)$ and is independent of $f_{40}(t_1,t_2)$, $f_{21}(t_1,t_2)$ and $f_{02}(t_1,t_2)$; G_2 depends linearly on $f_{40}(t_1,t_2)$ and $f_{21}(t_1,t_2)$ and is independent of $f_{12}(t_1,t_2)$, and $f_{02}(t_1,t_2)$; finally G depends linearly on $f_{02}(t_1,t_2)$ and $f_{40}(t_1,t_2)$ and is independent of $f_{12}(t_1,t_2)$, and $f_{21}(t_1,t_2)$. Next, we claim that $x_{t_2}\neq 0$ and $y_{t_2}\neq 0$; we will justify that at the end. Assuming this claim, we observe that (6.139) combined with (6.138) is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathrm{G}_1=0,\quad \mathrm{G}_2=0,\quad \mathrm{G}=0,\quad f_{21}(t_1,t_2)=\frac{5f_{12}(t_1,t_2)f_{40}(t_1,t_2)+f_{02}(t_1,t_2)f_{50}(t_1,t_2)}{10f_{31}(t_1,t_2)},\\ &\mathcal{A}_4^{f(t_1,t_2)}=0,\quad f_{02}(t_1,t_2)\neq 0,\quad x_{t_2}\neq 0,\quad y_{t_2}\neq 0\qquad\text{(but small)}.\end{aligned}\tag{6.140}$$

We will now construct solutions for (6.140). First of all, using $\mathrm{G}=0$ we can solve for $f_{02}(t_1,t_2)$ as a function of $f_{40}(t_1,t_2)$, x_{t_2} and y_{t_2}. Next, using that $\mathrm{G}_1=0$, we get $f_{12}(t_1,t_2)$ as a function of x_{t_2} and y_{t_2}. Finally, using $\mathrm{G}_2=0$, the value of $f_{02}(t_1,t_2)$, $f_{12}(t_1,t_2)$ from the previous two equations and the value of $f_{21}(t_1,t_2)$ from (6.140), we get $f_{40}(t_1,t_2)$ in terms of x_{t_2} and y_{t_2}. Plugging the expression back in, we get $f_{12}(t_1,t_2)$, $f_{21}(t_1,t_2)$, $f_{02}(t_1,t_2)$ and $f_{40}(t_1,t_2)$ in terms of x_{t_2} and y_{t_2}.

Next, let us define $\mathrm{L}:=x_{t_2}^3/y_{t_2}^2$. We note that any expression involving x_{t_2} and y_{t_2} can be re written in terms of x_{t_2}, y_{t_2} and L so that the highest power of x_{t_2} is 2; replace $x_{t_2}^3$ by $\mathrm{L}y_{t_2}^2$. Using that fact, we conclude

$$\mathcal{A}_4^{f(t_1,t_2)}\frac{x_{t_2}^4}{y_{t_2}^3}=-\frac{f_{03}(t_1,t_2)^2}{3}+\frac{f_{03}(t_1,t_2)f_{31}(t_1,t_2)}{3}\mathrm{L}+\mathrm{E}_1(x_{t_2},y_{t_2},\mathrm{L})=0$$

where $\mathrm{E}_1(0,0,\mathrm{L})=0$. Hence, by the Implicit function theorem, we conclude that

$$\mathrm{L}=\frac{f_{03}(t_1,t_2)}{f_{31}(t_1,t_2)}+\mathrm{E}_2(x_{t_2},y_{t_2})$$

where $\mathrm{E}_2(0,0)=0$. Hence, x_{t_2} and y_{t_2} are parametrized by

$$y_{t_2}=u^3,\quad x_{t_2}=\alpha u^2+\mathrm{O}(u^3)\qquad\text{where}\quad \alpha:=\sqrt[3]{\frac{f_{03}(t_1,t_2)}{f_{31}(t_1,t_2)}},\quad\text{a branch of the cube root.}$$

Plugging in all this we get

$$\begin{aligned}&f_{02}(t_1,t_2)=\frac{f_{03}(t_1,t_2)}{3}u^3+\mathrm{O}(u^4),\quad f_{12}(t_1,t_2)=-\frac{f_{03}(t_1,t_2)}{3\alpha}u+\mathrm{O}(u^2),\quad f_{21}(t_1,t_2)=\mathrm{O}(u^3),\\&f_{40}(t_1,t_2)=\mathrm{O}(u^2),\quad f_{02}(t_1,t_2)^3\mathcal{A}_6^{f(t_1,t_2)}=-\frac{10f_{03}(t_1,t_2)^2f_{31}(t_1,t_2)^2}{9}u^6+\mathrm{O}(u^7).\end{aligned}\tag{6.141}$$

Equation (6.141) implies that (6.137) holds. □

Corollary 6.23. *Let $\mathbb{W}\longrightarrow\mathcal{D}\times\mathbb{P}^2\times\mathbb{P}T\mathbb{P}^2$ be a vector bundle such that the rank of $\mathbb{W}$ is same as the dimension of $\Delta\mathcal{PE}_7$ and $\mathcal{Q}:\mathcal{D}\times\mathbb{P}^2\times\mathbb{P}T\mathbb{P}^2\longrightarrow\mathbb{W}$ a generic smooth section. Suppose $(\tilde{f},\tilde{p},l_{\tilde{p}})\in\Delta\mathcal{PE}_7\cap\mathcal{Q}^{-1}(0)$. Then the section*

$$\pi_2^*\Psi_{\mathcal{PA}_6}\oplus\mathcal{Q}:\overline{\mathcal{A}_1\circ\mathcal{PA}_5}\longrightarrow\pi_2^*(\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{PA}_6})\oplus\mathbb{W}$$

vanishes around $(\tilde{f},\tilde{p},l_{\tilde{p}})$ with a multiplicity of 6.

Proof: Follows from the fact that the sections induced by f_{02}, f_{21}, f_{12} and f_{40} (the corresponding functionals) are transverse to the zero set over $\Delta\overline{\mathcal{PA}}_3$ [17], the fact that $\mathcal{Q}$ is generic and (6.141). □

This finishes the proof of Lemma 6.3 (6).

Proof of Lemma 6.3 (7): By definition of $\Delta\mathcal{PD}_6^{\vee s}$ in (6.1), it suffices to show that

$$\{(\tilde{f},\tilde{p},l_{\tilde{p}})\in\overline{\mathcal{A}_1\circ\mathcal{PD}_4}:\pi_2^*\Psi_{\mathcal{PD}_5}(\tilde{f},l_{\tilde{p}})=0\}=\Delta\overline{\mathcal{PD}}_6.\tag{6.142}$$

[17] Take partial derivative with respect to f_{02}, f_{21}, f_{12} and f_{40}.

Observe that

$$\overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \circ \mathcal{PD}}_4 \cap \Delta(\mathcal{PD}_4 \cup \mathcal{PD}_5 \cup \mathcal{PE}_6) = \varnothing. \tag{6.143}$$

This follows from (6.57), (6.75) and (6.110) combined with the fact that

$$(\mathcal{PD}_4 \cup \mathcal{PD}_5) \cap (\overline{\mathcal{PD}_5^{\vee}} \cup \overline{\mathcal{PD}}_6) = \varnothing.$$

Equation (6.143) implies that the lhs of (6.142) is a subset of its rhs. Next, we will simultaneously, prove the following two statements:

$$\overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \circ \mathcal{PD}}_4 \supset \Delta\mathcal{PD}_6, \tag{6.144}$$

$$\overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \circ \mathcal{PD}}_5 \cap \Delta\mathcal{PD}_6 = \varnothing, \tag{6.145}$$

Since $\overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \circ \mathcal{PD}}_4$ is a closed set, (6.144) implies that the rhs of (6.142) is a subset of its lhs.

Claim 6.24. *Let $(\tilde{f}, \tilde{p}, l_{\tilde{p}}) \in \Delta\mathcal{PD}_6$. Then there exists a solution*

$$(\tilde{f}(t_1,t_2), \tilde{p}(t_1,t_2), l_{\tilde{p}(t_1)}) \in \overline{(\mathcal{D} \times \mathbb{P}^2) \circ \mathcal{PD}}_4$$

near $(\tilde{f}, \tilde{p}, l_{\tilde{p}})$ to the set of equations

$$\pi_1^*\psi_{\mathcal{A}_0}(\tilde{f}(t_1,t_2), \tilde{p}(t_1,t_2), l_{\tilde{p}(t_1)}) = 0,\ \ \pi_1^*\psi_{\mathcal{A}_1}(\tilde{f}(t_1,t_2), \tilde{p}(t_1,t_2), l_{\tilde{p}(t_1)}) = 0,\ \ \tilde{p}(t_1,t_2) \neq \tilde{p}(t_1). \tag{6.146}$$

Moreover, such a solution lies in $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \circ \mathcal{PD}_4$, i.e.,

$$\pi_2^*\Psi_{\mathcal{PD}_5}(\tilde{f}(t_1,t_2), \tilde{p}(t_1,t_2), l_{\tilde{p}(t_1)}) \neq 0. \tag{6.147}$$

In particular, $(\tilde{f}(t_1,t_2), \tilde{p}(t_1,t_2), l_{\tilde{p}(t_1)})$ does not lie in $\overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \circ \mathcal{PD}}_5$.

Note that claim 6.24 implies (6.144) and (6.145) simultaneously.

Proof: Choose homogeneous coordinates [X : Y : Z] so that $\tilde{p} = [0:0:1]$ and let $\mathcal{U}_{\tilde{p}}$, π_x, π_y, v_1, w, v, η, η_{t_1}, η_{t_2}, x_{t_1}, y_{t_1}, x_{t_2}, y_{t_2}, $f_{ij}(t_1,t_2)$, F, $\mathrm{F}_{x_{t_2}}$ and $\mathrm{F}_{y_{t_2}}$ be exactly the same as defined in the proof of claim 6.12, except for one difference: we take $(\tilde{f}(t_1,t_2), l_{\tilde{p}(t_1)})$ to be a point in $\overline{\mathcal{PD}}_4$. Since $(\tilde{f}(t_1,t_2), l_{\tilde{p}(t_1)}) \in \overline{\mathcal{PD}}_4$, we conclude that

$$f_{00}(t_1,t_2) = f_{10}(t_1,t_2) = f_{01}(t_1,t_2) = f_{11}(t_1,t_2) = f_{20}(t_1,t_2) = f_{02}(t_1,t_2) = f_{30}(t_1,t_2) = 0.$$

The functional equation (6.82) has a solution if and only if the following set of equations has a solution (as numbers):

$$\mathrm{F} = 0, \qquad \mathrm{F}_{x_{t_2}} = 0, \qquad \mathrm{F}_{y_{t_2}} = 0, \qquad (x_{t_2}, y_{t_2}) \neq (0,0) \qquad (\text{ but small}). \tag{6.148}$$

For the convenience of the reader, let us rewrite the expression for F:

$$\mathrm{F} := \frac{f_{21}(t_1,t_2)}{2} x_{t_2}^2 y_{t_2} + \frac{f_{12}(t_1,t_2)}{2} x_{t_2} y_{t_2}^2 + \frac{f_{03}(t_1,t_2)}{6} y_{t_2}^3 + \dots.$$

Since $(\tilde{f}, \tilde{p}, l_{\tilde{p}}) \in \Delta\mathcal{PD}_6$, we conclude that

$$f_{21},\ f_{40} = 0 \ \text{ and } \ f_{12},\ \mathcal{D}_7^f \neq 0. \tag{6.149}$$

A little bit of thought will reveal that the solutions to (6.148) are exactly the same as in (6.101), (6.102) and (6.103), with $f_{02}(t_1,t_2) = 0$. Since $\alpha \neq 0$, we conclude that $f_{21}(t_1,t_2) \neq 0$ for small but non zero $\hat{x}_{t_2}$. Hence (6.147) holds. □

Corollary 6.25. *Let $\mathbb{W} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D} \times \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}T\mathbb{P}^2$ be a vector bundle such that the rank of $\mathbb{W}$ is same as the dimension of $\Delta\mathcal{PD}_6$ and $\mathcal{Q} : \mathcal{D} \times \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}T\mathbb{P}^2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{W}$ a generic smooth section. Suppose $(\tilde{f}, \tilde{p}, l_{\tilde{p}}) \in \Delta\mathcal{PD}_6 \cap \mathcal{Q}^{-1}(0)$. Then the section*

$$\pi_2^*\Psi_{\mathcal{PD}_4} \oplus \mathcal{Q} : \overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \circ \mathcal{PD}}_4 \longrightarrow \pi_2^*(\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{PD}_4}) \oplus \mathbb{W}$$

vanishes around $(\tilde{f}, \tilde{p}, l_{\tilde{p}})$ with a multiplicity of 2.

Proof: Follows from the fact that the sections induced by f_{21} and f_{40} are transverse to the zero set over $\Delta\overline{\mathcal{PD}}_4$, [18] the fact that $\mathcal{Q}$ is generic and (6.102) (combined with $f_{02}(t_1, t_2) = 0$). Each branch of $\alpha := \sqrt{\frac{\mathcal{D}_7^{f(t_1,t_2)}}{-60f_{12}(t_1,t_2)}}$ contributes with a multiplicity of 1. Hence, the total multiplicity is 2. □

Before proceeding further, observe that (6.78) implies that

$$\Delta\overline{\mathcal{PD}_6^{\vee s}} \subset \Delta\overline{\mathcal{PD}_6^{\vee}}. \tag{6.150}$$

Proof of Lemma 6.3 (8): Follows from Lemma 6.3 (7), (6.150), Lemma 5.2, (5.1) and (5.2). □

Proof of Lemma 6.3 (9): It suffices to prove the following two statements:

$$\{(\tilde{f}, \tilde{p}, l_{\tilde{p}}) \in \overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \circ \mathcal{PD}}_5 : \ \pi_2^*\Psi_{\mathcal{PE}_6}(\tilde{f}, \tilde{p}, l_{\tilde{p}}) \neq 0\} = \{(\tilde{f}, \tilde{p}, l_{\tilde{p}}) \in \Delta\overline{\mathcal{PD}}_7 : \ \pi_2^*\Psi_{\mathcal{PE}_6}(\tilde{f}, \tilde{p}, l_{\tilde{p}}) \neq 0\} \tag{6.151}$$

$$\{(\tilde{f}, \tilde{p}, l_{\tilde{p}}) \in \overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \circ \mathcal{PD}}_5 : \ \pi_2^*\Psi_{\mathcal{PE}_6}(\tilde{f}, \tilde{p}, l_{\tilde{p}}) = 0\} = \Delta\overline{\mathcal{PE}}_7. \tag{6.152}$$

Let us directly prove a more general version of (6.151):

Lemma 6.26. *If $k \geq 5$ then*

$$\{(\tilde{f}, \tilde{p}, l_{\tilde{p}}) \in \overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \circ \mathcal{PD}}_k : \ \pi_2^*\Psi_{\mathcal{PE}_6}(\tilde{f}, \tilde{p}, l_{\tilde{p}}) \neq 0\} = \{(\tilde{f}, \tilde{p}, l_{\tilde{p}}) \in \Delta\overline{\mathcal{PD}}_{k+2} : \ \pi_2^*\Psi_{\mathcal{PE}_6}(\tilde{f}, \tilde{p}, l_{\tilde{p}}) \neq 0\}.$$

Note that (6.151) is a special case of Lemma 6.26; take $k = 5$. We will prove the following two facts simultaneously:

$$\{(\tilde{f}, \tilde{p}, l_{\tilde{p}}) \in \overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \circ \mathcal{PD}}_k\} \supset \Delta\mathcal{PD}_{k+2} \qquad \forall\ k \geq 5, \tag{6.153}$$

$$\{(\tilde{f}, \tilde{p}, l_{\tilde{p}}) \in \overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \circ \mathcal{PD}}_{k+1}\} \cap \Delta\mathcal{PD}_{k+2} = \varnothing \qquad \forall\ k \geq 4. \tag{6.154}$$

It is easy to see that (6.153) and (6.154) imply Lemma 6.26. We will now prove the following claim:

Claim 6.27. *Let $(\tilde{f}, \tilde{p}, l_{\tilde{p}}) \in \Delta\mathcal{PD}_{k+2}$ and $k \geq 5$. Then there exists a solution*

$$(\tilde{f}(t_1, t_2), \tilde{p}(t_1, t_2), l_{\tilde{p}(t_1)}) \in \overline{(\mathcal{D} \times \mathbb{P}^2) \circ \mathcal{PD}}_5$$

near $(\tilde{f}, \tilde{p}, l_{\tilde{p}})$ to the set of equations

$$\begin{aligned}
&\pi_1^*\Psi_{\mathcal{A}_0}(\tilde{f}(t_1, t_2), \tilde{p}(t_1, t_2), l_{\tilde{p}(t_1)}) = 0, \ \pi_1^*\Psi_{\mathcal{A}_1}(\tilde{f}(t_1, t_2), \tilde{p}(t_1, t_2), l_{\tilde{p}(t_1)}) = 0,\\
&\pi_2^*\Psi_{\mathcal{PD}_6}(\tilde{f}(t_1, t_2), \tilde{p}(t_1, t_2), l_{\tilde{p}(t_1)}) = 0, \ldots, \pi_2^*\Psi_{\mathcal{PD}_k}(\tilde{f}(t_1, t_2), \tilde{p}(t_1, t_2), l_{\tilde{p}(t_1)}) = 0,\\
&\pi_2^*\Psi_{\mathcal{PE}_6}(\tilde{f}(t_1, t_2), \tilde{p}(t_1, t_2), l_{\tilde{p}(t_1)}) \neq 0, \ \tilde{p}(t_1, t_2) \neq \tilde{p}(t_1).
\end{aligned} \tag{6.155}$$

Moreover, any solution $(\tilde{f}(t_1, t_2), \tilde{p}(t_1, t_2), l_{\tilde{p}(t_1)})$ sufficiently close to $(\tilde{f}, \tilde{p}, l_{\tilde{p}})$ lies in $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \circ \mathcal{PD}_k$, i.e.,

$$\pi_2^*\Psi_{\mathcal{PD}_{k+1}}(\tilde{f}(t_1, t_2), \tilde{p}(t_1, t_2), l_{\tilde{p}(t_1)}) \neq 0. \tag{6.156}$$

In particular $(\tilde{f}(t_1, t_2), \tilde{p}(t_1, t_2), l_{\tilde{p}(t_1)})$ does not lie in $\overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \circ \mathcal{PD}}_{k+1}$.

[18] Take partial derivatives with respect to f_{21} and f_{40}

It is easy to see that claim 6.27 implies (6.153) and (6.154) simultaneously for all $k \geq 5$. The fact that (6.154) holds for $k = 4$ is the content of (6.145).

Proof: Choose homogeneous coordinates [X : Y : Z] so that $\tilde{p} = [0 : 0 : 1]$ and let $\mathcal{U}_{\tilde{p}}$, π_x, π_y, v_1, w, v, η, η_{t_1}, η_{t_2}, x_{t_1}, y_{t_1}, x_{t_2}, y_{t_2}, $f_{ij}(t_1, t_2)$, F, $\mathrm{F}_{x_{t_2}}$ and $\mathrm{F}_{y_{t_2}}$ be exactly the same as defined in the proof of claim 6.16. Hence

$$f_{10}(t_1, t_2) = f_{01}(t_1, t_2) = f_{11}(t_1, t_2) = f_{20}(t_1, t_2) = f_{02}(t_1, t_2) = f_{30}(t_1, t_2) = f_{21}(t_1, t_2) = 0.$$

Since $(\tilde{f}, \tilde{p}, l_{\tilde{p}}) \in \Delta\mathcal{PD}_{k+2}$, we conclude that $f_{12}(t_1, t_2) \neq 0$. Hence, we can make a change of coordinates to write F as

$$\mathrm{F} = \hat{y}_{t_2}^2 \hat{x}_{t_2} + \frac{\mathcal{D}_6^{f(t_1,t_2)}}{4!} \hat{x}_{t_2}^4 + \frac{\mathcal{D}_7^{f(t_1,t_2)}}{5!} \hat{x}_{t_2}^5 + \ldots$$

The functional equation (6.155) has a solution if and only if the following set of equations has a solution (as numbers):

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{y}_{t_2}^2 \hat{x}_{t_2} + \frac{\mathcal{D}_6^{f(t_1,t_2)}}{4!} \hat{x}_{t_2}^4 + \frac{\mathcal{D}_7^{f(t_1,t_2)}}{5!} \hat{x}_{t_2}^5 + \ldots = 0, \qquad & \hat{y}_{t_2} \hat{x}_{t_2} = 0, \\ \hat{y}_{t_2}^2 + \frac{\mathcal{D}_6^{f(t_1,t_2)}}{3!} \hat{x}_{t_2}^3 + \frac{\mathcal{D}_7^{f(t_1,t_2)}}{4!} \hat{x}_{t_2}^4 + \ldots = 0, \qquad & \mathcal{D}_6^{f(t_1,t_2)}, \ldots, \mathcal{D}_k^{f(t_1,t_2)} = 0, \\ (\hat{y}_{t_2}, \hat{x}_{t_2}) \neq (0, 0) \qquad & \text{(but small)}. \end{aligned} \tag{6.157}$$

It is easy to see that the solutions to (6.157) exist given by

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{D}_6^{f(t_1,t_2)}, \ldots, \mathcal{D}_k^{f(t_1,t_2)} = 0, \\ & \mathcal{D}_{k+1}^{f(t_1,t_2)} = \frac{\mathcal{D}_{k+3}^{f(t_1,t_2)}}{k(k+1)} \hat{x}_{t_2}^2 + \mathrm{O}(\hat{x}_{t_2}^3), \\ & \mathcal{D}_{k+2}^{f(t_1,t_2)} = -\frac{2\mathcal{D}_{k+3}^{f(t_1,t_2)}}{(k+1)} \hat{x}_{t_2} + \mathrm{O}(\hat{x}_{t_2}^2), \qquad \hat{y}_{t_2} = 0, \qquad \hat{x}_{t_2} \neq 0 \qquad \text{(but small)}. \end{aligned} \tag{6.158}$$

By (6.158), it immediately follows that (6.156) holds. □

Corollary 6.28. *Let $\mathbb{W} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D} \times \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}T\mathbb{P}^2$ be a vector bundle such that the rank of $\mathbb{W}$ is same as the dimension of $\Delta\mathcal{PD}_{k+2}$ and $\mathcal{Q} : \mathcal{D} \times \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}T\mathbb{P}^2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{W}$ a generic smooth section. Suppose $(\tilde{f}, \tilde{p}, l_{\tilde{p}}) \in \Delta\mathcal{PD}_{k+2} \cap \mathcal{Q}^{-1}(0)$. Then the section*

$$\pi_2^* \Psi_{\mathcal{PD}_{k+1}} \oplus \mathcal{Q} : \Delta\overline{\mathcal{PD}}_k \longrightarrow \pi_2^*(\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{PD}_{k+1}}) \oplus \mathbb{W}$$

vanishes around $(\tilde{f}, \tilde{p}, l_{\tilde{p}})$ with a multiplicity of 2.

Proof: This follows from the fact that the sections

$$\pi_2^* \Psi_{\mathcal{PD}_i} : \Delta\overline{\mathcal{PD}}_{i-1} - \pi_2^* \Psi_{\mathcal{PE}_6}^{-1}(0) \longrightarrow \pi_2^* \mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{PD}_i}$$

are transverse to the zero set for all $6 \leq i \leq k+2$, the fact that $\mathcal{Q}$ is generic and (6.158). □

Next, we will prove (6.152). The lhs of (6.152) is a subset of its rhs; this follows from (6.110) and the fact that $\mathcal{PD}_5$ is a subset of $\overline{\mathcal{PD}}_4$. To prove the converse, we will prove the following three statements simultaneously:

$$\overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \circ \mathcal{PD}_5} \supset \Delta\mathcal{PE}_7, \tag{6.159}$$

$$\overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \circ \mathcal{PD}_6} \cap \Delta\mathcal{PE}_7 = \varnothing. \tag{6.160}$$

$$\overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \circ \mathcal{PE}_6} \cap \Delta\mathcal{PE}_7 = \varnothing. \tag{6.161}$$

Since $\overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \circ \mathcal{PD}_5}$ is a closed set, (6.159) implies that the rhs of (6.152) is a subset of its lhs.

Claim 6.29. *Let $(\tilde{f}, \tilde{p}, l_{\tilde{p}}) \in \Delta\mathcal{PE}_7$. Then there exist solutions*

$$(\tilde{f}(t_1,t_2), \tilde{p}(t_1,t_2), l_{\tilde{p}(t_1)}) \in \overline{(\mathcal{D} \times \mathbb{P}^2) \circ \mathcal{PD}_5}$$

near $(\tilde{f}, \tilde{p}, l_{\tilde{p}})$ to the set of equations

$$\pi_1^* \Psi_{\mathcal{A}_0}(\tilde{f}(t_1,t_2), \tilde{p}(t_1,t_2), l_{\tilde{p}(t_1)}) = 0, \ \pi_1^* \Psi_{\mathcal{A}_1}(\tilde{f}(t_1,t_2), \tilde{p}(t_1,t_2), l_{\tilde{p}(t_1)}) = 0, \quad \tilde{p}(t_1,t_2) \neq \tilde{p}(t_1). \tag{6.162}$$

Moreover, any such solution sufficiently close to $(\tilde{f}, \tilde{p}, l_{\tilde{p}})$ lies in $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \circ \mathcal{PD}_5$, i.e.,

$$\pi_2^* \Psi_{\mathcal{PD}_6}(\tilde{f}(t_1,t_2), \tilde{p}(t_1,t_2), l_{\tilde{p}(t_1)}) \neq 0. \tag{6.163}$$

In particular, $(\tilde{f}(t_1,t_2), \tilde{p}(t_1,t_2), l_{\tilde{p}(t_1)})$ does not lie in $\overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \circ \mathcal{PD}_6}$. Since

$$\pi_2^* \Psi_{\mathcal{PE}_6}(\tilde{f}(t_1,t_2), \tilde{p}(t_1,t_2), l_{\tilde{p}(t_1)}) \neq 0 \tag{6.164}$$

the solution $(\tilde{f}(t_1,t_2), \tilde{p}(t_1,t_2), l_{\tilde{p}(t_1)})$ does not lie in $\overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \circ \mathcal{PE}_6}$.

Note that claim 6.29 implies (6.152) and (6.160) simultaneously.

Proof: Choose homogeneous coordinates [X : Y : Z] so that $\tilde{p} = [0:0:1]$ and let $\mathcal{U}_{\tilde{p}}$, π_x, π_y, v_1, w, v, η, η_{t_1}, η_{t_2}, x_{t_1}, y_{t_1}, x_{t_2}, y_{t_2}, $f_{ij}(t_1,t_2)$, F, $\mathrm{F}_{x_{t_2}}$ and $\mathrm{F}_{y_{t_2}}$ be exactly the same as defined in the proof of claim 6.16 except for one difference: we take $(\tilde{f}(t_1,t_2), l_{\tilde{p}(t_1)})$ to be a point in $\overline{\mathcal{PD}}_5$. Since $(\tilde{f}(t_1,t_2), l_{\tilde{p}(t_1)}) \in \overline{\mathcal{PD}}_5$, we conclude that

$$f_{10}(t_1,t_2) = f_{01}(t_1,t_2) = f_{11}(t_1,t_2) = f_{20}(t_1,t_2) = f_{02}(t_1,t_2) = f_{30}(t_1,t_2) = f_{21}(t_1,t_2) = 0.$$

Since $(\tilde{f}, \tilde{p}, l_{\tilde{p}}) \in \Delta\mathcal{PE}_7$, we conclude that

$$f_{03}, f_{31} \neq 0, \quad f_{12}, f_{40} = 0. \tag{6.165}$$

The functional equation (6.162) has a solution if and only if the following set of equations has a solution (as numbers):

$$\mathrm{F} = 0, \qquad \mathrm{F}_{x_{t_2}} = 0, \qquad \mathrm{F}_{y_{t_2}} = 0, \qquad (x_{t_2}, y_{t_2}) \neq (0,0) \qquad \text{(but small)}. \tag{6.166}$$

Let us now define

$$\mathrm{G} := -8\mathrm{F} + 2x_{t_2}\mathrm{F}_{x_{t_2}} + 3y_{t_2}\mathrm{F}_{y_{t_2}}.$$

We claim that $x_{t_2} \neq 0$ and $y_{t_2} \neq 0$; we will justify that at the end. Assuming that claim we conclude that solving (6.166) is equivalent to solving

$$\mathrm{G} = 0, \qquad \mathrm{F}_{x_{t_2}} = 0, \qquad \mathrm{F}_{y_{t_2}} = 0, \qquad (x_{t_2}, y_{t_2}) \neq (0,0) \qquad \text{(but small)}. \tag{6.167}$$

Note that G is independent of $f_{12}(t_1,t_2)$ and $f_{40}(t_1,t_2)$. Hence, G is explicitly given by

$$\mathrm{G} = \frac{\mathrm{P}_{03}(x_{t_2}, y_{t_2})}{6} y_{t_2}^3 + \frac{\mathrm{P}_{31}(x_{t_2}, y_{t_2})}{6} x_{t_2}^3 y + \kappa x_{t_2}^2 y_{t_2}^2 + \mathrm{P}_{50}(x_{t_2}) x_{t_2}^5,$$

where $\mathrm{P}_{03}(0,0) = f_{03}(t_1,t_2)$ and $\mathrm{P}_{31}(0,0) = f_{31}(t_1,t_2)$. Using the same argument as in [1], there exists a holomorphic function $\mathrm{B}(\hat{x}_{t_2})$ and constant η such that if we make the substitution

$$x_{t_2} = \hat{x}_{t_2} + \eta \hat{y}_{t_2}, \qquad y_{t_2} = \hat{y}_{t_2} + \mathrm{B}(\hat{x}_{t_2}) \hat{x}_{t_2}^2$$

then G is given by

$$\mathrm{G} = \frac{\hat{\mathrm{P}}_{03}(\hat{x}_{t_2}, \hat{y}_{t_2})}{6} \hat{y}_{t_2}^3 + \frac{\hat{\mathrm{P}}_{31}(\hat{x}_{t_2}, \hat{y}_{t_2})}{6} \hat{x}_{t_2}^3 \hat{y}_{t_2},$$

where $\hat{\mathrm{P}}_{03}(0,0) = f_{03}(t_1,t_2)$ and $\hat{\mathrm{P}}_{31}(0,0) = f_{31}(t_1,t_2)$. We claim that $\hat{y}_{t_2} \neq 0$; we will justify that at the end. Assuming that claim, we conclude from $\mathrm{G} = 0$ that

$$\hat{y}_{t_2} = u^3, \quad \hat{x}_{t_2} = \alpha u^2 + \mathrm{O}(u^2) \qquad \text{where} \qquad \alpha := \sqrt[3]{-\frac{f_{03}(t_1,t_2)}{f_{31}(t_1,t_2)}} \qquad \text{a branch of the cuberoot.}$$

Using this and the remaining two equations of (6.167) we conclude that

$$f_{12}(t_1,t_2) = -\frac{f_{03}(t_1,t_2)}{3\alpha} u + \mathrm{O}(u^2), \quad f_{40}(t_1,t_2) = -\frac{4 f_{31}(t_1,t_2)}{\alpha} u + \mathrm{O}(u^2). \tag{6.168}$$

It remains to show that $x_{t_2} \neq 0$, $y_{t_2} \neq 0$ and $\hat{y}_{t_2} \neq 0$. If $x_{t_2} = 0$, then $\mathrm{F} = 0$ implies that $f_{03}(t_1,t_2) = \mathrm{O}(y_{t_2})$, contradicting (6.165). Next, if $y_{t_2} = 0$ then $\mathrm{F}_{y_{t_2}} = 0$ implies that $f_{31}(t_1,t_2) = \mathrm{O}(x_{t_2})$, contradicting (6.165). Finally, if $\hat{y}_{t_2} = 0$, then $\mathrm{F}_{y_{t_2}} = 0$ implies that

$$f_{31}(t_1,t_2) = -6\mathrm{B}(0) f_{12}(t_1,t_2) + \mathrm{O}(x_{t_2}).$$

As $f_{12}(t_1,t_2)$ and x_{t_2} go to zero, $f_{31}(t_1,t_2)$ goes to zero, contradicting (6.165). □

Corollary 6.30. *Let $\mathbb{W} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D} \times \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}T\mathbb{P}^2$ be a vector bundle such that the rank of $\mathbb{W}$ is same as the dimension of $\Delta\mathcal{PE}_7$ and $\mathcal{Q} : \mathcal{D} \times \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}T\mathbb{P}^2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{W}$ a generic smooth section. Suppose $(\tilde{f}, \tilde{p}, l_{\tilde{p}}) \in \Delta\mathcal{PE}_7 \cap \mathcal{Q}^{-1}(0)$. Then the sections*

$$\pi_2^* \Psi_{\mathcal{PD}_6} \oplus \mathcal{Q} : \overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \circ \mathcal{PD}}_5 \longrightarrow \pi_2^*(\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{PD}_6}) \oplus \mathbb{W}, \quad \pi_2^* \Psi_{\mathcal{PE}_6} \oplus \mathcal{Q} : \overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \circ \mathcal{PD}}_5 \longrightarrow \pi_2^*(\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{PE}_6}) \oplus \mathbb{W}$$

vanish around $(\tilde{f}, \tilde{p}, l_{\tilde{p}})$ with a multiplicity of 1.

Proof: Follows from the fact that the sections induced by f_{12} and f_{40} are transverse to the zero set over $\Delta\overline{\mathcal{PD}}_5$, [19] $\mathcal{Q}$ is generic and (6.168). □

[19] Take partial derivatives with respect to f_{12} and f_{40}.

7 Euler class computation

We are ready to prove the recursive formulas stated in section 3.

Proof of (3.2)**:** Let $\mathcal{Q} : \mathcal{D} \times \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \longrightarrow \mathcal{W}$ be a generic smooth section to

$$\mathcal{W} := \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{\delta_d-(n+2)} \pi_{\mathcal{D}}^* \gamma_{\mathcal{D}}^*\right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} \pi_2^* \gamma_{\mathbb{P}^2}^*\right) \longrightarrow \mathcal{D} \times \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2.$$

Note that

$$\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1\mathcal{A}_1, n) = \left\langle e(\mathcal{W}),\ [\overline{\mathcal{A}_1 \circ \mathcal{A}_1}]\right\rangle = |\pm(\mathcal{A}_1 \circ \mathcal{A}_1) \cap \mathcal{Q}^{-1}(0)|.$$

By Lemma 6.3 (1)

$$\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \times \mathbb{P}^2 = \overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \circ (\mathcal{D} \times \mathbb{P}^2)} = \overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \circ (\mathcal{D} \times \mathbb{P}^2) \sqcup \Delta\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1.$$

The sections

$$\pi_2^*\psi_{\mathcal{A}_0} : \overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \times \mathbb{P}^2 - \Delta\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \longrightarrow \pi_2^*\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}_0}, \qquad \pi_2^*\psi_{\mathcal{A}_1} : \pi_2^*\psi_{\mathcal{A}_0}^{-1}(0) \longrightarrow \pi_2^*\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{A}_1} \tag{7.1}$$

are transverse to the zero set. (cf. Proposition 5.3). Hence

$$\left\langle e(\pi_2^*\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}_0}) e(\pi_2^*\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{A}_1}) e(\mathcal{W}),\ [\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \times \mathbb{P}^2]\right\rangle = \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1\mathcal{A}_1, n) + \mathcal{C}_{\Delta\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1}(\pi_2^*\psi_{\mathcal{A}_0} \oplus \pi_2^*\psi_{\mathcal{A}_1} \oplus \mathcal{Q}), \tag{7.2}$$

where $\mathcal{C}_{\Delta\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1}(\pi_2^*\psi_{\mathcal{A}_0} \oplus \pi_2^*\psi_{\mathcal{A}_1} \oplus \mathcal{Q})$ is the contribution of the section $\pi_2^*\psi_{\mathcal{A}_0} \oplus \pi_2^*\psi_{\mathcal{A}_1} \oplus \mathcal{Q}$ to the Euler class from $\Delta\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1$. The lhs of (7.2), as computed by splitting principle and a case by case check, is

$$\left\langle e(\pi_2^*\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}_0}) e(\pi_2^*\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{A}_1}) e(\mathcal{W}),\ [\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \times \mathbb{P}^2]\right\rangle = \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1, 0) \times \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1, n). \tag{7.3}$$

In fact, the usual answer one arrives at is

$$\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1, n) + 3(d-1)\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1, n+1) + 3(d-1)^2\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1, n+2).$$

One then uses a result from [1]:

$$\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1, n) = \begin{cases} 3(d-1)^2, & \text{if } n = 0; \\ 3(d-1), & \text{if } n = 1; \\ 1, & \text{if } n = 2; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{7.4}$$

Next, we compute $\mathcal{C}_{\Delta\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1}(\pi_2^*\psi_{\mathcal{A}_0} \oplus \pi_2^*\psi_{\mathcal{A}_1} \oplus \mathcal{Q})$. Note that $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 = \mathcal{A}_1 \sqcup \overline{\mathcal{A}}_2$. By claim 6.6 we get that

$$\mathcal{C}_{\Delta\mathcal{A}_1}(\pi_2^*\psi_{\mathcal{A}_0} \oplus \pi_2^*\psi_{\mathcal{A}_1} \oplus \mathcal{Q}) = \left\langle e(\pi_2^*\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}_0}) e(\mathcal{W}),\ [\Delta\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1]\right\rangle = \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1, n) + d\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1, n+1), \tag{7.5}$$

$$\mathcal{C}_{\Delta\overline{\mathcal{A}}_2}(\pi_2^*\psi_{\mathcal{A}_0} \oplus \pi_2^*\psi_{\mathcal{A}_1} \oplus \mathcal{Q}) = 3\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_2, n). \tag{7.6}$$

It is easy to see that (7.3), (7.5) and (7.6) prove (3.2). □

Remark 7.1. *In [6] a different method is used to compute $\mathcal{C}_{\Delta\mathcal{A}_1}(\pi_2^*\psi_{\mathcal{A}_0} \oplus \pi_2^*\psi_{\mathcal{A}_1} \oplus \mathcal{Q})$. The author later on pointed out this simpler method to the second author of this paper.*

Proof of (3.3) and (3.4): Let $\mathbb{W}^1_{n,m,2}$ and $\mathcal{Q}$ be as in (2.7) with $k=2$. By definition, $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1\mathcal{P}\mathcal{A}_2,n,m)$ is the signed cardinality of the intersection of $\mathcal{A}_1\circ\mathcal{P}\mathcal{A}_2$ with $\mathcal{Q}^{-1}(0)$. By Lemma 6.3, statement 2 we gather that

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}_1}\circ\hat{\mathcal{A}}_1^{\#}} &= \overline{\mathcal{A}}_1\circ\hat{\mathcal{A}}_1^{\#}\sqcup\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1\circ(\overline{\hat{\mathcal{A}}_1^{\#}}-\hat{\mathcal{A}}_1^{\#})\sqcup\Delta\overline{\hat{\mathcal{A}}}_3\\ &= \overline{\mathcal{A}}_1\circ\hat{\mathcal{A}}_1^{\#}\sqcup\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1\circ\overline{\mathcal{P}\mathcal{A}}_2\sqcup\Delta\overline{\hat{\mathcal{A}}}_3 \qquad \text{(by [1] (cf. Lemma 6.1, statement 2).}\end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 5.4, the section

$$\pi_2^*\Psi_{\mathcal{P}\mathcal{A}_2}:\overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1\circ\hat{\mathcal{A}}_1^{\#}}\longrightarrow\pi_2^*\mathbb{V}_{\mathcal{P}\mathcal{A}_2}$$

vanishes on $\mathcal{A}_1\circ\mathcal{P}\mathcal{A}_2$ transversely. Hence, the zeros of the section

$$\pi_2^*\Psi_{\mathcal{P}\mathcal{A}_2}\oplus\mathcal{Q}:\overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1\circ\hat{\mathcal{A}}_1^{\#}}\longrightarrow\pi_2^*\mathbb{V}_{\mathcal{P}\mathcal{A}_2}\oplus\mathbb{W}^1_{n,m,2},$$

restricted to $\mathcal{A}_1\circ\mathcal{P}\mathcal{A}_2$ counted with a sign, is our desired number. In other words

$$\left\langle e(\pi_2^*\mathbb{V}_{\mathcal{P}\mathcal{A}_2})e(\mathbb{W}^1_{n,m,2}),\ [\overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1\circ\hat{\mathcal{A}}_1^{\#}}]\right\rangle=\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1\mathcal{P}\mathcal{A}_2,n,m)+\mathcal{C}_{\Delta\overline{\hat{\mathcal{A}}}_3}(\pi_2^*\Psi_{\mathcal{P}\mathcal{A}_2}\oplus\mathcal{Q})$$

where $\mathcal{C}_{\Delta\overline{\hat{\mathcal{A}}}_3}(\pi_2^*\Psi_{\mathcal{P}\mathcal{A}_2}\oplus\mathcal{Q})$ is the contribution of the section to the Euler class from $\Delta\overline{\hat{\mathcal{A}}}_3$. Note that $\pi_2^*\Psi_{\mathcal{P}\mathcal{A}_2}\oplus\mathcal{Q}$ vanishes only on $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{A}_3$ and $\hat{\mathcal{D}}_4$ and not on the entire $\overline{\hat{\mathcal{A}}}_3$. By Corollary 6.9 and 6.10, the contribution from $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{A}_3$ and $\hat{\mathcal{D}}_4$ are 2 and 3 respectively. This proves the claim.

Remark 7.2. *In the above proof we are using Lemma B.2 with $M:=\overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1\circ\hat{\mathcal{A}}_1^{\#}}$. However, in this case M is not a smooth manifold; it is only a pseudocycle. Lemma B.2 is actually true even when M happens to be a pseudocycle.*

Remark 7.3. *A completely different method is used in [6] to compute $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1\mathcal{A}_2,n)$; instead of removing the cusp, the node is removed. In fact, all the numbers $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1\mathfrak{X}_k,n)$ can also be computed by removing the node, instead of removing the $\mathfrak{X}_k$ singularity. However, in order to obtain a recursive formula for the number of degree d curves through $\delta_d-(\delta+k)$ generic points and having δ-nodes and one singularity of type $\mathfrak{X}_k$, we have to apply the method employed in this paper (i.e., we have to remove the $\mathfrak{X}_k$-singularity, not the node). This observation is again due to Aleksey Zinger.*

Proof of (3.5): Let $\mathbb{W}^1_{n,m,3}$ and $\mathcal{Q}$ be as in (2.7) with $k=3$. By Lemma 6.3, statement 3 we have

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}_1}\circ\mathcal{P}\mathcal{A}_2} &= \overline{\mathcal{A}}_1\circ\mathcal{P}\mathcal{A}_2\sqcup\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1\circ(\overline{\mathcal{P}\mathcal{A}}_2-\mathcal{P}\mathcal{A}_2)\sqcup\left(\Delta\overline{\mathcal{P}\mathcal{A}}_4\cup\Delta\overline{\hat{\mathcal{D}}_5^{\#}}\right),\\ &= \overline{\mathcal{A}}_1\circ\mathcal{P}\mathcal{A}_2\sqcup\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1\circ(\overline{\mathcal{P}\mathcal{A}}_3\cup\overline{\hat{\mathcal{D}}_4^{\#}})\sqcup\left(\Delta\overline{\mathcal{P}\mathcal{A}}_4\cup\Delta\overline{\hat{\mathcal{D}}_5^{\#}}\right)\end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from [1] (cf. Lemma 6.1, statement 8). By Proposition 5.6, the section

$$\pi_2^*\Psi_{\mathcal{P}\mathcal{A}_3}\oplus\mathcal{Q}:\overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}_1}\circ\mathcal{P}\mathcal{A}_2}\longrightarrow\pi_2^*\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{P}\mathcal{A}_3}\oplus\mathbb{W}^1_{n,m,3}$$

vanishes transversely on $\mathcal{A}_1\circ\mathcal{P}\mathcal{A}_3$. By definition, it does not vanish on $\mathcal{A}_1\circ\hat{\mathcal{D}}_4^{\#}$. By Corollary 6.13, the contribution to the Euler class from the points of $\Delta\mathcal{P}\mathcal{A}_4$ is 2. Furthermore, by definition the section does not vanish on $\Delta\hat{\mathcal{D}}_5^{\#}$. Hence

$$\left\langle e(\pi_2^*\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{P}\mathcal{A}_3}\oplus\mathbb{W}^1_{n,m,3}),\ \ [\overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}_1}\circ\mathcal{P}\mathcal{A}_2}]\right\rangle=\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1\mathcal{P}\mathcal{A}_3,n,m)+2\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{P}\mathcal{A}_4,n,m)$$

which proves the equation. □

Proof of (3.6)**:** Let $\mathbb{W}^1_{n,m,4}$ and $\mathcal{Q}$ be as in (2.7) with $k=4$. By Lemma 6.3, statement 3 we have

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}_1\circ\mathcal{PA}_3} &= \overline{\mathcal{A}}_1\circ\mathcal{PA}_3\sqcup\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1\circ(\overline{\mathcal{PA}}_3-\mathcal{PA}_3)\sqcup\left(\Delta\overline{\mathcal{PA}}_5\cup\Delta\overline{\mathcal{PD}}_5^{\vee}\right),\\ &= \overline{\mathcal{A}}_1\circ\mathcal{PA}_3\sqcup\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1\circ(\overline{\mathcal{PA}}_4\cup\overline{\mathcal{PD}}_4)\sqcup\left(\Delta\overline{\mathcal{PA}}_5\cup\Delta\overline{\mathcal{PD}}_5^{\vee}\right)\end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from [1] (cf. Lemma 6.1, statement 9). By Proposition 5.6, the section

$$\pi_2^*\Psi_{\mathcal{PA}_4}\oplus\mathcal{Q}:\overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}_1\circ\mathcal{PA}_3}}\longrightarrow\pi_2^*\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{PA}_4}\oplus\mathbb{W}^1_{n,m,4}$$

vanishes transversely on $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1\circ\mathcal{PA}_4$. It is easy to see that it does not vanish on $\mathcal{A}_1\circ\mathcal{PD}_4$. By Corollary 6.13, the contribution to the Euler class from the points of $\Delta\mathcal{PA}_5$ is 2. Moreover, the section does not vanish on $\Delta\mathcal{PD}_5^{\vee}$. Hence

$$\left\langle e(\pi_2^*\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{PA}_4}\oplus\mathbb{W}^1_{n,m,4}),\quad[\overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}_1\circ\mathcal{PA}_3}}]\right\rangle=\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1\mathcal{PA}_4,n,m)+2\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{PA}_5,n,m)$$

which proves the equation. □

Proof of (3.7)**:** Let $\mathbb{W}^1_{n,m,5}$ and $\mathcal{Q}$ be as in (2.7) with $k=5$. By Lemma 6.3, statement 3 we have

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}_1\circ\mathcal{PA}_4} &= \overline{\mathcal{A}}_1\circ\mathcal{PA}_4\sqcup\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1\circ(\overline{\mathcal{PA}}_4-\mathcal{PA}_4)\sqcup\left(\Delta\overline{\mathcal{PA}}_6\cup\Delta\overline{\mathcal{PD}}_7^{s}\cup\Delta\overline{\mathcal{PE}}_6\right),\\ &= \overline{\mathcal{A}}_1\circ\mathcal{PA}_4\sqcup\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1\circ(\overline{\mathcal{PA}}_5\cup\overline{\mathcal{PD}}_5)\sqcup\left(\Delta\overline{\mathcal{PA}}_6\cup\Delta\overline{\mathcal{PD}}_7^{s}\cup\Delta\overline{\mathcal{PE}}_6\right)\end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from [1] (cf. Lemma 6.1, statement 10). By Proposition 5.6, the section

$$\pi_2^*\Psi_{\mathcal{PA}_5}\oplus\mathcal{Q}:\overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}_1\circ\mathcal{PA}_4}}\longrightarrow\pi_2^*\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{PA}_5}\oplus\mathbb{W}^1_{n,m,5}$$

vanishes transversely on $\mathcal{A}_1\circ\mathcal{PA}_5$. By [1] we conclude that this section vanishes on $\mathcal{A}_1\circ\mathcal{PD}_5$ with a multiplicity of 2. By Corollary 6.13 and 6.20, the contribution to the Euler class from the points of $\Delta\mathcal{PA}_6$ and $\Delta\mathcal{PE}_6$ are 2 and 5 respectively. Since the dimension of $\mathcal{PD}_7$ is one less than the rank of $\pi_2^*\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{PA}_5}\oplus\mathbb{W}^1_{n,m,5}$ and $\mathcal{Q}$ is generic, the section does not vanish on $\Delta\overline{\mathcal{PD}}_7$. Since $\overline{\mathcal{PD}}_7^{s}$ is a subset of $\Delta\overline{\mathcal{PD}}_7$ (by (6.105)), the section does not vanish on $\overline{\mathcal{PD}}_7^{s}$ either. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\left\langle e(\pi_2^*\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{PA}_5}\oplus\mathbb{W}^1_{n,m,5}),\ [\overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}_1\circ\mathcal{PA}_4}}]\right\rangle &= \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1\mathcal{PA}_5,n,m)+2\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1\mathcal{PD}_5,n,m)\\ &\quad+2\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{PA}_6,n,m)+5\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{PE}_6,n,m)\end{aligned}$$

which proves the equation. □

Proof of (3.8)**:** Let $\mathbb{W}^1_{n,m,6}$ and $\mathcal{Q}$ be as in (2.7) with $k=6$. By Lemma 6.3, statement 6 we have

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}_1\circ\mathcal{PA}_5} &= \overline{\mathcal{A}}_1\circ\mathcal{PA}_5\sqcup\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1\circ(\overline{\mathcal{PA}}_5-\mathcal{PA}_5)\sqcup\left(\Delta\overline{\mathcal{PA}}_7\cup\Delta\overline{\mathcal{PD}}_8^{s}\cup\Delta\overline{\mathcal{PE}}_7\right)\\ &= \overline{\mathcal{A}}_1\circ\mathcal{PA}_5\sqcup\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1\circ(\overline{\mathcal{PA}}_6\cup\overline{\mathcal{PD}}_6\cup\overline{\mathcal{PE}}_6)\sqcup\left(\Delta\overline{\mathcal{PA}}_7\cup\Delta\overline{\mathcal{PD}}_8^{s}\cup\Delta\overline{\mathcal{PE}}_7\right)\end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from [1] (cf. Lemma 6.1, statement 11). By Proposition 5.6, the section

$$\pi_2^*\Psi_{\mathcal{PA}_6} \oplus \mathcal{Q} : \overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \circ \mathcal{PA}_5} \longrightarrow \pi_2^*\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{PA}_6} \oplus \mathbb{W}^1_{n,m,6}$$

vanishes transversely on $\mathcal{A}_1 \circ \mathcal{PA}_6$. By [1] we conclude that this section vanishes on $\mathcal{A}_1 \circ \mathcal{PD}_6$ with a multiplicity of 4. By Corollary 6.13 and 6.23, the contribution to the Euler class from the points of $\Delta\mathcal{PA}_7$ and $\Delta\mathcal{PE}_7$ are 2 and 6 respectively. Since the dimension of $\mathcal{PD}_8$ is one less than the rank of $\pi_2^*\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{PA}_6} \oplus \mathbb{W}^1_{n,m,6}$ and $\mathcal{Q}$ is generic, the section does not vanish on $\Delta\overline{\mathcal{PD}}_8$. Since $\Delta\mathcal{PD}^s_8$ is a subset of $\Delta\overline{\mathcal{PD}}_8$ (by (6.121)), the section does not vanish on $\Delta\overline{\mathcal{PD}^s_8}$ either. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\Big\langle e(\pi_2^*\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{PA}_6} \oplus \mathbb{W}^1_{n,m,6}),\ [\overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \circ \mathcal{PA}_5}]\Big\rangle &= \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1\mathcal{PA}_6, n, m) + 4\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1\mathcal{PD}_6, n, m)\\ &\quad + 2\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{PA}_7, n, m) + 6\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{PE}_7, n, m)\end{aligned}$$

which proves the equation. □

Proof of (3.9)**:** Let $\mathbb{W}^1_{n,0,4}$ and $\mathcal{Q}$ be as in (2.7) with $k = 4$ and $m = 0$. By Lemma 6.3, statement 3 we have

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \circ \mathcal{PA}_3} &= \overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \circ \mathcal{PA}_3 \sqcup \overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \circ (\overline{\mathcal{PA}}_3 - \mathcal{PA}_3) \sqcup \left(\Delta\overline{\mathcal{PA}}_5 \cup \Delta\overline{\mathcal{PD}}_5^\vee\right)\\ &= \overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \circ \mathcal{PA}_3 \sqcup \overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \circ (\overline{\mathcal{PA}}_4 \cup \overline{\mathcal{PD}}_4) \sqcup \left(\Delta\overline{\mathcal{PA}}_5 \cup \Delta\overline{\mathcal{PD}}_5^\vee\right)\end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from [1] (cf. Lemma 6.1, statement 9). By Proposition 5.6, the section

$$\pi_2^*\Psi_{\mathcal{PD}_4} \oplus \mathcal{Q} : \overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \circ \mathcal{PA}_3} \longrightarrow \pi_2^*\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{PD}_4} \oplus \mathbb{W}^1_{n,m,4}$$

vanishes transversely on $\mathcal{A}_1 \circ \mathcal{PD}_4$. It is easy to see that the section does not vanish on $\mathcal{A}_1 \circ \mathcal{PA}_4$. By Corollary 6.13 and 6.17, the contribution to the Euler class from the points of $\Delta\mathcal{PA}_5$ and $\mathcal{PD}_5^\vee$ are 2 and 2 respectively. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\Big\langle e(\pi_2^*\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{PD}_4} \oplus \mathbb{W}^1_{n,0,4}),\ [\overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \circ \mathcal{PA}_3}]\Big\rangle &= \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1\mathcal{PA}_4, n, 0) + 2\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{PA}_5, n, 0)\\ &\quad + 2\big\langle e(\mathbb{W}^1_{n,0,4}),\ [\overline{\mathcal{PD}_5^\vee}]\big\rangle. \qquad (7.7)\end{aligned}$$

Since the map $\pi : \mathcal{PD}_5^\vee \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}_5$ is one to one, we conclude that

$$\big\langle e(\mathbb{W}^1_{n,0,4}),\ [\overline{\mathcal{PD}_5^\vee}]\big\rangle = \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{D}_5, n). \qquad (7.8)$$

This follows from the same argument as in [1] Equations (7.8) and (7.7) imply (3.9).

Here is an alternative way to compute the lhs of (7.8). Recall that

$$\overline{\mathcal{PD}}_4 = \mathcal{PD}_4 \cup \overline{\mathcal{PD}}_5 \cup \overline{\mathcal{PD}_5^\vee}.$$

The section $\Psi_{\mathcal{PD}_5^\vee} : \overline{\mathcal{PD}}_4 \longrightarrow \mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{PD}_5^\vee}$ vanishes transversely on $\mathcal{PD}_5^\vee$ and does not vanish on $\mathcal{PD}_5$. Hence

$$\big\langle e(\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{PD}_5^\vee} \oplus \mathbb{W}^0_{n,0,5}),\ [\overline{\mathcal{PD}}_4]\big\rangle = \big\langle e(\mathbb{W}^0_{n,0,5}),\ [\overline{\mathcal{PD}_5^\vee}]\big\rangle = \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{D}_5, n).$$

It is easy to check directly that these two methods give the same answer for $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{D}_5, n)$. □

Proof of (3.10) **:** Let $\mathbb{W}^1_{n,1,4}$ and $\mathcal{Q}$ be as in (2.7) with $k=4$ and $m=1$. By Lemma 6.3, statement 8 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \circ \hat{\mathcal{D}}_4} = \overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \circ \hat{\mathcal{D}}_4 \sqcup \overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \circ (\overline{\hat{\mathcal{D}}}_4 - \hat{\mathcal{D}}_4) \sqcup \left(\Delta \overline{\hat{\mathcal{D}}}_6\right) \\
\implies &\overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \circ \hat{\mathcal{D}}_4^{\#}} = \overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \circ \hat{\mathcal{D}}_4^{\#} \sqcup \overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \circ (\overline{\hat{\mathcal{D}}_4^{\#}} - \hat{\mathcal{D}}_4^{\#}) \sqcup \left(\Delta \overline{\hat{\mathcal{D}}_6^{\#\flat}}\right) \qquad (\text{since } \overline{\hat{\mathcal{D}}}_4 = \overline{\hat{\mathcal{D}}_4^{\#}} \text{ and } \overline{\hat{\mathcal{D}}}_6 = \overline{\hat{\mathcal{D}}_6^{\#\flat}}) \\
&= \overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \circ \hat{\mathcal{D}}_4^{\#} \sqcup \overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \circ \overline{\mathcal{P}\mathcal{D}}_4 \sqcup \left(\Delta \overline{\hat{\mathcal{D}}_6^{\#\flat}}\right) \qquad (\text{by definition}).
\end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 5.9, the section

$$\pi_2^* \Psi_{\mathcal{P}\mathcal{A}_3} \oplus \mathcal{Q} : \overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \circ \hat{\mathcal{D}}_4^{\#}} \longrightarrow \pi_2^* \mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{P}\mathcal{A}_3} \oplus \mathbb{W}^1_{n,m,4}$$

vanishes transversely on $\mathcal{A}_1 \circ \mathcal{P}\mathcal{D}_4$. By definition, the section does not vanish on $\hat{\mathcal{D}}_6^{\#\flat}$. Hence

$$\left\langle e(\pi_2^* \mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{P}\mathcal{A}_3} \oplus \mathbb{W}^1_{n,1,4}),\ [\overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \circ \hat{\mathcal{D}}_4^{\#}}] \right\rangle = \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1 \mathcal{P}\mathcal{D}_4, n, 1)$$

which proves the equation. □

Proof of (3.11)**:** Let $\mathbb{W}^1_{n,m,5}$ and $\mathcal{Q}$ be as in (2.7) with $k=5$. By Lemma 6.3, statement 7 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \circ \mathcal{P}\mathcal{D}_4} &= \overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \circ \mathcal{P}\mathcal{D}_4 \sqcup \overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \circ (\overline{\mathcal{P}\mathcal{D}}_4 - \mathcal{P}\mathcal{D}_4) \sqcup \left(\Delta \overline{\mathcal{P}\mathcal{D}}_6 \cup \Delta \overline{\mathcal{P}\mathcal{D}_6^{\vee s}}\right) \\
&= \overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \circ \mathcal{P}\mathcal{D}_4 \sqcup \overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \circ (\overline{\mathcal{P}\mathcal{D}}_5 \cup \overline{\mathcal{P}\mathcal{D}_5^{\vee}}) \sqcup \left(\Delta \overline{\mathcal{P}\mathcal{D}}_6 \cup \Delta \overline{\mathcal{P}\mathcal{D}_6^{\vee s}}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from [1] (cf. Lemma 6.1, statement 4). By Proposition 5.5, the section

$$\pi_2^* \Psi^{\mathbb{L}}_{\mathcal{P}\mathcal{D}_5} \oplus \mathcal{Q} : \overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \circ \mathcal{P}\mathcal{D}}_4 \longrightarrow \pi_2^* \mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{P}\mathcal{D}_5} \oplus \mathbb{W}^1_{n,m,5}$$

vanishes transversely on $\mathcal{A}_1 \circ \mathcal{P}\mathcal{D}_5$. Moreover, it does not vanish on $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \circ \mathcal{P}\mathcal{D}_5^{\vee}$ by definition. By Corollary 6.25, the contribution to the Euler class from the points of $\Delta \mathcal{P}\mathcal{D}_6$ is 2. The section does not vanish on $\Delta \mathcal{P}\mathcal{D}_6^{\vee s}$ by definition. Since the dimension of $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{D}_6^{\vee}$ is same as the dimension of $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{D}_6$ and $\mathcal{Q}$ is generic, by (6.150), the section does not vanish on $\Delta \overline{\mathcal{P}\mathcal{D}_6^{\vee s}}$. Hence

$$\left\langle e(\pi_2^* \mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{P}\mathcal{D}_5} \oplus \mathbb{W}^1_{n,m,5}),\ [\overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \circ \mathcal{P}\mathcal{D}}_4] \right\rangle = \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1 \mathcal{P}\mathcal{D}_5, n, m) + 2\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{P}\mathcal{D}_6, n, m)$$

which proves the equation. □

Proof of (3.12) **and** (3.13)**:** Let $\mathbb{W}^1_{n,m,6}$ and $\mathcal{Q}$ be as in (2.7) with $k=6$. By Lemma 6.3, statement 9 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \circ \mathcal{P}\mathcal{D}}_5 &= \overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \circ \mathcal{P}\mathcal{D}_5 \sqcup \overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \circ (\overline{\mathcal{P}\mathcal{D}}_5 - \mathcal{P}\mathcal{D}_5) \sqcup \left(\Delta \overline{\mathcal{P}\mathcal{D}}_7 \cup \Delta \overline{\mathcal{P}\mathcal{E}}_7\right) \\
&= \overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \circ \mathcal{P}\mathcal{D}_5 \sqcup \overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \circ (\overline{\mathcal{P}\mathcal{D}}_6 \cup \overline{\mathcal{P}\mathcal{E}}_6) \sqcup \left(\Delta \overline{\mathcal{P}\mathcal{D}}_7 \cup \Delta \overline{\mathcal{P}\mathcal{E}}_7\right)
\end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from [1] (cf. Lemma 6.1, statement 6). By Propositions 5.7 and 5.8, the sections

$$\pi_2^* \Psi_{\mathcal{P}\mathcal{D}_6} \oplus \mathcal{Q} : \overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \circ \mathcal{P}\mathcal{D}}_5 \longrightarrow \pi_2^* \mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{P}\mathcal{D}_6} \oplus \mathbb{W}^1_{n,m,6}, \quad \pi_2^* \Psi_{\mathcal{P}\mathcal{E}_6} \oplus \mathcal{Q} : \overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1 \circ \mathcal{P}\mathcal{D}}_5 \longrightarrow \pi_2^* \mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{P}\mathcal{E}_6} \oplus \mathbb{W}^1_{n,m,6}$$

vanishes transversely on $\mathcal{A}_1 \circ \mathcal{PD}_6$ and $\mathcal{A}_1 \circ \mathcal{PE}_6$ respectively. Moreover, they do not vanish on $\mathcal{A}_1 \circ \mathcal{PE}_6$ and $\mathcal{A}_1 \circ \mathcal{PD}_6$ respectively. By Corollary 6.28 and 6.30 the contribution of the section $\pi_2^*\Psi_{\mathcal{PD}_6} \oplus \mathcal{Q}$ to the Euler class from the points of $\Delta\mathcal{PD}_7$ and $\Delta\mathcal{PE}_7$ are 2 and 1 respectively. By Corollary 6.30, the contribution of the section $\pi_2^*\Psi_{\mathcal{PE}_6} \oplus \mathcal{Q}$ from the points of $\Delta\mathcal{PE}_7$ is 1; moreover it does not vanish on $\Delta\mathcal{PD}_7$. Hence

$$\left\langle e(\pi_2^*\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{PD}_6} \oplus \mathbb{W}^1_{n,m,6}),\ [\overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}_1 \circ \mathcal{PD}_5}}]\right\rangle = \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1\mathcal{PD}_6, n, m) + 2\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{PD}_7, n, m) + \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{PE}_7, n, m),$$
$$\left\langle e(\pi_2^*\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{PE}_6} \oplus \mathbb{W}^1_{n,m,6}),\ [\overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}_1 \circ \mathcal{PD}_5}}]\right\rangle = \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1\mathcal{PE}_6, n, m) + \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{PE}_7, n, m)$$

which prove equations (3.12) and (3.13). □

A Low degree checks

Verification of the number $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1\mathcal{A}_1, 0) = 3(d-2)(d-1)(3d^2-3d-11)$:
$d = 2$: The only way a conic can have 2 nodes is if it is a double line. There are no double lines through 3 generic points.
$d = 3$: The only way a cubic can have 2 nodes is if it breaks into a line and a conic. Hence the number of cubics with 2 unordered nodes is $\binom{7}{2} = 21$.

Verification of the number $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1\mathcal{A}_2, 0) = 12(d-3)(3d^3-6d^2-11d+18)$:
$d = 3$: There are no cubics with one node and one cusp.

Verification of the number $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1\mathcal{A}_3, 0) = 6(d-3)(25d^3-71d^2-122d+280)$:
$d = 3$: There are no cubics with one node and one tacnode.
$d = 4$: There are two possibilities here. The curve could break into a line and a cubic. The number of lines through a given point and tangent to a fixed cubic is 6. The number of cubics through through 8 points, tangent to a given line is 4. Hence the total number of quartics with one node and one tacnode that breaks into a line and a cubic is

$$\binom{10}{1} \times 6 + \binom{10}{2} \times 4 = 240.$$

The number of genus zero quartics with one node and one tacnode is 1296 (cf. [7], pp. 91). Hence the total number of quartics with one node and one tacnode is 1536.

Verification of the number $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1\mathcal{D}_4, n)$ and $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_1\mathcal{PD}_4, n, 1)$:
$d = 4$: These numbers can be verified by direct geometric means for all values of n in the case of quartics (cf. [2]).

B Standard facts about Chern classes and projectivized bundle

Lemma B.1. ([5], Theorem 14.10) *Let $\mathbb{P}^n$ be the n-dimensional complex projective space and $\gamma \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ the tautological line bundle. Then the total Chern class $c(T\mathbb{P}^n)$ is given by $c(T\mathbb{P}^n) = (1 + c_1(\gamma^*))^{n+1}$.*

Lemma B.2. ([3], pp. 270) *Let $V \longrightarrow M$ be a complex vector bundle, of rank k, over a smooth manifold M and $\pi : \mathbb{P}V \longrightarrow M$ the projectivization of V. Let $\tilde{\gamma} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}V$ be the tautological line*

bundle over $\mathbb{P}V$ *and* $\lambda = c_1(\tilde{\gamma}^*)$. *There is a linear isomorphism*

$$H^*(\mathbb{P}V;\mathbb{Z}) \cong H^*(M;\mathbb{Z}) \otimes H^*(\mathbb{P}^{k-1};\mathbb{Z}) \tag{B.1}$$

and an isomorphism of rings

$$H^*(\mathbb{P}V;\mathbb{Z}) \cong \frac{H^*(M;\mathbb{Z})[\lambda]}{\langle \lambda^k + \lambda^{k-1}\pi^* c_1(V) + \lambda^{k-2}\pi^* c_2(V) + \ldots + \pi^* c_k(V)\rangle}. \tag{B.2}$$

In particular, if $\omega \in H^*(M;\mathbb{Z})$ *is a top cohomology class then*

$$\langle \pi^*(\omega)\lambda^{k-1}, [\mathbb{P}V]\rangle = \langle \omega, [M]\rangle,$$

i.e., λ^{k-1} *is a cohomology extension of the fibre.*

References

[1] S. Basu and R. Mukherjee, *Enumeration of curves with one singular point.* available at `http://arxiv.org/abs/1308.2902`.

[2] ———, *Enumeration of curves with singularities: Further details.* available at `https://www.sites.google.com/site/ritwik371/home`.

[3] R. Bott and L. W. Tu, *Differential forms in algebraic topology*, vol. 82 of Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1982.

[4] M. È. Kazarian, *Multisingularities, cobordisms, and enumerative geometry*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk, 58 (2003), pp. 29–88.

[5] J. W. Milnor and J. D. Stasheff, *Characteristic classes*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N. J., 1974. Annals of Mathematics Studies, No. 76.

[6] A. Zinger, *Counting plane rational curves: old and new approaches.* available at `http://arxiv.org/abs/math/0507105`.

[7] A. Zinger, *Counting rational curves of arbitrary shape in projective spaces*, Geom. Topol., 9 (2005), pp. 571–697 (electronic).

Department of Mathematics, RKM Vivekananda University, Howrah, WB 711202, INDIA
E-mail address : `somnath@maths.rkmvu.ac.in`

Department of Mathematics, Institute of Mathematical Sciences, Chennai 600113, INDIA
E-mail address : `ritwikm@imsc.res.in`